	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document710 Filed08/04/10 Page1 of 2
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4 5	KRISTIN M PERRY, SANDRA B STIER, PAUL T KATAMI and JEFFREY J ZARRILLO,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
8	Plaintiff-Intervenor,
9	v
10	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his
11	official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G BROWN JR, in
12	his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B No C 09-2292 VRW
13	HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California ORDER
14	Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital
15	Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy
16	Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the
17	California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his
18	official capacity as Clerk- Recorder of the County of
19	Alameda; and DEAN C LOGAN, in his official capacity as Registrar-
20	Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,
21	Defendants,
22	DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J
23	KNIGHT, MARTIN F GUTIERREZ, HAK- SHING WILLIAM TAM, MARK A
24	JANSSON and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM - YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA
25	RENEWAL, as official proponents of Proposition 8,
26	Defendant-Intervenors.
27	/
28	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California Defendant-intervenors ("proponents") have moved to stay the court's judgment pending appeal. Doc #705. They noticed the motion for October 21, 2010 and moved to shorten time. Doc #706.

The motion to shorten time is GRANTED.

Plaintiffs, plaintiff-intervenor and defendants are DIRECTED to submit their responses to the motion to stay on or before August 6, 2010, at which time the motion will stand submitted without a hearing unless otherwise ordered.

9 The clerk shall STAY entry of judgment herein until the 10 motion to stay pending appeal, Doc #705, has been decided.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Mula

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge