	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document712 Filed08/04/10 Page1 of 2
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	KRISTIN M PERRY, SANDRA B STIER,
5	PAUL T KATAMI and JEFFREY J ZARRILLO,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
8	Plaintiff-Intervenor,
9	v
10	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of
11	California; EDMUND G BROWN JR, in his official capacity as Attorney
12	General of California; MARK B No C 09-2292 VRW HORTON, in his official capacity
13	as Director of the California ORDER Department of Public Health and
14	State Registrar of Vital
15	Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy
16	Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the
17	California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his
18	official capacity as Clerk- Recorder of the County of
19	Alameda; and DEAN C LOGAN, in his official capacity as Registrar-
20	Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,
21	Defendants,
22	DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J
23	KNIGHT, MARTIN F GUTIERREZ, HAK- SHING WILLIAM TAM, MARK A
24	JANSSON and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM - YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA
25	RENEWAL, as official proponents of Proposition 8,
26	Defendant-Intervenors.
27	/
28	

**United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

On January 8, 2010, Hak-Shing William Tam, a defendant-intervenor, moved to withdraw as a defendant. Doc #369. Tam seeks to withdraw because: (1) he fears for his personal safety; (2) he does not wish to comply with discovery burdens; and (3) he does not want to spend his time defending this case. Id.

In his motion, Tam fails to identify a procedure through which he can withdraw as a defendant prior to entry of final judgment against him. Nevertheless, Tam's burdens as a defendant will be complete upon entry of final judgment. Tam's motion to withdraw accordingly is DENIED AS MOOT.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Ja-lah

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge