.20

 2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (e); the acts and omissions alleged herein occurred in this district.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff CRAIG F. MONTEILH is a United States citizen and a resident of the State of California. Mr. Monteilh was formerly employed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") in the capacity as an undercover informant.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed, believes and based thereon alleges that Defendant FBI is an agency of the United States Government. The FBI's headquarters is located at 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20535.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed, believes and based thereon alleges that Defendant Irvine Police Department is an agency of the City of Irvine, a municipal corporation under its present name, "City of Irvine," organized and operating pursuant to its Charter and the laws of the State of California.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed, believes and based thereon alleges that Defendant BARBARA WALLS is an individual employed by the FBI at all times relevant herein is an assistant Special Agent in Charge at the Santa Ana branch office of the FBI located at 901 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, California 92701.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed, believes and based thereon alleges that Defendant RON CARR is at all times relevant herein is a Detective with the Irvine Police Department.
- 8. The true identities of Defendants DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, are presently unknown, therefore said Defendants are sued herein pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as fictitious persons.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes that DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, are agents and/or assigns of each other and the named Defendants, and each of them, and have committed such wrongful acts and/or conspired to commit such wrongful acts and are directly and vicariously liable for such acts, as complained of below, which caused damages to Plaintiff as alleged in this Complaint.

8

6

13

11

14

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

- After ascertaining the true identity of a fictitiously named Defendant 10. sued herein as a 'Doe,' Plaintiff will amend this Complaint accordingly.
- Defendants' acts damaged Plaintiff in excess of \$75,000. Plaintiff 11. prays for damages as set forth below.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. Mr. Monteilh began working with the FBI as an undercover informant on or about early 2004 in the investigative program known as Violent Crime, and specifically concerning Narcotics operations, Murder for Hire, and Bank Robberies.
- 13. Mr. Monteilh worked with the FBI while directly supervised by his handlers Special Agent Tracy Hanlon and Special Agent Christopher Gicking.
- 14. The FBI initially tasked Mr. Monteilh to perform work concerning its Narcotics investigative program of the FBI Criminal Division in early 2004.
- Mr. Monteilh was tasked by the FBI concerning the Narcotics 15. investigative program to infiltrate drug trafficking groups and surreptitiously obtain information for use in prosecuting the individual group members for violations of the narcotics laws of the United States.
- The Narcotics investigative program was in conjunction with local law 16. enforcement, the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF), High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) Programs, and other FBI counter-drug resources which focus on significant criminal enterprises.
- The undercover informant work Mr. Monteilh performed under the 17. Narcotics investigative program kept illicit drugs off the streets and resulted in arrests and convictions.
- The FBI and its agents commended the work performed by Mr. 18. Monteilh and increased his tasking orders to include the Murder For Hire investigative program.
- The FBI Murder For Hire investigative program formally began when Murder For Hire became a specific federal crime in 1958. The FBI typically works

-3-

between 70 and 90 cases a year. The Murder For Hire investigations range from spurned lovers out for revenge to more organized gangs and crime groups that want to take out rivals and snitches, with the ultimate goal being prevention.

- 20. The FBI tasked Mr. Monteilh concerning the Murder For Hire investigative program to work jointly with local authorities through the Violent Crime Task Force in order to infiltrate target areas in the community, surveil and gather information. Mr. Monteilh was informed the FBI prides itself for being able to tap into federal racketeering laws as well as its undercover and surveillance capabilities and staple of informants.
- 21. The undercover informant work Mr. Monteilh performed under the Murder For Hire investigative program saved lives and resulted in arrests and convictions.
- 22. The FBI and its agents commended the work performed by Mr.

 Monteilh and increased his tasking orders to include the Bank Robberies investigative program.
- 23. Mr. Monteilh's tasking orders concerning the Bank Robberies investigative program included, but is not limited to, infiltrating, surveilling and gathering intelligence on the groups of persons who planned to commit robbery, burglary, larceny or similar crimes against federally insured savings and loans and federal credit unions, under the jurisdiction of the FBI.
- 24. Mr. Monteilh's tasking orders concerning the Bank Robberies investigative program included working with state and local counterparts throughout Violent Crimes Task Forces. Mr. Monteilh was informed this was to better leverage the FBI's limited resources.
- 25. The undercover informant work Mr. Monteilh performed under the Bank Robberies investigative program resulted in arrests and convictions.
- 26. The FBI and its agents commended the work performed by Mr.

 Monteilh and increased his tasking orders to include the Murder For Hire

- 27. Mr. Monteilh, as part of his taskings as an undercover informant for the FBI, engaged in a sting operation on or about March 2006.
- 28. The sting operation involved the purchase, sale and distribution of illicit performance enhancing drugs (commonly referred to as steroids) in the County of Orange and the trafficking of marijuana smuggled through Canada, targeting individual suspects including but not limited to Roxanne Veal, Danielle Brinkman and Mary Brandolino Genovese. Mr. Montielh was gaining the confidence of individual suspects and, as he had done in the past, was surveilling and gathering intelligence for the eventual arrest and conviction of the suspects.
- 29. Mr. Monteilh's involvement in the sting operation was placed on hold, however, because the FBI determined he was needed for a special operation dealing with National Security and Counterterrorism.
- 30. Mr. Monteilh was informed that the FBI is part of a vast national and international campaign dedicated to defeating terrorism, working hand-in-hand with partners in law enforcement, intelligence, the military, and diplomatic circles to neutralize terrorist cells and operatives here in the U.S. and to help dismantle terrorist networks worldwide.
- 31. Specifically, Mr. Monteilh was informed that the work, should he choose to accept the assignment, would be for the purpose of infiltrating, surveilling and obtaining intelligence to take down high priority targets including but not limited to USAMA BIN LADEN, AYMAN AL-ZAWAHIRI, ABDELKARIM HUSSEIN MOHAMED AL-NASSER, and ADAM GADHAN.
- 32. Mr. Monteilh, inspired by the opportunity to assist in the protection of this great nation, agreed to be moved from working with the Violent Crime investigative umbrella to the National Security Branch, Counterterrorism Division, of the FBI.
 - 33. The FBI assigned two new handlers, Special Agent Kevin Armstrong

8

9

6

13 14

12

16 17

15

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

and Special Agent Paul Allen with the FBI Orange County Joint Terrorism Task Force, to deliver tasking orders to Mr. Monteilh.

- 34. From July 2006 to October 2007, the FBI tasking orders for Mr. Monteilh concerning the National Security Branch, Counterterrorism Division, implemented him as a human intelligence operative within a secret surveillance program aimed at spying on the Islamic community in the counties of Orange, Los Angeles and San Bernardino.
- 35. Mr. Monteilh was informed the secret surveillance program was called "Operation Flex" and was initiated pursuant to Executive Order 12346. The FBI tasked Mr. Monteilh with assuming the identity of Farouk al-Aziz, a new Muslim convert of Syrian and French descent, under code name "Oracle."
- 36. Operation Flex was implemented through the National Security Branch of the FBI, using Mr. Monteilh as the center piece of this covert surveillance program, to continue and extend its post-9/11 wider surveillance program.
- The Assistant United States Attorney Dierdra Elliott gave Mr. Monteilh 37. special permission, by and through a signed Federal document, to engage in jihadist rhetoric, including but not limited to conducting terrorist operations, possessing weapons and initiating conversations to further terrorist acts against the United States.
- Mr. Monteilh met with Special Agent Kevin Armstrong and Special 38. Agent Paul Allen to receive his tasking orders. Mr. Monteilh was tasked by the FBI with infiltrating mosques in the counties of Orange, Los Angeles and San Bernardino, a task he successfully achieved.
- Between July 2006 and October 2007, Mr. Monteilh was tasked by the 39. FBI with learning to read, write and speak Arabic. Mr. Monteilh was informed that this particular tasking order would enhance Mr. Monteilh's stature and believability within the Islamic community. This was a task Mr. Monteilh worked on and achieved through self-instruction, Arabic Language Program(s), and training through

- 40. The handlers, Special Agent Kevin Armstrong and Special Agent Paul Allen, delivered FBI tasking orders to Mr. Monteilh to make detailed notes and supplemental notes of any intelligence gathered while spying on the Islamic community under Operation Flex. The detailed notes included but are not limited to Muslims he interacted with, content of conversations, and places Mr. Monteilh entered such as mosques, homes, restaurants, businesses, schools, parks, vehicles, offices, gyms and hotels. The more "sensitive" details, per the tasking orders, were written on a separate sheet entitled "supplemental notes." The FBI tasking orders further directed Mr. Monteilh to record every action and word he could find out.
- 41. Mr. Monteilh was highly trained by the FBI to use cutting edge and sophisticated electronic surveillance devices and equipment to assist in the task of spying on the Islamic community. As per the FBI tasking orders, Mr. Monteilh surveilled individual Muslims and the Islamic community in general by using the electronic surveillance devices and equipment by surreptitiously recording Muslims speaking in or around places such as mosques, homes, restaurants, businesses, schools, parks, vehicles, offices, gyms and hotels. Mr. Monteilh was successful in performing these tasks.
- 42. The FBI and its agents commended the work performed by Mr. Monteilh and increased the scope of the tasking orders given to Mr. Monteilh.
- 43. Mr. Monteilh was tasked by the FBI with becoming skilled in the Hadith and the Quran, the five pillars, and the sixth pillar of Islam.
- 44. Mr. Monteilh was tasked by the FBI with gaining the confidence of high priority targets, leading prayer in the mosques, dating Muslim women and engaging in sexual relations with Muslim women. Mr. Monteilh was successful in performing these tasks.
- 45. The FBI and its agents commended the work performed by Mr. Monteilh and increased the scope of the tasking orders given to Mr. Monteilh. Mr.

COMPLAINT OF CRAIG MONTEILH

- Monteilh was tasked by the FBI with infiltrating foreign terrorist camps and Islamic schools.
- 46. On or about March 2, 2007, Mr. Monteilh received a telephone call from his handler Special Agent Paul Allen. Mr. Monteilh was informed by Special Agent Paul Allen that he had received a call from an Irvine Police Officer assigned to the Orange County Joint Terrorism Task Force informing him that there was an active investigation on Mr. Monteilh for grand theft. Mr. Monteilh was informed the complainants were the same person(s) he had infiltrated in the March 2006 sting operation.
- 47. The FBI, through Special Agent Paul Allen, told Mr. Monteilh that he would be receiving a call from Irvine Detective Ron Carr and upon that call an interview would be scheduled by the Detective.
- 48. The FBI, through Special Agent Paul Allen, further instructed Mr. Monteilh that he was by no means to divulge his status as a confidential informant to Detective Carr because it would jeopardize "operational security" of Operation Flex.
- 49. Mr. Monteilh explained to the FBI, through Special Agent Paul Allen, that Detective Carr's investigation concerns prior work with the Narcotics investigative program of the Criminal Division and that disclosing his confidential informant status to Detective Carr would vindicate Mr. Monteilh as it had when such investigations by local law enforcement occurred before.
- 50. The FBI, through Special Agent Paul Allen, said all this was understood and assured Mr. Monteilh the grand theft case against him would be dissolved in the "exit strategy" of his participation in "Operation Flex."
- 51. On or about March 7, 2007, Detective Carr interviewed Mr. Monteilh in Irvine, California. At the close of the interview, Detective Carr told Mr. Monteilh, "I am going to get you."
 - 52. Mr. Monteilh told the FBI about the interview and the statements made

by Detective Carr. The FBI again instructed Mr. Monteilh to mislead detectives and outright lie to detectives for the sake of "operation security" all the while assuring Mr. Monteilh the grand theft case would be taken care of in the exit strategy. The FBI continued to give such assurances for the following several months.

- 53. On or about June 2007 persons at the Islamic Center of Irvine became suspicious of Mr. Monteilh and sought a restraining order against him in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, Harbor Justice Center, concerning acts he performed under his tasking orders.
- 54. Mr. Monteilh was informed the effect of the restraining order would limit his civil rights and become part of his permanent criminal history kept at the Department of Justice on every California and/or United States citizen.
- 55. Mr. Monteilh shared his concerns about the restraining order with the FBI, but again was instructed not to take any action. Mr. Monteilh was directed by the FBI not to oppose the restraining order and again assured that the restraining order would be taken care of in the the "exit strategy" of his participation in "Operation Flex."
- 56. While the grand theft investigation of Detective Carr was being conducted, Mr. Monteilh was on probation through Case No. KA059040 in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, West Covina Courthouse. Mr. Monteilh's Probation Officer, Officer Medina, was aware of Detective Carr's investigation of Mr. Monteilh, but told Mr. Monteilh's handlers he would not arrest Mr. Monteilh because he knew Mr. Monteilh'S involvement was that of an FBI informant.
- 57. Mr. Monteilh, nonetheless, was concerned about remaining on probation and went to the West Covina Courthouse on April 13, 2007, to ask for early termination of his probation, which was denied. Mr. Monteilh reported this to his FBI handlers. Thereafter, Special Agent Kevin Armstrong (a former Assistant United States Attorney) called Los Angeles County District Attorney Steve Cooley

9

6

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

directly to have Mr. Monteilh's probation terminated early. Mr. Monteilh was informed that this was necessary because any felony investigation of a probationer automatically disqualifies them from consideration for early termination of probation.

- 58. On August 20, 2007, Deputy District Attorney Linda A. Chilstrom moved on behalf of the People of the State of California for early termination of the probation of Mr. Monteilh, stating that Mr. Monteilh had given "very, very valuable information that has proven essential in an FBI prosecution."
- 59. The undercover informant work Mr. Monteilh performed under Operation Flex resulted in arrests and prosecutions, including but not limited to Ahmadullah Sais Niazi. Mr. Monteilh's role as an informant was revealed by testimony of Special Agent Thomas J. Ropel III at the bail hearing of Ahmadullah Sais Niazi.
- Part of the information discovered by Mr. Monteilh also concerned the 60. storage of suspected bomb making materials at a certain mosque, which Mr. Monteilh reported to Special Agent Kevin Armstrong, Special Agent Paul Allen and Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls.
- Mr. Monteilh is informed that Assistant Special Agent in Charge 61. Barbara Walls did not act on the information concerning bomb making materials for over three (3) weeks. Mr. Monteilh is informed that when Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls finally obtained the necessary warrants to investigate the bomb making materials, they were no longer there. Mr. Monteilh is informed that Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls was embarrassed and instead of accepting responsibility for her error in judgment, called Mr. Monteilh a liar. Mr. Monteilh is informed it is at that point that Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls determined she would remove Mr. Monteilh from the FBI Counterterrorism program and thereafter began to conspire with Detective Ron Carr and DOES 11 through 20 to set Mr. Monteilh up for felony prosecution and

conviction.

- 62. On or about mid-March 2007, Detective Carr had been instructed through members of the Orange County Joint Terrorism Task Force at the Irvine Police Department not to pursue the grand theft case against Mr. Monteilh because he was an asset. On or about September 17, 2007, Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls communicated with Detective Carr and gave him the "green light" to proceed with seeking the arrest of Mr. Monteilh, despite their knowledge of his status and history as an FBI informant.
- 63. Detective Carr recontacted Mr. Monteilh on or about September 24, 2007, and taunted him, saying I can arrest you whenever I want for violating your probation. Detective Carr became furious when he was informed by Mr. Monteilh that his probation was terminated in August 2007.
- 64. Mr. Monteilh was concerned and asked the FBI, through his handlers, how the exit strategy was going to be implemented, including but not limited the payment of arrearage, severance, readjustment to the community, new identity, removing the restraining order and dissolving the grant theft investigation. The FBI offered no response and the handlers said, "I don't know."
- 65. Mr. Monteilh is informed that in October 2007, Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls orchestrated the diminishing of Mr. Monteilh's involvement in Operation Flex as the high priority target Ahmadullah Sais Niazi already had a sealed Federal indictment against him.
- 66. Mr. Monteilh is further informed that Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls became paranoid that Mr. Monteilh would speak to the press about the illegal activities directed by Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls' office of the National Security Branch of the FBI. Mr. Monteilh is informed that the illegal activities Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls was concerned about coming to light were racial profiling, religious profiling, instigating extremist rhetoric to entrap Muslims, blackmailing Muslims to become informants,

the breach of security at Berlitz language center, Mr. Monteilh being armed to attend mosques, Mr. Monteilh being told to engage in sexual relations with Muslim women, misuse of surveillance devices in the Islamic community and warrantless wiretapping.

- assembled in strike formation outside the home of Mr. Monteilh. Mr. Monteilh, alarmed, quickly called his handlers on the telephone and told them what he saw, and moments later heard the words "stand down" and they left. Mr. Monteilh asked what that was about and the handlers said, "I don't know." Mr. Monteilh is informed that Detective Carr and Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls conspired and were responsible for this SWAT team incident occurring.
- 68. On December 3, 2007, Detective Carr filed an "Order Requiring Penal Code Section 1275.1 Hearing and Notification of the District Attorney" for a warrant for the arrest of Craig F. Monteilh. In the filing, Detective Carr requested that Deputy District Attorney Yvette Patko be present at every hearing and that a hold on the release from custody be placed on Mr. Monteilh.
- 69. On December 12, 2007, Mr. Monteilh was surprised by an arrest and search warrant at his home by Detective Carr. Mr. Monteilh was arrested.
- 70. Mr. Monteilh was informed that the Deputy District Attorney Yvette Patko was seeking a conviction and sentence of 5 years 8 months for Craig Monteilh. Mr. Monteilh's bail was set at \$250,000 per the direction of Detective Carr and/or Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls. Mr. Monteilh is informed that Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls made disclosure to Deputy District Attorney Yvette Patko of the financial status and records of payment from the FBI to Mr. Monteilh.
- 71. Mr. Monteilh is informed that on the day of the arraignment, Deputy District Attorney Yvette Patko went to lunch with the complainant Danielle Brinkman, one of the suspects from the sting operation from March 2006.

- 72. At the direction of Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls, Mr. Monteilh was visited by at the Orange County Jail by Special Agent Kevin Armstrong and FBI Legal Counsel Steven Kramer. Mr. Monteilh was instructed by the FBI not to take his case to trial because he had signed a document FBI Legal Counsel Steven Kramer referred to as the "Non-Disclosure Agreement" and would face a lengthy time in Federal Prison if he did so.
- 73. Mr. Monteilh was forced, under the color of authority by the FBI and its agents, to plead guilty to grand theft, suffer a felony conviction and endure sixteen (16) months in prison for work performed at the direction of the FBI.
- 74. While incarcerated at the Orange County Jail, Mr. Monteilh was approached by the FBI through Special Agent Tracy Hanlon with tasking orders from the FBI Organized Crime Division, Balkan Criminal Enterprises Group.
- 75. The FBI Organized Crime Division, Balkan Criminal Enterprises Group, tasked Mr. Monteilh with assisting in an undercover operation and surveillance of Voicu Gheorghe Gruia. Orange County Deputy District Attorney Joe Williams is quoted as saying Voicu Gheorghe Gruia is "a principal figure in the Romanian Mafia."
- 76. Mr. Monteilh worked undercover using the identity of a Hungarian-French immigrant, the grandson of a man who was a war hero in the Hungarian Revolution of 1956. Over a period of weeks, Mr. Monteilh acquired the confidence and trust of Voicu Gheorghe Gruia, leading to the FBI's first acquisition of a sophisticated skimming device used to steal money from ATM machines and the arrests of Gheorghina Gruia and Joseph Deaconn. Mr. Monteilh is informed they are all involved in the Romanian Mafia and considered to be extremely dangerous.
- 77. Mr. Monteilh, using the intelligence gathered through Voicu Gheorghe Gruia and his cohorts in jail, was able to thwart the murder for hire plans to assassinate Chad Taranteau, the primary witness against Voicu Gheorghe Gruia.
 - 78. After the successful completion of the tasking orders for the FBI

Organized Crime Division, Balkan Criminal Enterprises Group concerning Voicu Gheorghe Gruia, Mr. Monteilh was approached by Special Agent Kevin Armstrong on behalf of the National Security Branch, Counterterrorism Division, to once again implement him as a human intelligence operative spying on the Islamic community within the Orange County Jail.

- 79. The FBI provided tasking orders that included taking on the identity of Omar Moussa, an Algerian-French jihadist, and infiltrating, surveilling and gathering intelligence on Muslims in jail. Mr. Monteilh, through his work pursuant to those tasking orders, uncovered the early stages of a terrorist plot involving several persons incarcerated in the Orange County Jail including but not limited to Otto Paul Burgi, Tyrone Rye and Khalil Hamdan.
- 80. Mr. Monteilh reported this information to Special Agent Kevin Armstrong and later directly to Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls. Despite all the prior completed tasks, valuable information and successful investigations, Assistant Special Agent in Charge Barbara Walls told Mr. Monteilh that she did not believe him.
- 81. Later the same day, the Irvine Police Department officers went to speak with Otto Paul Burgi, Tyrone Rye and Khalil Hamdan and revealed the very information Mr. Monteilh had obtained from each of them. At that point, Mr. Monteilh's life was placed in great danger.
- 82. Mr. Monteilh is informed that thereafter word spread at the Orange County Jail and later, when Mr. Monteilh was transferred from the Orange County Jail, to Wasco State Prison that Mr. Monteilh was either an informant or a "snitch." Mr. Monteilh is informed that his life was in danger as the Muslim extremists had ordered a "fatwa," the Romanian Mafia had ordered a "hit," the Mexican Mafia had ordered a "hit," and the White Supremacists were given a "green light" on Craig F. Monteilh.
 - 83. Mr. Monteilh communicated his grave concerns over the threats on his

life to the FBI and asked for the FBI to arrange for protective custody, but instead he was left in general population, thereby exposed to constant danger of being killed.

- 84. On April 27, 2008, while at Wasco prison, Mr. Monteilh was attacked several times, including a stabbing attack with a shank by members of P.E.N.1. ("Public Enemy Number One") the main white supremacist group in prison.
- 85. The stabbing attack resulted in deep lacerations to the left and right legs of Mr. Monteilh, which were then sprayed with mace by the prison guards, and left untreated by prison authorities at Wasco. Resulting from the attack, Mr. Monteilh has permanent scars on his legs and reduced mobility.
- 86. On May 2, 2008, a Captain of the Wasco Prison Guards interviewed Mr. Monteilh for Title 115 prison rules violations. Mr. Monteilh is aware that in his report he wrote that Mr. Monteilh was an FBI informant.
- 87. Afterwards, Mr. Monteilh was transferred from Wasco State Prison to Coalinga Community Correctional Facility. Again, Mr. Monteilh asked for the FBI to arrange protective custody, but he was left in general population and exposed to constant danger of being killed. Mr. Monteilh was physically attacked several more times while at Coalinga, each time fighting for his life.
- 88. Mr. Monteilh was finally release from prison on August 16, 2008. Mr. Monteilh's parole was terminated on September 15, 2009.
- 89. Mr. Monteilh learned on or about January 13, 2010, from local law enforcement that Joseph Deaconn of the Romanian Mafia, who had vowed to cut off the head of Craig Monteilh, had become a fugitive on December 7, 2009. To the date of this Complaint, the FBI has not contacted Mr. Monteilh to make him aware that the man who vowed to cut off his head had become a fugitive and believed to be at large in California.
- 90. Mr. Monteilh continues to live in fear for his life and with the mental and physical scars caused by Defendants and each of them. Mr. Monteilh seeks damages for the violation of his rights and injuries, in excess of \$10,000,000, as set

forth in the prayer below.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS - 42 USC § 1983 AGAINST DEFENDANTS CARR AND IRVINE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND DOES 1 - 30

- 91. Plaintiff incorporates all the above stated paragraphs, and each of them, as though set forth in their entirety herein.
- 92. Defendants Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 1 30 violated 42 USC § 1983, by, through and with their agents, by acting under color of law and subjecting Craig F. Monteilh to the deprivation of rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States of America.
- 93. Defendants Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 1 30, deprived Mr. Monteilh of his 4th Amendment right to be secure in his person against unreasonable seizures, as set forth above.
- 94. Defendants Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 1 30, deprived Mr. Monteilh of his 5th Amendment rights to life, liberty, and due process by failing to provide him his *Miranda* warning.
- 95. Defendants Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 1 30, deprived Mr. Monteilh of his 8th Amendment right to be free from excessive bail, as set forth above.
- 96. Defendants Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 1 30, deprived Mr. Monteilh of his immunity granted him under the laws of the United States of America to act as an informant for the FBI, as referenced by documents Mr. Monteilh had signed and relied upon at the direction of the FBI Criminal and Counterterrorism Divisions.
- 97. Defendants Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 1 30 are liable to Mr. Monteilh for damages for the deprivation of his rights as prayed for below.

3

4

5

6 7

9

8

11 12

10

13 14

1516

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

27

26

28 DOES 31 - 60

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS - 42 USC § 1985 AGAINST DEFENDANT WALLS, CARR,

IRVINE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND DOES 31 - 60

- 98. Plaintiff incorporates all the above stated paragraphs, and each of them, as though set forth in their entirety herein.
- 99. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 60, and each of them, conspired for the purpose of impeding, hindering, obstructing, or defeating in any manner, the due course of justice in California with the intent to deny Mr. Monteilh equal protection of the laws.
- 100. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 60, and each of them, conspired to deprive Mr. Monteilh of his 4th Amendment right to be secure in his person against unreasonable seizures, as set forth above.
- 101. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 60, and each of them, conspired to deprive Mr. Monteilh of his 5th Amendment rights to life, liberty, and due process by ordering him not to contest the restraining order or the grand theft case, as set forth above.
- 102. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 60, and each of them, conspired to deprive Mr. Monteilh of his 8th Amendment right to be free from excessive bail, as set forth above.
- 103. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 60, and each of them, conspired to deprive Mr. Monteilh of his immunity granted him under the laws of the United States of America to act as an informant for the FBI, as referenced by documents Mr. Monteilh had signed and relied upon at the direction of the FBI Criminal and Counterterrorism Divisions.
- 104. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 60 engaged in conspiracy concerning the above stated acts, and caused

 to be done acts in furtherance of the object of such conspiracy, whereby Mr. Monteilh was injured in his person and property, and deprived of having and exercising his rights and privileges as a citizen of the United States.

105. Defendants Barbara Walls, Ron Carr, the Irvine Police Department and DOES 31 - 60 are liable to Mr. Monteilh for damages for his injuries and the deprivation of his rights as prayed for below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FTCA - 28 USC § 2679, et seq. [NEGLIGENCE] AGAINST DEFENDANTS FBI AND DOES 61 - 100

- 106. Plaintiff incorporates all the above stated paragraphs, and each of them, as though set forth in their entirety herein.
- 107. The Federal Tort Claim Act (FTCA) authorizes recovery for personal injury, death, or property damage caused by negligent federal government employees acting within the scope of their federal employment.
- 108. The liability of the FBI and DOES 61 100 is determined by the law of the state of California pursuant to 28 USC § 2679.
- 109. The negligence claims of Craig F. Monteilh against the FBI and DOES 61 100 are allowed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2680.
- 110. Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh seeks the remedy of money damages for the negligent acts and/or omissions of federal government employees as set forth in the above-stated paragraphs.
- 111. Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh presented an administrative tort claim to the Defendant FBI, within two years of accrual of the claim, for adjudication before filing this suit in federal court.
- 112. In the administrative tort claim presented to the FBI, Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh demanded \$10,000,000, the same amount demanded herein.
- 113. Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh has filed the instant Complaint within six months of the agency's denial of the administrative tort claim.

- 114. Defendant FBI and DOES 61 100 were aware Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh was working as an informant for the FBI.
- 115. Based upon the five (5) year agency relationship between the FBI and Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh, the signed Federal documents describing the agency relationship, and the representation made by the FBI concerning its duties to Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh for his work as an informant, Defendant FBI and DOES 61 100 had a legal duty to exercise reasonable care in the handling of Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh as an informant.
- 116. Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh is entitled to all rights, immunities and privileges as a United States citizen.
- 117. Defendants FBI and DOES 61 100 deprived Mr. Monteilh of his 4th Amendment right to be secure in his person against unreasonable seizures, as set forth above.
- 118. Defendants FBI and DOES 61 100 deprived Mr. Monteilh of his 5th Amendment rights to life, liberty, and due process by ordering him not to contest the restraining order or the grand theft case, as set forth above.
- 119. Defendants FBI and DOES 61 100 deprived Mr. Monteilh of his 8th Amendment right to be free from excessive bail, as set forth above.
- 120. Defendants FBI and DOES 61 100 deprived Mr. Monteilh of his immunity granted him under the laws of the United States of America to act as an informant for the FBI, as referenced by documents Mr. Monteilh had signed and relied upon at the direction of the FBI Criminal and Counterterrorism Divisions.
- 121. Defendant FBI and DOES 61 100 had a legal duty not to cause Plaintiff Craig Monteilh to be deprived of his rights under the Constitution of the United States of America and suffer physical and emotional distress injuries.
- 122. Defendant FBI and DOES 61 100, their respective agents and/or employees negligently and/or recklessly breached their duty of care to Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh by failing to prevent the foreseeable harm described in the preceding

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28 paragraphs, including but not limited to the restraining order, the grand theft conviction, being placed in general population in prison, being attacked and stabbed, and living in fear for his life.

- 123. The acts and omissions of Defendant FBI and DOES 61 100 were the proximate and legal cause of damages to Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh, reason by which Defendants have to compensate all the damages they have caused, including but not limited to damages for physical injuries, lost wages, economic damages and emotional distress.
- 124. Defendants FBI and DOES 61 100 are liable to Mr. Monteilh for damages of \$10,000,000 as prayed for below.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Craig F. Monteilh prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

- For general damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of \$75,000; 1.
- For special damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of \$75,000; 2.
- For economic damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of 3. \$75,000;
- For consequential damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of 4. \$75,000;
- For attorneys' fees per statute; 5.
- For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. 6.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:

- For general damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of \$75,000; 7.
- For statutory damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of 8. \$75,000;
- For economic damages in a sum according to proof at trial in excess of 9. \$75,000;

e 8:10-cv-00102-JVS⁽RNB Document 1 Filed 01/22/10 Page 21 of 26 Page ID #:21

COMPLAINT OF CRAIG MONTEILH

USDC, CENTRAL DISTRICT

Case 8:10-cv-00102-JVS-RNB Document 1 Filed 01/22/10 Page 22 of 26 Page ID #:22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) CRAIG F. MONTEILH				DEFENDANTS FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, a government entity; IRVINE POLICE DEPARTMENT; a government entity; RON CARR, an individual; BARBARA WALLS, an individual; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive										
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Adam J Krolikowski (SBN 202946) Woods & Krolikowski				Attorne	eys (If	Known)		-						
1200 Main Street, Suite H,	Irvine,	CA 92614 T. 949-269-18	69											
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)				III. CITIZEN (Place an	X in one	OF PR e box f	INCIPAL P for plaintiff a	ARTI and one	ES - For def	or Diversity Cases (fendant.)	Only			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This	s State			PTF □ 1	DEF □ 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business in this		Place	PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□4	Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	nship	Citizen of And	other Stat	te		□2	□ 2	Incorporated and I of Business in An			□ 5	□ 5
				Citizen or Sub	ject of a	Foreig	gn Country	□3	□3	Foreign Nation			□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one	box or	nly.)												
original Proceeding State Court State State Court State State Court State State State State Court State Sta														
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: EY	'es □	No (Check 'Ye	es' only	if dem	anded in con	nplaint	i.)					
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	P. 23:	□ Yes DrNo		150	MONE	EY DE	MANDED I	IN CO	MPLA	INT: \$ 10,000,00	0.00			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite 42 USC §§1983; 19	the U.S 85	S. Civil Statute under whice and Federal Tor-	h you :	are filing and w	vrite a bri	ief stat	tement of cau	ise. D	o not ci	te jurisdictional sta La negligene	tutes unl	ess div	ersity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an Xi	in one box only.)												
OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110	CONTRACT. Insurance		TORTS RSONAL INJUI		P	TORTS ERSONAL		·	RISONER PETITIONS	□ 710 l			andards
☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		Marine Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Prod	luct 🗀	SHOWN REPRESENTA	ROPERTY Other Fraud	9954793499E	□ 510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	☐ 72 0 1	Act Labor/l	Mgmt.	
□ 450 Commerce/ICC	□ 140	Negotiable Instrument	□ 320	Liability Assault, Libel	1		Truth in Len	_	C 620	Habeas Corpus	☐ 73 0 ☐	Relatio		
Rates/etc. ☐ 460 Deportation	□ 150	Recovery of Overpayment &	İ	Slander						General Death Penalty	1	Report	ing &	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced		Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employe Liability	ers'		Property Day Product Liab		□ 540	Mandamus/ Other	□ 74 0 □	Disclo: Railwa		
and Corrupt Organizations	□ 151	Judgment Medicare Act		Marine Marine Produ		BA	NKRUPTCY	y i		Civil Rights	□ 790	Other I	Labor	
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	□ 152	Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl.	343	Liability			Appeal 28 U 158	JSC	□ 555 • • • • • •	Prison Condition REFITURE	□ 791	Litigat Empl		c
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service		Veterans)		Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle			Withdrawal	28	•	PENALTY	1 :	Securit	y Act	
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	□ 153	Recovery of	333	Product Liabi	ility 🏻		USC 157		□ 610	Agriculture Other Food &	PR€ □ 820			HTS ·
Exchange ☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12		Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Other Persona Injury			VIL RIGHTS Voting	2:::::::::	1 020	Drug	□ 830			
USC 3410		Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Personal Inju	-را		Employmen		□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840			JTY
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Act		Other Contract Contract Product	□ 365	Med Malprac Personal Injur		J 443	Housing/Ac mmodations			Seizure of Property 21 USC	□ 861			
□ 892 Economic Stabilization		Liability		Product Liabi	ility 🗀		Welfare			881	□ 862		•	•
Act	260030024989988	Franchise	□ 368	Asbestos Pers Injury Produc		3 445	American w Disabilities			Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	□ 863	(405(g		N
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation	1	Liability	1		Employmen			Airline Regs	□ 864			VI
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act		Foreclosure	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	MMIGRATION		□ 446	American w		□ 660	Occupational	□ 865			SUITS
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal		Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	462	 Naturalization Application 	"		Disabilities Other	-	□ 690	Safety /Health Other				Plaintiff
Access to Justice	□ 245	Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Habeas Corp		440	Other Civil					or Def	endant	:)
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	290	All Other Real Property	□ 465	Alien Detaine Other Immig			Rights				□ 871	USC 7		uty 20
Care Caraneo				Actions										
	L		Ц						L					

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SA (U10-00102

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 8:10-cv-00102-JVS-RNB) Document 1 Filed 01/22/10 Páge 23 of 26 Page ID #:23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: H If yes, list case number(s):	as this action been pr	reviously filed in this court and	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ♥No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? ✓ No ☐ Yes If yes, list case number(s): ☐							
□ C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinati For other reasons w	e or closely related transactior ion of the same or substantially ould entail substantial duplica	ns, happenings, or events; or y related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the case) List the County in this District			necessary.) fother than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.				
County in this District:*	its agencies or emplo	byees is a named plaintiff. If t	his box is checked, go to item (b).				
ORANGE			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
(b) List the County in this District, Check here if the government,	California County o	outside of this District; State if byees is a named defendant. It	other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation	California County o	outside of this District; State if	other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles and Or	ange County						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berna Note: In land condemnation cases, u	rdino, Riverside, Vose the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or Se tract of land involved	an Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY		4/1-					
or other papers as required by la	w. This form, approv	ved by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to S	ocial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action				
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge James V. Selna and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Robert N. Block.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV10- 102 JVS (RNBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

	Western Division								
LI	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8								
	Los Angeles, CA 90012								

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

	Eastern Division									
	3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 13									
	Riverside, CA 92501									

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 8:10-cv-00102-JVS-RNB Document 1	Filed 01/22/10 Page 25 of 26 F	Page ID #:25
Name & Address: Adam J Krolikowski (SBN 202946) Woods & Krolikowski 1200 Main Street, Suite H Irvine, CA 92614 T. 949-269-1869 F. 949-269-1868		
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA	
CRAIG F. MONTEILHAN INCIVIONAL ALAIVTIFF(S)	SACIID-100-JUS CRAIB)
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, a government entity; IRVINE POLICE DEPARTMENT, a government entity; RON CARR, an individual; DEFENDANT(S). BARBARA WALLS an	SUMMONS	
individual, and DOES 1 to 100 inclu TO: DEFENDANT(S):	THE ONLY	
Within 21 days after service of this summo must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☐ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney,	complaint \(\sigma\) amended c \(\frac{1}{2}\) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedur \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\)	omplaint
judgment by default will be entered against you for the your answer or motion with the court.		
Dated: Fo 2 JAN 2010	Clerk, U.S. District Court	
Dated: Z JAN ZUN	By: (Seal of the Court)	
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the Un	ited States. Allowed
CV-01A (12/07) SUM	IMONS	

Name & Address:			
Adam J Krolikowski (SBN 202946)			
Woods & Krolikowski			
1200 Main Street, Suite H			
Irvine, CA 92614	40		
T. 949-269-1869 F. 949-269-1868			
		DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA	
CRAIG F. MONTEILHAA INGI	vidual .	CASPARMER	
, and a second s	PLAINTIFF(S)		
	DI INITIEE(C)		
V.	P TLAINTIFF(3)	SACVID-102-JUS (RAIB	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTI	GATION, a		
government entity; IRVINE DEPARTMENT, a government	entity:	SUMMONS	
RON CARR, an individual;	DEFENDANT(S).		
BARBARA WALLS an			
individual, and DOES 1 to	o 100 inclu	sive	
TO: DEFENDANT(S):			
A lawsuit has been filed against Within 6 days after service When the plaintiff or answer to		TO ENTER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
A lawsuit has been filed against	OF I		
	FIRE		
Within <u>LO</u> days after service	e of this summor	ns on you (not counting the day you receiv	ed it), you
Thus, serve on the plaintill all answer to	uic attached by		nplaint
or motion must be served on the plainti	ion under Kule i ff's attorney	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	ose address is
		If yo	u fail to do so,
judgment by default will be entered aga	inst you for the 1	relief demanded in the complaint. You als	o must file
your answer or motion with the court.			
		Clerk, U.S. District Court	
		CICIK, O.S. DISTINGTON	
0.000			
Dated: 2 2 JAN 2010		By: WANTED DAVIS	·
		Deputy Clerk	
		(Seal of the Court)	
		(Sett of the County)	
	1		
Illse 60 days if the defendant is the United Stat	es or a United State	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the Unite	d States. Allowed
60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].			
CV-01A (12/07)	SUM	MONS	
U + U111 (144 VI).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	