10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 F	iled 11/04/11 Pg 1 of 106 Pg ID 986
EASTERN DIS	TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF MICHIGAN ERN DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff, v. UMAR FAROUK ABDULMUTALLAB,	HONORABLE NANCY G. EDMUNDS No. 10-CR-20005
Defendant.	_/
Tuesday,	IAL - VOLUME 4 October 11, 2011
Appearances: Mr. Jonathan Tukel Ms. Cathleen M. Corken Mr. Michael C. Martin 211 W. Fort Street, #2300 Detroit, Michigan 48226 On behalf of Plaintiff	<pre>Mr. Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab In Pro Per Mr. Anthony Chambers Law Offices of Anthony Chambers 535 Griswold, Suite 1330 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Standby Counsel on behalf of Defendant</pre>
Suzanne Jacques,	<u>fied transcript, contact:</u> Official Court Reporter anscriptorders.com
Proceedings recorded Transcript produced by	by mechanical stenography.

10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg : 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Vo	
INDEX	
	Page
Government's Motion to Exclude Fact Witnes	ses
and to Permit the Attendance of Expert Wit	nesses 3
Defendant's Motion to Exclude	5
Jury Instructions	11
Opening Statement by Mr. Tukel	18
Government's Case in Chief	Page
Mike Zantow	
Direct Examination by Ms. Corken	84
Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Chamb	ers 91
Resume Direct Exam by Ms. Corken	93
Government's Exhibits	
Number	Received
$\frac{1}{2.1}$	89
3	92
	0.4

6.1 94 6.2 95

**10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 3 of 106** 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4 Pg ID 988 Detroit, Michigan 1 Tuesday, October 11, 2011 2 3 9:05 a.m. 4 THE CLERK: Court calls the case, United States 5 versus Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab, 10-20005. Place your 6 7 appearances on the record, please. 8 MR. TUKEL: If it please the Court, Jonathan 9 Tukel, Cathleen Corken and Michael Martin, Assistant United 10 States Attorneys on behalf of the United States. 11 MR. CHAMBERS: Good morning, Anthony Chambers 12 along with Mr. Abdulmutallab, who is seated to my left. 13 THE COURT: Good morning. We have a couple of 14 motions to take care of before we begin with opening statements and instructions. 15 16 We have first the government's motion to 17 exclude fact witnesses and to permit the attendance of 18 expert witnesses. 19 MR. TUKEL: That is a standard motion under Rule 20 415. As to fact witnesses, we have asked that they will be 21 sequestered. I talked about it with Mr. Chambers last week. 22 He agreed he will be asking for sequestration of the 23 government witnesses. We concur in that, and we similarly ask that 24 the Court exclude the potential defense witnesses who 25 Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 210-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 4 of 106 Pg ID 989 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

were -- who was identified last week as Kurt Haskell. 1 We also ask that his wife Lori Haskell be excluded. 2 They are 3 both present in the courtroom here. THE COURT: Mr. Chambers. 4 5 MR. CHAMBERS: We are asking for a mutual 6 sequestration order, and we believe it is appropriate. 7 THE COURT: I'll grant that motion. Mr. Haskell 8 is excluded. Mrs. Haskell is not a witness. I would permit her to stay in the courtroom with a specific order that she 9 10 not communicate with her husband with respect to any of the 11 testimony that is elicited here in court, anything that is 12 elicited here in court. Where is Mrs. Haskell? 13 MS. HASKELL: Right here, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: You can abide by that order? 15 MS. HASKELL: Yes, I can, Judge. 16 THE COURT: You're not to discuss anything that is 17 presented in court by way of testimony, exhibits, or 18 anything else. 19 MS. HASKELL: Okay. No problem, Judge, thank you. 20 MR. TUKEL: And then the other aspect of the 21 motion, Your Honor, as to a potential --22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. He can't be in the 23 overflow courtroom either. 24 MR. TUKEL: Could we perhaps instruct him of that in the Court's presence? 25

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### **10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 5 of 106 Pg ID 990** 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

1 THE COURT: Mr. Haskell, you know you can't be in 2 the overflow courtroom either.

3

4

MR. HASKELL: Right. I know, Judge. No problem. THE COURT: Thank you.

5 MR. TUKEL: And then the other aspect of the 6 motion, Your Honor, was to permit the government's martyrdom 7 expert, Dr. Simon Perry, to be present during the 8 presentation of evidence. The Court has already determined 9 that his testimony as to the practice of martyrdom is 10 admissible evidence, and he has indicated that it could be 11 helpful to him to be present.

12 We've said in the cases set forth that in 13 general expert witnesses are not excluded by virtue of 14 Rule 415. I will indicate, however, that Dr. Perry's 15 statement to me that it would be helpful to be present was 16 predicated on the assumption that the defendant would be 17 participating and delivering the opening statement. That 18 part, obviously, we now know that he will not be, and I 19 don't know what portions if any the defendant may be 20 involved in later on, so I think we could actually probably 21 wait until such time as the defendant indicates that he 22 intends to take an active role.

THE COURT: I think that would be a good idea. In addition, I mean, I would tend to deny his -- deny the motion to permit him to be present generally without

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

# 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4 Pg ID 991

prejudice to the government to raise it later if the issue
 of the defendant's participation becomes more of an issue.
 MR. TUKEL: That's more than satisfactory, Your
 Honor.

5 **THE COURT:** Mr. Chambers, you filed two motions as 6 well.

7 MR. CHAMBERS: That is correct. There are two
8 motions that are pretty self-explanatory.

9 The first motion which we're requesting or asking the Court to exclude a particular exhibit which shows 10 11 Mr. Abdulmutallab's genital area. We believe as relates to 12 the injuries and other matters, there's other testimony that 13 will be presented by the government, and as such, there's no 14 necessity for that actual photo. We have identified the 15 photograph from the exhibit book, but we believe that 16 specific photo has no value whatsoever with regard to the 17 jury and should not be allowed.

Our second motion is a motion wherein we requested the government not be allowed during the course of the opening or the proceedings to describe the device or to suggest to the jury the device is either an explosive device or it's a bomb, quote-unquote.

That is an issue that will be for the jury, that the jury will ultimately have to decide. I believe certainly in closing argument they want to render or offer

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 210-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 7 of 106 Pg ID 992 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

such statements or such words, then they probably should be allowed to do it at that stage, but during the course of the proceedings in the trial, we're asking the Court to prohibit them from referring to the device as either an explosive device or a bomb.

I'm going to take the second one first 6 THE COURT: 7 and then I'll let you speak to the first one. I'm going to 8 deny that motion. We have cases all the time where we have guns, drugs, or whatever, and they're referred to as guns, 9 10 drugs, or whatever the charge is, and it makes no sense to 11 me whatsoever to preclude the government from referring to 12 whatever it was that Mr. Abdulmutallab had with him as a 13 bomb or explosive device.

14 Certainly, the defendant is not precluded 15 from arguing or cross examining witnesses about whether the 16 device in fact had adequate capacity to be a bomb or 17 explosive device, but motion denied.

18 MR. TUKEL: The exhibit we're talking about is a 19 single photograph and it depicts the severe burn that 20 defendant received to his thigh and his genitals. There 21 will be testimony from that from University of Michigan 22 Hospital employees, treating nurse Julia Longenecker, who 23 already testified at an evidentiary hearing, and some 24 doctors as well, and there is a single photograph to 25 document that.

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

## 2 10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 8 of 106 Pg ID 993 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

1	The photograph depicts the injuries with the
2	defendant's wrist band showing his name and date of birth in
3	order to identify and it was taken by the University of
4	Michigan Hospital. It is a standard type of documentation
5	that a hospital does to show the injuries and the treatment
6	that someone receives.
7	THE COURT: What's the exhibit number?
8	MR. TUKEL: 14, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: I see nothing in that photograph that
10	I find to be unusually prejudicial, certainly not more
11	prejudicial than probative, and I'm going to deny that
12	motion.
13	MR. CHAMBERS: I'd like to add, I understand the
14	Court's position, that it will not be disputed that there
15	were burns to that area. The medical records are coming in
16	as well as the testimony.
17	<b>THE COURT:</b> Thank you. Any other you had a
18	request for a side bar?
19	MR. CHAMBERS: Yes, we're asking the Court for a
20	side bar, please.
21	(The following side bar conference was held on the
22	record out of hearing of the jury with all counsel
23	and defendant present:)
24	THE COURT: Good morning.
25	THE DEFENDANT: Morning. Yeah, I don't want to
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

**10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 9 of 106 Pg ID 994** *10/11/2011* Jury Trial - Volume 4 1 contest the charges. 2 THE COURT: You don't want to contest any of the 3 charges? 4 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Have you discussed this with him? 5 6 MR. CHAMBERS: No, I have not. Can we have a few 7 moments? 8 THE COURT: Absolutely. 9 MR. CHAMBERS: This is my first discussion on 10 this. 11 MR. TUKEL: Can I just clarify? For 12 Mr. Chambers --13 THE COURT: Yes. MR. TUKEL: -- I don't know if the defendant 14 15 understands the difference between a nolo plea and an Alfred 16 plea and a quilty plea. We would need permission for a nolo 17 plea and an Alfred plea, and that would not be forthcoming. 18 MR. CHAMBERS: You said would not be forthcoming? 19 MR. TUKEL: Would not be, so if that is his 20 intention, it would have to be a guilty plea. 21 THE COURT: On all eight charges? 22 MR. TUKEL: All charges in the indictment. **THE COURT:** All right. I'll take a recess. 23 You 24 can have whatever time you need. You need to talk to 25 Mr. Chambers about this, all right?

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2	10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 10 of 106 Pg ID 995 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4
1	THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
2	(End of discussion at side bar.)
3	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we need to take
4	a recess. Mr. Abdulmutallab needs to discuss a matter with
5	Mr. Chambers. We'll take about a 10- or 15-minute recess.
6	(Recess taken 9:15 a.m. until 10:26 a.m.)
7	
8	THE COURT: Be seated.
9	Do we need to do this at side bar?
10	MR. CHAMBERS: No, we do not. We're prepared to
11	proceed.
12	THE COURT: Prepared to proceed?
13	MR. CHAMBERS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	(Jury in 10:28 a.m.)
16	THE COURT: Good morning. Be seated. Sorry for
17	the delay in getting started. We had a matter that had to
18	be resolved out of your presence, and it just took a little
19	longer than we anticipated. I'll try to avoid having that
20	happen again if possible, but sometimes it's just
21	inevitable, so I do apologize for the delay.
22	And I hope you had a nice weekend, had a
23	chance to get out and enjoy some of the beautiful weather,
24	didn't take the Tigers' losses too hard. But in any event,
25	we are ready to proceed. We need to swear in the new
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2 10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 11 of 106 Pg ID 996 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4 11 1 alternate juror. (Juror sworn in by the clerk.) 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you. I have some preliminary instructions to give 4 you before we begin with opening statements. These will 5 just take five or ten minutes, and then we'll move into 6 7 opening statements. 8 Members of the jury, now that you have been 9 sworn, I will give you some preliminary instructions to 10 guide you in your participation in the trial. It will be 11 your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You 12 and you alone will be the judges of the facts. You will 13 then have to apply to those facts the law as the Court will 14 give it to you. You must follow that law whether you agree 15 with it or not. 16 Nothing that I may say or do during the 17 course of the trial is intended to indicate or should 18 take -- be taken by you as indicating what your verdict 19 should be. 20 The evidence from which you will find the 21 facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents, 22 and other things received into the record as exhibits and 23 any facts that the lawyers agree to or stipulate to or that 24 I may instruct you to find. 25 Certain things are not evidence and must not Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### **2 10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 12 of 106 Pg ID 997 12 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

be considered by you. I will list them for you now.
 Statements, arguments, and questions by lawyers are not
 evidence.

So, for example, each side in this case will 4 5 give an opening statement. An opening statement is just kind of a road map for you of what the sides believe the 6 7 evidence will establish. The opening statement itself is not evidence. It's just a guide for you. The evidence is 8 the testimony, the exhibits, and stipulations, or things 9 10 sometimes I say, you know, you may find that the weather on 11 such and such a date was thus and such. If I tell you that 12 you may find that fact, then you may find that fact. 13 Generally speaking, testimony, exhibits, stipulations.

14 Objections to questions are not evidence. 15 Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make 16 objections when they believe evidence being offered is 17 improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be 18 influenced by the objection or by my ruling on it. If an 19 objection is sustained, you ignore the question. If it is 20 overruled, treat the answer like any other. If you are 21 instructed that some item of evidence is received for a 22 limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

For example, it may be that some of the evidence is relevant to one of the eight charges but not the others. I might tell you that, and you have to apply it

#### **2 10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 13 of 106 Pg ID 998** 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4 13

only to the charge that I tell you that it applies to. 1 2 Testimony that I exclude or tell you to 3 disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. 4 Anything that you may see or hear outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide the 5 case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom. 6 There are two kinds of evidence; direct and circumstantial. 7 Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact 8 such as testimony of an eyewitness. For example, if 9 10 somebody came into the courtroom and sat on the witness 11 stand and said, "I was just outside and it's raining," and 12 you believed that witness, that is direct evidence that it's 13 raining outside. 14 Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts 15 from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. 16 For example, if that same witness walked into the courtroom 17 wearing a wet raincoat and shaking water off an umbrella and 18 testified that he'd been outside, you could infer from that 19 that it's raining outside. That's circumstantial evidence. 20 I will give you further instructions on these 21 as well as other matters at the end of the case, but keep in 22 mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence. It will 23 be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which 24 witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness' 25 testimony to accept or reject. I will give you some

#### **2 10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 14 of 106 Pg ID 999** 14 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at
 the end of the case.

As you know, this is a criminal case. There are three basic rules about a criminal case that you must keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty. The indictment brought by the government against the defendant is only an accusation, nothing more. It is not proof of guilt or anything else. The defendant, therefore, starts out with a clean slate.

10 Second, the burden of proof is on the 11 government until the very end of the case. The defendant 12 has no burden to prove his innocence, nor to present any 13 evidence, nor to testify. Since the defendant has the right 14 to remain silent, the law prohibits you from arriving at 15 your verdict by considering that the defendant may not have 16 testified.

Third, the government must prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I will give you further instructions on this point later, but bear in mind that in this respect, a criminal case is different from a civil case, and actually I can give you some sense of this right now.

In a civil case, a plaintiff need only prove their case by what we call a preponderance of the evidence in the case, so if you think about those scales of justice,

#### **2:** 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 15 of 106 Pg ID 1000 15 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

all a plaintiff in a civil case need do is to tip those 1 2 scales ever so slightly in his or her favor. Just a bit. 3 That's a preponderance of the evidence in the case. In a criminal case, the government's burden 4 5 is to prove every element of every charge beyond a reasonable doubt. That's more than a preponderance. 6 That 7 is, those scales of justice have to weigh considerably more 8 heavily on the side of the government proving its case than they do in a civil case. 9 10 Now, we don't assign numbers to them or 11 anything like that, but I will tell you that proof beyond a 12 reasonable doubt means proof that convinces you of issues in 13 a way that you would be satisfied in making the most 14 important decision in your own lives. That's proof beyond a 15 reasonable doubt. 16 Now, a few words about your conduct as 17 jurors. First, I instruct you that during the trial you are 18 not to discuss the case with anyone or to permit anyone to 19 discuss it with you. Until you retire to the jury room at 20 the end of the case to deliberate on your verdict, you are 21 simply not to talk about this case even with each other. 22 Second, do not read or listen to anything 23 touching on this case in any way. If anyone tries to talk 24 to you about it, bring it to my attention promptly. 25 That, of course, means, and I've said this Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### **2:** 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 16 of 106 Pg ID 1001 16 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

many times before and you've been very good about following 1 this instruction, that means no internet, no newspapers, no 2 3 Google, no Yahoo, no CNN, nothing having to do with this 4 case. Third, do not try to do any research or make 5 6 any investigation about the case on your own. 7 Finally, do not form any opinion until all 8 the evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case. 9 10 If you want to take notes during the course 11 of the trial, you may do so, and before we start opening 12 statements we'll pass out note pads and pens to you. 13 However, it is difficult to take notes and pay attention to 14 what the witnesses are saying at the same time. If you do 15 take notes, be sure that your note-taking does not interfere 16 with your listening to and considering all of the evidence. 17 Also, if you do take notes, do not discuss 18 them with anyone before you begin your deliberations. Do 19 not take your notes with you at the end of the day. Be sure 20 to leave them in the jury room. 21 If you choose not to take notes, remember 22 that it is your own individual responsibility to listen 23 carefully to the evidence. You cannot give this

24 responsibility to someone who is taking notes. We depend on 25 the judgment of all members of the jury. You all must

# **2: O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 17 of 106 Pg ID 1002 17** *10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4*

1 remember the evidence in this case.

The trial will now begin. First, the government will make an opening statement which, as I mentioned before, is simply an outline to help you understand the evidence as it comes in.

Next, the defendant's attorney, standby
attorney, Mr. Chambers, I believe will be making an opening
statement on behalf of the defendant. Is that correct?

9 MR. CHAMBERS: We will be reserving our right to 10 make an opening until a later time.

11 THE COURT: All right. So he is reserving the 12 right to make an opening until a later time which is his 13 right to do. As I've told you, he has no obligation to make 14 an opening statement, to present any testimony, evidence, 15 witnesses, et cetera. And he is also allowed under the law 16 to reserve that right until a later time in the trial.

Government will then present its witnesses, and counsel for the defendant or standby counsel or defendant himself, since he is representing himself, may cross examine them. Following the government's case, the defendant may, if he wishes, present witnesses whom the government may cross examine.

After all the evidence is in, I will give you instructions on the law, and the attorneys will present their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the

#### **2:** 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 18 of 106 Pg ID 1003 18 10/11/2011 Jury Trial - Volume 4

1 evidence for you and apply it to the law that I've given you
2 in the instructions.

After that, you will retire to deliberate on4 your verdict.

5 I believe we are ready to proceed. I think I 6 mentioned this before, but use the top sheet as a cover 7 sheet, please. Just put your juror number on it.

Mr. Tukel.

8

9 MR. TUKEL: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning,
10 ladies and gentlemen. Welcome back to United States
11 District Court.

12 In many trials, I would simply say, "Welcome 13 to United States District Court," because in many trials, 14 the jury selection process and the opening statement would 15 take place on the same day, but we all know that the jury 16 selection process in this case started about a month ago 17 when you were called in to fill out jury questionnaires, and 18 then it continued last week when you were all here for at 19 least two days and some jurors were here for three days, 20 although I don't know if any of you were actually called on 21 to be here for three days. And we continued on.

And we know that none of you asked to be here, you don't really have a choice as to whether or not to be here, but that is the American justice system. It's a group of everyday citizens such as yourself who are called

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 19 of 106 Pg ID 1004 19 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

on to put aside their everyday routines and commitments and
 to make those sacrifices in order to be here, and to listen
 to the evidence and to decide important issues.

The process of jury selection that we started 4 last month resulted in Judge Edmunds finding that each and 5 6 every one of you is fair and objective, and that's why 7 you're here today, to come here, to listen to evidence, and 8 to decide the case solely on the basis of the evidence, and that's the way our constitution has said it's supposed to be 9 10 for over 200 years now. And while we know that you didn't 11 ask to be here, we thank you for that sacrifice and for your 12 attention and seriousness in the coming days and weeks as 13 you carry out that constitutional responsibility.

This is the first time that we're all really here together because of the way the jury selection process worked, except for a couple minutes last Thursday, so let me start back with some introductions.

My name is Jonathan Tukel, I'm an assistant United States attorney. Let me introduce my colleagues, Cathleen Corken, who is an assistant United States attorney, and Michael Martin, who is an assistant United States attorney.

Directly in front of you is Darlene Secord, who is a paralegal specialist. She's assisting us with running the projector and showing some of our evidence in

#### **2:** O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 20 of 106 Pg ID 1005 20 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

this case. And then finally in the corner is special agent 1 Theodore Peissig. He is a special agent with the Federal 2 Bureau of Investigation, and he has been in charge of this 3 case since the first day, since December 25 of 2009. 4 So what is it that brings us all here today, 5 what is this case about that has brought you in to be 6 7 selected as jurors? 8 On December 25, 2009, Christmas, Northwest Delta Flight 253 was flying from Amsterdam in the 9 10 Netherlands to Detroit, Michigan. Now, you may recall that 11 at that time, Delta and Northwest were two separate airlines 12 and they were going through a merger, and the merger was not 13 yet complete. So the aircraft were all painted Delta, which 14 was the new name that the airline was taking over, but the 15 flight itself was still called Northwest 253. It was still 16 a Northwest flight, and that flight was flying from 17 Amsterdam in the Netherlands, or Holland, to Detroit. 18 And so let's take a look at what that flight 19 was all about. There were approximately 290 people on that 20 flight from Amsterdam to Detroit. There were 279 21 passengers, there were eight flight attendants, and there

22 were three pilots. There was two copilots and one pilot.

Let's take a look at the flight path that the plane took on the trip from Amsterdam to Detroit. Every airport in the world has a three- or four-digit code that

#### 2: O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 21 of 106 Pg ID 1006 21 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

denominates what it is. EHAN is Amsterdam. So the flight left Amsterdam and it flew out across Great Britain and then across the Atlantic Ocean to Detroit. The symbol for the Detroit airport is DTW. And that's the route that it took, the blue line across the ocean. The plane was flying in that direction.

7 And here's a slightly more detailed view 8 closer to Detroit. So the plane took off in Amsterdam, and 9 it's flying into Detroit, in that direction, and at that 10 point, the plane had entered Canadian air space and then it 11 flew on and it entered the United States air space just very 12 shortly before it arrived in Detroit.

13 What was the makeup of Flight 253? Of those 14 290 people, they were from 26 different countries because 15 Amsterdam is sort of a crossroads of the world. It's in the 16 northwest part of Europe, so it's as close to the United 17 States as anyplace in Europe, but it's also located 18 centrally for other European countries and for African and 19 Asian countries, so a lot of flights fly through Amsterdam 20 and they connect onto other places.

21 On Flight 253, the largest single country 22 represented was the United States with 130 passengers on the 23 flight. The second largest was the Netherlands with 79 24 people, and the entire air crew and all the pilots were from 25 the United States, they were all American.

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 22 of 106 Pg ID 1007</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	They all had one thing in common. They all
2	had plans to be somewhere. Most were connecting through
3	Detroit to other flights.
4	Many were on their way to families for the
5	holidays. Some were coming back from overseas after
6	adopting children overseas, and there were a number of
7	children on Flight 253. Some were U.S. military families
8	who had been overseas for duty and were returning home.
9	Some had been visiting sick family members or
10	were on their way to see sick family members.
11	Some were not Americans but were coming here
12	for the holidays with friends and family. Many of them were
13	spending the time between Christmas and New Year's in the
14	United States, and many planned to do some shopping in the
15	United States.
16	All of those passengers had plans to be
17	somewhere. All of them except for one. This defendant,
18	Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab. He had no plans. He had a
19	mission. His mission was for al-Qaeda, the terrorist
20	organization. His mission, his goal, his sole reason for
21	being on Flight 253 was to blow it up.
22	His only reason for being on Flight 253 was
23	to kill all the other passengers and himself, to keep those
24	other passengers from getting to their destinations.
25	And he thought that by doing that he would
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 23 of 106 Pg ID 1008 23 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

end up somewhere else. He didn't think he would end up at
 Detroit Metropolitan Airport where Flight 253 was headed,
 but he thought he would end up in heaven because he would be
 a martyr.

5 The defendant's mission had only three 6 requirements. He was supposed to blow up an airliner, it 7 had to be a United States airliner, and it had to be blown 8 up over United States soil. All the rest was up to him.

9 In order to do that, the defendant had a bomb10 concealed in his underwear.

11 On the airplane as the defendant was 12 approaching Detroit on Flight 253, he took a number of trips 13 to the bathroom, and the reason he did that is because he 14 was engaging in rituals. He was preparing to die and enter 15 heaven.

On the airplane, he was fasting. He didn't drink, he didn't eat. In the bathroom on the airplane, he purified himself. He washed, he brushed his teeth, he put on perfume. And he was in the bathroom a very long time on the airplane, in fact, too long, that he could have been doing nothing except praying and purifying himself to get ready to die.

And after that, the defendant returned to his seat on the airplane. He took a blanket and he pulled the blanket up over his face and over his head and he pushed the

### **2: O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 24 of 106 Pg ID 1009 24 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1	plunger on the bomb and he set the bomb off. And then there
2	was a loud pop. Almost all of the passengers on the plane
3	heard the loud pop. Many described that it sounded like a
4	fire cracker, and then there was smoke and the smoke was
5	coming from where the defendant was sitting. And then there
6	was a fireball, and the fireball was literally on the
7	defendant. It was on his lap, and it was coming out from
8	inside his clothes. And he was enveloped in the fireball.
9	And then the fireball spread and it started a
10	fire of the seat and the carpeting and some of the other
11	areas of the aircraft, and then all hell broke loose.
12	While that fireball was on him, the defendant
13	sat there. He didn't move. He was expressionless. He was
14	completely blank.
15	The aircraft that we're talking about that
16	was Flight 253 is an Airbus A330. There's a picture of it.
17	And I'm going to show you a schematic. This is a schematic
18	of what the seating chart looked like, and it's a little bit
19	small in this angle. We're going to look at it in greater
20	detail, but this is the front of the airplane is at the
21	top, and you'll get to see it in a little bit more detail.
22	That's the airplane on the ground, and we're
23	going to be talking about sort of the middle seats, inside
24	the red circle, from roughly row 16 back to row 23 or 24.
25	You can see the plane goes on, there are rows up into the

#### **2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 25 of 106 Pg ID 1010 25 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

40s. And that circle where we're talking about in the middle represents roughly those middle windows that we're showing on the photograph right there. That's the area we're mostly talking about.

5 So here's a magnification of that area. We're looking at rows 15 to 22. And the defendant 6 7 Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab was seated in seat 19A, right 8 there, in a window along the wing. There were a number of 9 other passengers obviously on that flight. In seat 20C, 10 which is one row behind the defendant and across the aisle, 11 was Michael Zantow. Michael Zantow was a more than 20-year 12 veteran of the United States Army. He worked overseas, he's 13 no longer in the Army, and he was returning home to visit 14 his sick mother.

He saw the smoke and he helped to try and restrain the defendant.

In seat 20B, one row behind and just to the right of the defendant is Linda Lammerts. She is a Dutch citizen, but her sister-in-law lives in the United States, she lives in Michigan, and Linda Lammerts and her husband and her children were on their way to visit her sister-in-law, their aunt, for the holidays. And she observed the defendant smoking and on

24 fire.

25

In seat 17A, two rows in front of the

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 26 of 106 Pg ID 1011 26 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

defendant, directly in front of him was Nicolette Stickler. 1 Nicolette Stickler's husband is in the U.S. Army and they 2 3 had been overseas where he had been deployed. She was on her way back to the United States for the holidays, she had 4 her 11-month-old daughter on her lap. 5 She saw the smoke, she saw the fire when that 6 7 To get her daughter away from the smoke and the happened. 8 fire, she moved forward in the plane. We'll talk about that a little bit. 9 10 In seat 16D was Krista Williams, who lived in 11 She and her husband were returning from overseas. Indiana. 12 They had been in Africa adopting a child, and they were on 13 their way home with that child. 14 In seat 18C was Dominique Vandevelde. As you

14 In Seat 18C was Dominique Vandevelde. As you 15 can probably tell from the name, she's a Dutch citizen. She 16 was sitting right here in 18C. She was on her way to New 17 York. She was connecting through Detroit to New York with 18 her sister and a friend to spend that week between Christmas 19 and New Year's doing shopping in New York.

20 She observed the flames, she had observed the 21 defendant with the perfume that we talked about for the 22 rituals, and she saw what took place.

In seat 18G was Azra Rafiq. She is also a
Dutch citizen. She and her daughter were also traveling to
New York to spend the time between Christmas and New Year's

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 27 of 106 Pg ID 1012</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening	2
1	and they were going on a shopping trip.	
2	And Azra Rafiq saw the defendant, she saw the	
3	smoke, she saw the fire and she saw the defendant sitting	
4	literally in a ball of fire.	
5	In seat 19G was passenger Pascal Emanuels,	
6	also a Dutch citizen. He was traveling also with his	
7	girlfriend at the time to New York to spend the holidays	
8	there, and he saw the smoke and then he got involved in	
9	trying to put out the fire. A number of passengers got	
10	involved in trying to put out the fire and trying to control	
11	the defendant. And Pascal Emanuels is one.	
12	In seat 20J was passenger Jasper Schuringa,	
13	also a Dutch citizen. His name in Dutch is actually, the	
14	last name is pronounced "Sringha," and that is how many of	
15	the Dutch citizens will probably refer to him.	
16	Mr. Schuringa also, when he saw what was going on, ran over,	
17	helped restrain the defendant and attempted to put out the	
18	fire as well.	
19	Of course, none of the passengers could be	
20	successful in putting out the fire because it was a chemical	
21	fire, and you can't put out a chemical fire with your hands,	
22	and so what ultimately happened is flight attendants came	
23	with fire extinguishers and put out the fire, and that's how	
24	the fire was put out. But a number of the passengers tried	
25	to put out the fire on their own.	

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 28 of 106 Pg ID 1013 28 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

In seat 21J was passenger Demetrius Bessis. He was returning from overseas, home to Atlanta where he lived, and he too got involved in trying to control the fire and to put it out and actually used a hat to try and put out the fire; again, was unsuccessful because you can't put out that type of fire with a hat.

7 So as I said, the defendant was seated in 8 seat 19A, right there. And once the fire began in that circle, there was a lot of action. There were a lot of 9 10 things going on. I'm going to show you which passengers got 11 involved in attempting to put out the fire. Pascal Emanuels 12 in seat 19G, Jasper Schuringa in seat 20J, Demetrius Bessis 13 in seat 21J, and then over here, closer to the defendant, 14 Michael Zantow in seat 20C all became involved in trying to put out the fire, and some or all of them became involved in 15 16 trying to restrain the defendant to stop him from what he 17 was doing.

And they all ran into that red area to try to put out the fire and to contain what was going on on the plane.

Also on that flight, as I mentioned, were a
number of flight attendants. One flight attendant was
Lamare Mason. He was further away from the defendant
because he was walking up and down the aisle doing his job.
He was down in row 29, or approximately row 29, so it's not

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 29 of 106 Pg ID 1014 29 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

on that chart, but imagine him further down from row 22.
He saw and heard the passengers screaming
because there was panic on the plane. Passengers were
standing, screaming, people were calling fire. A lot of
people couldn't even tell what was going on. But there was
a lot going on on the airplane.

7 Mr. Mason came running to see what was going 8 on, saw that there was a fire, ran back and got a fire 9 extinguisher and came back and fought the fire. One of his 10 colleagues, Richard Cho, had been down on the other aisle in 11 approximately row 32, saw the same thing, heard the 12 screaming, came, saw that there was a fire, ran, got a fire 13 extinguisher, came back. And Mr. Mason and Mr. Cho ran into 14 that same area where those other four passengers that I just 15 described were still struggling with the defendant, still 16 trying to restrain him, and they sprayed the fire, they 17 sprayed the defendant, they sprayed whatever other 18 passengers were in there and that's what put the fire out. 19 They got the fire out and they put it out.

After the fire was out, Mr. Schuringa and the flight attendant, Richard Cho, took the defendant and they walked him up to the business class section right up in front, and they put him in seat number one. And the reason they did that was because it was easier to watch him there. They wanted to guard him to see what was going to happen.

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 30 of 106 Pg ID 1015 30 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

And this was at the very end of the flight. We looked at those maps, it's an eight-hour flight from Amsterdam, and the bomb was set off just moments before the plane was supposed to land. So there wasn't much time left in the flight.

6 So here is a schematic showing the business 7 class in the front of the airplane. The defendant, as I 8 said, had been here, in seat 19A. After the fire was out, 9 Mr. Schuringa and the flight attendant, Mr. Cho, took the 10 defendant and moved him up here to seat 1G.

Let me just tell you, the seats in the business class section up front are lettered a little bit differently. So in the coach section it goes A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J. It doesn't work like that in the business class, so the seats go A, B, C, G, H, J, so you might see some discrepancies with the letters. That's why.

And they took the defendant and they marched him up here, and they sat him down in that seat, 1G. And that was so they could watch him for the rest of the flight.

Before they sat him down in that seat, his pants were down around his ankles, and they were down around his ankles as they walked him up the aisle because he had pulled the pants down in order to set off the bomb, to push the plunger and set off the bomb. So those pants were down around his ankles as they walked him up to seat 1G.

### **2: O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 31 of 106 Pg ID 1016 31 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1	When they got up to 1G, they took his pants
2	completely off. All that was left was a bit of the
3	underwear which had contained the bomb, and they took off
4	his shoes as well. And so the defendant then sat in seat 1G
5	for the remainder of the flight, essentially naked from the
6	waist down, with a piece of the underwear that was left that
7	had contained the bomb. He was given a blanket to cover
8	himself, but no pants, no shoes. Just showed an indication
9	between row 13 and 14, by row 13B.
10	As the defendant was being walked from his
11	seat in 19A up to 1G, the explosive, what was left of the
12	explosive that had been in his underwear fell out, and it
13	was found there, the package, behind seat 13B by the FBI
14	when they searched the plane later.
15	That explosive is called PETN, it's an
16	abbreviation for a very long chemical name, and that package
17	was found there, it had fallen out of the defendant's pants
18	as he was taken past that aisle on the way up to 1G.
19	When the defendant got up to business class,
20	there was a passenger named Ramy Guirgis. At the time, Ramy
21	Guirgis was an employee of Delta Airlines, he was a flight
22	instructor, and he was wearing his uniform, but he was on
23	personal travel. Airline employees are allowed to take
24	trips, and he was coming back on a trip.
25	He told the rest of the flight crew, "I work
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 32 of 106 Pg ID 1017 32 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 for Delta. I will sit next to the defendant. I can guard 2 him while you're doing what you need to do." And that's 3 what he did, he sat next to him for the rest of that flight. 4 Right there.

5 During that time, during those few minutes 6 that were left on the flight, they engaged in conversation, 7 and the defendant told Ramy Guirgis that he had ignited the 8 device that he had.

9 There was another flight attendant on that 10 flight that day, her name was -- is Dionne Monroe-Ransom, 11 and she, when the plane was coming in to land, was sitting 12 in what's called a jump seat. It's not a regular seat like 13 the passengers have. It's a fold-down seat that the flight 14 attendants have, and she was seated directly in front of the 15 defendant there.

And she, too, during those couple minutes, engaged in a conversation with the defendant. And she said to him, "Are you in pain?" because he had obvious burns. And the defendant didn't answer her. And so she asked him again, "Are you in pain?" And he nodded his head yes.

And then she said to him, "What did you have in your pocket?" She assumed that whatever had gone off had been in his pocket, not his underwear, and the defendant did not answer her. So she asked him again, "What did you have in your pocket?" And the defendant said to her that he had

#### **2:** O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 33 of 106 Pg ID 1018 33 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 an explosive device.

Ramy Guirgis, who I talked about before, the flight instructor who worked for Delta, was sitting in 1C on the other side, and he heard the defendant say to Dionne Monroe-Ransom that he had an explosive device when he was on board Flight 253.

And there was another passenger in seat 1J, Melinda Dennis, she's originally from West Branch, Michigan, and she was traveling home from the Middle East where she works and she was passing through, and she was going to see her parents at the airport, and she too heard the defendant tell the flight attendant that he had an explosive device.

13 And then, finally, I talked about Nicolette 14 Stickler who was coming back from Germany where her husband 15 had been in the U.S. Army and she was traveling with her 16 11-month-old daughter on her lap. When the fire and smoke 17 started, she moved up to get away from the smoke and the 18 flames. And she went from seat 17A where she had been 19 seated up to seat 6C because the business class wasn't that 20 crowded that day, there were available seats. And so now 21 she's sitting in seat 6C and it's not that crowded up in 22 business class. And Nicolette Stickler, too, heard the 23 defendant say that he had an explosive device.

24 So what happened on Flight 253 after the fire 25 was extinguished? There was a lot going on throughout the

#### 2: O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 34 of 106 Pg ID 1019 34 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 airplane, so you can imagine, many of the passengers didn't 2 even know what had happened, but there's pandemonium, people 3 are screaming, people are scared, and there's a lot going 4 on.

The pilots are flying the plane, they didn't see what happened. All they knew about what happened was what they had been told and they had to make adjustments to try and deal with the situation as quickly as they could.

9 They were told that there was fire. There 10 was another flight attendant, the lead flight attendant, 11 whose name just happens to be Elaine Christmas, just like 12 the holiday. It was Christmas Day and her name happens to 13 be Christmas. And she told the pilots through the intercom 14 into the cockpit that there was a fire.

Now, fire is about the worst hazard that you can have on an airplane, for a number of reasons. It can burn through the wires, and if it burns through the wires, it causes the pilots to lose the ability to control the airplane. The ability to control the airplane depends on those wires. If the fire burns long enough, they'll lose that control.

Fire can ignite the fuel in the fuel tanks and cause an explosion. Smoke in an airplane can overcome the crew and the passengers. And there's a limited number of fire extinguishers in an airplane, so if those run out

## 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 35 of 106 Pg ID 1020 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening 1 and there's no way to fight the fire, the plane will just

2 continue to burn.

3 So the pilots were informed of the fact that 4 there was this fire, and it was the -- one of the copilots, Steve Stewart, who actually was handling the radio 5 communications and received that notice on the telephone 6 7 from Elaine Christmas who was just outside the cabin, and she said to him, "Fire, fire, fire," and so Steve Stewart 8 turned to the captain who was flying the plane and said, 9 10 "Can I declare an emergency?" And the captain said, "Yes, 11 declare an emergency."

12 So that all took place, from the time Steve 13 Stewart said, "Can I declare an emergency," until the 14 captain said "yes," less than ten seconds goes by. So then 15 Steve Stewart, the copilot, radios the control tower and 16 declares an emergency.

Because there was an emergency, because of the danger that fire poses, it was important to get the plane on the ground as quickly as possible due to the danger. The air traffic controllers understand that, the pilots understand that. When you have a burning aircraft, what you have to do is get it on the ground and get it on the ground quickly.

And so as a result, Flight 253 was directed to make a much more quick and steep descent than normally

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 36 of 106 Pg ID 1021 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

36

1 would have been the case.

That was necessary because it was important to get the plane on the ground just as quickly as could be done, but it increased the danger to the flight because they're flying much more sharply down, they're changing course, they're doing a whole bunch of things that increased the danger, but it's a necessary increase in the danger.

8 Let's go through step by step exactly what 9 happened. Okay. We're going to be hearing conversations 10 between air traffic control and the pilots so that you will 11 hear what they were hearing that day, and you will be seeing 12 some of what the air traffic controllers saw, because they 13 use radar scopes that in some ways are very similar to what 14 you see when you watch the weather on TV news. It shows the 15 weather, and it shows the location of different flights, and 16 over time you will see the flights move as they're being 17 directed to different spaces. I will not be showing you the 18 entire video today. I'll be showing you portions of it.

Let me show you first what Detroit Metropolitan Airport looks like from the sky. This is the runway configuration. That's runway four left. That is the runway that Northwest 253 was scheduled to land on. Prior to there being an emergency, it was scheduled to land on runway four left. Next to that, runway four right, that is a longer runway than runway four left. And then runways

#### **2:** O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 37 of 106 Pg ID 1022 37 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 three left and three right don't really figure into what 2 we're going to be talking about here.

Okay. So this is a screen shot of what the air traffic controllers were looking at, and this is not going to move. This is a still shot. That with the red circle is Northwest Flight 253, that's its location at that particular moment. As I say, the planes are moving, and when we show you this in its entirety, it's video, and you'll see them move.

10 And what you see on this display is it 11 toggles between different information. Right now it's 12 showing that 04L on the bottom row, that means it is 13 scheduled to land on runway four left, as I just showed you. 14 And to the right of that, it says the type of aircraft. 15 Every approximately one and-a-half seconds, that information 16 toggles, and it switches to showing the altitude and it 17 shows the heading, the direction on the compass that it's 18 heading.

And if you can see those sort of two white dotted lines coming out, that pink circle represents the airport, so those two dotted lines coming out sort of down to the left, the one on the far left is runway four left and the approach to runway four left, and the one on the right is runway four right and the approach to that that we just looked at.

## 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 38 of 106 Pg ID 1023 38 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

So there were a number of other planes in the 1 sky that day, of course, and that's Southwest Flight 631, 2 3 and FLG is Flagship Flight 3985. They were ahead of Flight 4 253 in their approaches, they were lined up on that dotted line which is runway four left, scheduled to land on the 5 6 same runway as Flight 253, and they were lined up to land. 7 And what happens is that the copilot calls into the control tower and declares an emergency for Flight 253. 8

9 On all of this video there's a continuous 10 running clock which I just showed in the upper left-hand 11 corner with that yellow circle. It says 16:45 and eight 12 seconds. That's called zulu time. It's Greenwich mean time 13 and in the aviation industry they refer to that as zulu 14 time. So it's a standardized time around the world. 16:45 zulu time is 11:45 Detroit time, so it was 11:45 in the 15 16 morning when this particular shot was taken.

17 So the pilots on Flight 253 declare an 18 emergency after they're told of the fire, and the way the 19 pilot says that is he calls the control tower and says, "We 20 need immediate assistance."

Now, the one thing to understand about this audio and video from the air traffic controllers, the air traffic controller can hear all of the planes, but not all of the planes can hear each other. So as a result, the planes, the pilots sometimes talk over each other because

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 39 of 106 Pg ID 1024</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	they can't hear each other, and so sometimes things have to
2	be repeated. And that is actually what occurred here.
3	You will hear the pilot say, "We need
4	immediate assistance," and there's another pilot talking at
5	the same time and it cut off part of the message. And so
6	the air traffic control specialist comes on and says, "Who
7	needs immediate assistance?" And the copilot then repeats,
8	"Northwest 253, there's a report of a fire onboard." Let's
9	listen to that.
10	(Audiotape played.)
11	MR. TUKEL: Okay. So there was Northwest 253,
12	there's a report of a fire. That was, as I said, the
13	copilot, Steve Stewart, and he's talking to one of the air
14	traffic controllers, Diedre Hatchard. They will both be
15	witnesses in this trial.
16	And the time where that takes place,
17	according to the video, is 16:44 and 39 seconds zulu time,
18	so it's 11:44 and 39 seconds Detroit time.
19	Why is that important? Because Steve Stewart
20	will tell you that he declared that emergency within ten
21	seconds of being told when the fire started. The fire, of
22	course, started when the defendant set off the bomb. So by
23	going back roughly ten seconds, we can pinpoint precisely
24	where the plane was in its flight when the bomb was set off.
25	And let's look at that approach map as
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 40 of 106 Pg ID 1025 40 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

Flight 253 came towards Detroit. That dotted blue line is the approach route of Flight 253. What I just showed you in the upper right-hand corner is where Flight 253 enters the United States. That yellow and black dotted line is the U.S./Canada border. So for most of the flight, the flight is either over the ocean or over Canadian air space.

7 You can see that it enters U.S. air space at 8 16:38, 11:38 in the morning, and what I just showed you is 9 the approximate point of detonation. That's approximately 10 ten seconds before the pilot says, "We need immediate 11 assistance, Northwest 253." So that's at 16:44, 11:44 in 12 the morning, about six minutes after the plane enters U.S 13 air space. That's the point right there where the pilot 14 says, "We need immediate assistance," and then the plane 15 continues on to the airport and it ends up landing at about 16 16:52, about seven minutes later. We'll talk about those 17 times in a little bit.

18 Okay. Here's another map showing the 19 The blue line, again, is the route of location. 20 Northwest 253. This is where the pilot radios, "We need 21 immediate assistance," and you can see it's superimposed 22 over a map and it shows the location. So they're a little 23 bit northwest over Woodhaven, Michigan. I'm going to show 24 you that in some more detail with some other maps. Again, 25 that's approximately ten seconds before when the bomb is

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 41 of 106 Pg ID 1026 41 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

actually detonated because it takes about ten seconds to
 declare the emergency.

3 Here is a three-dimensional map. Those blue 4 lines going up and down, and there are some other maps with 5 this type of detail, show the height of the aircraft at 6 different times. So in these types of maps, you will see 7 the lines gradually descend as the plane is coming down. 8 And you can see this is superimposed over the map, and so 9 this is where the pilot says, "We need immediate 10 assistance," and so the time of detonation is approximately 11 there. So they're basically over U.S. 24 or Telegraph Road 12 at that point.

13 And here's another three-dimensional map. 14 This is superimposed over an actual map of the ground 15 showing the ground with the flight information superimposed 16 over it, and there's some landmarks you might recognize, 17 that's I-75 on the right, and the approach comes in and 18 that's U.S. 24, Telegraph Road. This is where the pilot 19 says, "We need immediate assistance," so they're just west 20 of Telegraph Road. And the point of detonation is almost 21 directly over Telegraph Road.

Okay. So what happens after the pilots declare that emergency? Well, the air traffic controllers, they don't know what's going on, they're just told there's an emergency and the pilots don't really know what's going

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 42 of 106 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Pg ID 1027 Government's Opening

on, they're told there's a fire, that's all anybody knows. 1 2 And they all know they need to get the plane 3 on the ground as quickly as possible. So what they need to do is clear out the air space so there's no other planes in 4 the vicinity, so Northwest 253 has as much room as it needs, 5 6 and that's what the air traffic controller immediately 7 starts to do. She immediately starts to clear the entire 8 air space so there's room for Northwest 253.

And you will hear, almost immediately when 9 10 she's told there's an emergency, you can hear the tension in 11 her voice increase. She starts to talk faster, and she 12 starts to talk with a lot more intonation, because it's very 13 stressful, there's a lot of danger going on.

14 On the screen, you'll see on the air traffic 15 control screen, the blip around Northwest 253 turns red and 16 says EM. That's because it's an emergency, and it's 17 declared an emergency and that red EM tells any other air 18 traffic controller that's looking at it, that flight has an 19 emergency going on.

20 And then the pilots have to adjust quickly. 21 They're being given a new flight plan because, remember, 22 they are making a much quicker, steeper descent. I'm going 23 to show you that in detail shortly.

24 As a result of that, they're changing course, 25 and so they have to lay in a new flight plan. That means

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 43 of 106 Pg ID 1028 43 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

they have to update in their computers where they're 1 2 landing, they have to get out their maps and see where 3 they're going. There's a lot of things they have to do. And again, that increases the danger of the flight, because 4 5 there's the possibility of mistakes, people are working quickly. It's a much steeper, quicker descent, and as I 6 7 said, they're working with their maps and planning. 8 And to demonstrate that quick descent and 9 what the pilots were going through, I'm going to show you a

10 comparison between Flight 253 and Flagship Flight 4041 which 11 landed on runway four left 15 minutes before. Recall that 12 runway four left is the runway that Northwest 253 was 13 scheduled to land on, although due to the emergency, they 14 ended up being shifted to runway four right. So 15 Northwest 253 is going to be in blue, and Flagship 4041 is 16 in red. Okay.

17 So in the blue, this should be sort of 18 familiar now, this is where the detonation takes place, on 19 Northwest 253, and that's just to show Flagship 4041 at 20 approximately the same point in the approach, and they're 21 both, you can see, at the same altitude, 9500 feet, Flagship 22 4041 came through 15 minutes before. And then just roughly 23 ten seconds after that is where the Northwest pilot says, 24 "We need immediate assistance," they're at 9,200 feet.

And so what happens is they continue to fly,

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 44 of 106 Pg ID 1029 44 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

and they're descending quickly down to 5,800 feet, and then they're going to be told to make a quick, sharp turn and you can see how much shorter and quicker that blue line is where it turns to go back to the airport than is the dotted red line that is Flagship 4041 and I'll explain that in some detail.

Northwest~253 descends to about 3,000 feet, and it does that, if you can read that, in the space of one minute, to go from 5,800 feet down to 3,000 feet. And don't worry if you're having trouble seeing some of the small print, you will see all these exhibits up close and you'll have them in your jury room.

The key thing to remember is from 5,800 feet down to 3,000 feet takes place in one minute for Northwest 253, and that loop around to turn and head back towards the airport is done over an eight-mile path.

17 Flagship 4041, by comparison, and this was 18 the intended route that Northwest 253 would have taken had 19 it not been an emergency, is that they're at roughly the 20 same altitude, they're at 6,200 feet, and they descend 21 gradually down to 3,000 feet, over a space of about seven 22 miles, and it takes them two and-a-half minutes to get down 23 to 3,000 feet. Two and-a-half minutes for Flagship 4041 24 versus one minute for Northwest 253, two and-a-half times 25 more quickly than was the intended route.

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 45 of 106 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 45 Pg ID 1030 Government's Opening

And Flagship 4041 makes that loop all the way 1 2 around and flies level at 3,000 feet for four minutes. And that entire loop around takes about 24 miles, it's a 24-mile approach, so Flagship 4041 is going 24 miles to make that 4 5 turn and come into land; Northwest 253 is doing it in eight miles, they're doing it in one-third the distance as 6 7 Flagship 4041, and they're doing it two and-a-half times 8 faster.

9 Okay. As all that's going on, as the pilots 10 are getting ready for that steep, quick approach and 11 emergency landing, they call the control tower and they say 12 they need fire trucks to back them up for the landing, they 13 have a fire and they don't know if the fire is going to be 14 out of control and they need fire trucks to be there. And 15 in this segment, between the pilot and the air traffic 16 control, you will hear the air traffic control tell 17 Southwest 631 to change course and move out of the air 18 That's the air traffic controllers moving those space. 19 planes out of the air space.

20 And what you'll see while this emergency is 21 going on, within a period of about a minute and-a-half, 22 those other two flights that were lined up to land in front of Northwest~253, they're moved completely out of the 23 24 picture, they're just gone from the air space, they're 25 pushed way off to the side to give Northwest 253 room to

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 46 of 106 Pg ID 1031</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	maneuver, and then you will hear Steve Stewart, the copilot
2	say, "We also need trucks roll for Northwest 253." That's
3	saying he needs the fire truck. Let's listen.
4	(Audiotape played.)
5	MR. TUKEL: So Flagship 3985 and Southwest 631
6	that we just heard were instructed to cancel their
7	approaches, move out of the air space. I just talked to you
8	about that.
9	Let me show you an illustration of what they
10	did, because again, this increases the danger for everyone.
11	Planes are being diverted, they're changing their plans,
12	they're being kept in the sky longer than they're intended
13	to be. Flagship 3985 is in red, Southwest 631 is in gold,
14	and the blue, of course, is Northwest 253.
15	So, again, just to repeat, this is where
16	Northwest 253 says, "We need immediate assistance," this is
17	the point of declaring the emergency.
18	This is where Flagship 3985 acknowledges the
19	order from the control tower that says, "Turn left heading
20	330," which is going to take them away from the airport.
21	They're at 4,000 feet, they were getting ready to land,
22	okay, so watch on the red what they do.
23	They fly around in that circle and they loop
24	out of the area and then they're brought back in later, and
25	then they get back on that same approach route, and that's
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 47 of 106 Pg ID 1032 47 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

for runway four left, and they ultimately land on runway
 four left after Northwest 253 has landed.

3 Southwest 631, it's a similar thing. That's where the Southwest 631 pilot acknowledges the instruction, 4 5 "Turn left heading 330," and he's at 3,000 feet when he 6 receives that, he's almost ready to land, and he, too, then turns and heads 330 and he's taken out and away from the air 7 8 space. So you can see how quickly those planes were out of the way of Northwest 253, and then Southwest 631 also loops 9 10 in and is brought back in and then later lands on runway four left. 11

12 Okay. So as I said, the pilots are working 13 quickly to get set for that new course to land and the air 14 traffic control comes on and says to them, "Northwest 253, I 15 can get you in as soon as you can get down," meaning, "I can 16 get you into the airport, but I don't want to start to turn 17 you until you tell me you have put the new course in and you 18 are ready to land so that the computers are ready," because 19 if the air traffic control starts to turn the plane too 20 soon, they won't be ready to land, they'll still be too 21 high, and they'll go past the airport, and then they have to 22 go all the way around and waste at least ten minutes, maybe 23 more. So she says to the pilots, essentially, "When you are ready, you tell me and I can get you down," so that the 24 25 pilots will not go past the airport.

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 48 of 106 Pg ID 1033</b> 48 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	Let's listen. And you will also hear
2	Flagship 3958, being told to alter their course and move out
3	of the way as well.
4	(Audiotape played.)
5	MR. TUKEL: By the way, that reference to
6	Northwest 253 heavy, you will hear it referred to, I think,
7	every time they communicate. That's a technical term. It
8	has to do with the size of the aircraft and how much thrust
9	it has. And the reason that's important for the air traffic
10	controllers is because the heavy planes create a lot of
11	turbulence, and they have to keep the smaller planes a
12	certain distance away or it can affect their flying. So
13	they always identify themselves as Northwest 253 heavy.
14	So the air traffic controller, as I said,
15	she's busy clearing the skies to make room for Northwest
16	253, and the pilots are busy preparing that new approach and
17	landing. So none of them are talking about what's going on
18	on Flight 253. They're just dealing with the emergency,
19	they're taking care of what they have to do; and I will tell
20	you, it was fortunate that they were very professional
21	flight attendants who quickly put out the fire, there was
22	very well-trained air traffic controller, and there were
23	very competent and professional and well-trained pilots who
24	all dealt with the emergency extremely well to contain the
25	danger that was going on.

## 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 49 of 106 Pg ID 1034 49 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

And so as all of that is going on and they're 1 2 just dealing with the emergency, air traffic control comes 3 back and asks Northwest 253 for an update, "Tell us what's going on that made you declare that emergency," and the 4 pilot says, "We do need the authorities. We have found out 5 that there were some firecrackers that went off in the back 6 of the aircraft, it was on somebody's person back there, and 7 they've got him subdued and we need the authorities." 8

9 Recall that when I was telling you about the 10 events, said everyone heard a loud pop and many of them 11 thought it sounded like firecrackers, and so that's what was 12 communicated to the lead flight attendant, that's what she 13 told the pilot, there was a pop, people concluded it was a 14 firecracker. So she tells the pilot it was a firecracker, 15 the pilot tells the control tower it was a firecracker. 16 That's all anybody knows. Let's listen.

17

(Audiotape was played.)

MR. TUKEL: So while the pilots are changing course there's still panic going on on the aircraft, people don't know what's going on, they don't know if the fire is out, there's screaming, there's shouting, there's people trying to figure out what's happening, and people are up, they're looking, they're running, they're moving around.

And while that's going on, someone seated towards the back of the aircraft actually turns on their

#### 10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 50 of 106 Pg ID 1035 50 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

video camera and takes about 20 seconds of video. Now, he is too far back to actually see what's going on, but there's audio on it, and from the audio you get a sense of what's going on immediately after the fire is out.

5 The fire is out by this point, and I can tell 6 you that because Lamare Mason, one of the flight attendants 7 who had ran in with the fire extinguisher and put out the 8 fire, as I was telling you about, is actually on the video, 9 and at one point you see him walking back with a empty fire 10 extinguisher, and then you hear him talking to the 11 passengers.

12 As I said, there is this steep, quick landing 13 that the pilots have to go through to get the plane on the 14 ground, and so the flight attendants need to get everyone seated. People are standing, people have moved around, and 15 16 in that 20 seconds or so of video, you will hear -- not 17 today, we're not playing it today -- but when you hear it, 18 you will hear one of the flight attendants, one of the 19 women, shouting, "Sit down, sit down, sit down," in the 20 background trying to get the passengers down into their 21 seats, because they're coming in fast and they need to be 22 strapped in.

And then Lamare Mason comes on, and he says, "Sit down in any available seat, it doesn't matter where you sit, get down in a seat and strap yourself in because we are

#### **2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 51 of 106 Pg ID 1036 51 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 coming in for a very sharp landing," which I just showed 2 you.

3 And so here's a timeline to talk about Okay. 4 everything that we've been talking about. Remember the 5 pilot reported the fire to the tower within about ten 6 seconds of learning of it, when he calls in and he says, "We need immediate assistance." So that takes place at 11:44:39 7 seconds. That conversation we just listened to where the 8 pilot gives the update, he says, "They've got him subdued 9 and we need the authorities," that takes place at 11:47 and 10 11 22 seconds, less than three minutes later.

So what that means, in less than three minutes, the passengers who intervened intervened, the flight attendants ran and got the fire extinguishers, they came back with the fire extinguishers, they put the fire out, they took the defendant out of his seat, they walked him up to seat 1G, and they sat him down in that seat next to Ramy Guirgis, all in less than three minutes.

And then the plane lands. And the plane lands at 11:51 and 30 seconds, so only four minutes after the defendant is moved up to 1G, the plane is on the ground, which means from the time the defendant detonated the bomb till the plane gets down on the ground, it's only less than seven minutes. That's how quickly they came down.

25

Okay. Why is that important? That it's

#### **2:** O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 52 of 106 Pg ID 1037 52 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

simply four minutes from the time the fire is out and the
 defendant is moved up to 1G until landing.

3 Well, I talked about the conversations that the defendant was having sitting up there in business class 4 with Ramy Guirgis and Dionne Ransom-Monroe, the Delta flight 5 6 instructor and the flight attendant. Those conversations were necessarily short because there wasn't any time. 7 There was less than four minutes from the time he got there until 8 the plane was on the ground. So there wasn't time for a lot 9 10 of detail to be discussed.

11 But what is significant is that those 12 conversations that the defendant had with Ramy Guirgis and 13 Dionne Ransom-Monroe were only the first in a whole series 14 of admissions he made that day on Christmas to people about 15 what he had been doing on Flight 253. From Randy Guirgis 16 and Dionne, through the end of the day, the defendant tells 17 details of what he did to each and every person that he 18 comes in contact with. So let's go through those.

Okay. Plane lands. Remember, the pilots don't know any more than what they were told. They thought there were firecrackers on board, that's what they had told the control tower, and they said, "We need the authorities," so the control tower, of course, says, "We need authorities to go respond to an incident of firecrackers on board Flight 253."

## 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 53 of 106 Pg ID 1038 53 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

And so Officer Marvin Steigerwald, who is from U.S. Customs and Border Protection and will testify at this trial, comes down to Flight 253 to see what this incident was all about. And again, he had been told about the firecracker incident, so he comes on board, and remember, the defendant has no pants at this point, bit of underwear, a blanket, no shoes.

And Officer Steigerwald comes on board and he looks at the burns that the defendant has, and I will tell you, they are serious burns, they were on his thigh and on his genitals, and Officer Steigerwald sees those burns, and he can tell right off the bat those burns were not caused by firecrackers. He knows it's something much more serious.

So he takes the defendant off the airplane, and they're walking, again, defendant has no shoes, he's walking barefoot with a blanket wrapped around him, back to the U.S. Customs Office and back to a holding cell that they have at customs. And as they're walking,

Officer Steigerwald engages in conversation with him, and Officer Steigerwald asks the defendant, "What is going on? What were your intentions on the flight?" And the defendant tells him, "To bring down the airplane."

23 Officer Steigerwald asks him, "Who are you 24 involved with?" And the defendant says, "Al-Qaeda."

25

Al-Qaeda, of course, being the terrorist

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 54 of 106 Pg ID 1039</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	organization that Osama bin Laden founded and ran until he
2	was killed earlier this year by U.S. Navy seals.
3	Officer Steigerwald asks him, "Where did you
4	get the device?" And the defendant tells him, "Yemen, in
5	the Middle East."
6	Officer Steigerwald asks him again, "Who are
7	you involved with?" And the defendant says, "I'm with
8	al-Qaeda."
9	Officer Steigerwald asks the defendant, "What
10	kind of device was it?" And the defendant says, "A bomb."
11	Officer Steigerwald again asks, "What were
12	your intentions?" And the defendant says, "To bring the
13	plane down over U.S. soil."
14	Officer Steigerwald says, "Where did you have
15	the device?" And the defendant says, "In my underwear."
16	And at that point, which is roughly seven
17	minutes after Officer Steigerwald takes him off the plane,
18	paramedics had arrived to treat the burns. Okay. And so
19	Officer Steigerwald's questioning ends, and the defendant
20	departs for the hospital. He's being taken to the
21	University of Michigan Hospital for treatment for his burns.
22	And even though Officer Steigerwald's questioning had
23	stopped, the defendant had continued talking.
24	So the first person he comes in contact with
25	is Jessica Worsley, a paramedic, she will be a witness at
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 55 of 106 Pg ID 1040 55 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 the trial. And she needs to ask him questions for his 2 treatment. There's powder on him. She needs to know what 3 that powder is so she can figure out what sort of treatment 4 he needs and whether it poses any hazards to anyone.

5 So she asks him, "Where's that powder from?" 6 And he tells her that "There was a syringe and they told me 7 to push the syringe in the stitching of my clothing," and 8 she asks him, "Who is they?" And the defendant chooses not 9 to answer and doesn't answer her.

And then Jessica Worsley, the paramedic asks 10 11 him another question, she says, "Were you trying to commit 12 suicide or harm yourself?" That's something medical 13 professionals always ask because they need to know whether 14 they need to take special precautions for someone who might 15 pose a threat to themselves or others. And he answers, 16 "Yes," he was trying to commit suicide or harm himself, and 17 he's taken to the University of Michigan Hospital in Ann 18 Arbor, and they get there around 2:00 on Christmas Day.

So, again, they get to the hospital, and the doctors, just as the paramedics did, want to know what that powder is, how it got on the defendant and what it is. They need to know what they're trying to treat, and so Dr. James Pribble is speaking with the defendant and he hears the defendant describe how the bomb worked. The defendant says that he injected a syringe into powder and it triggered an

**0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 56 of 106** 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Pg ID 1041 Government's Opening explosion. 1 So then he receives treatment at the 2 3 University of Michigan Hospital. 4 During his treatment, the nurses were 5 listening to Christmas music. After all, it was Christmas Day. And the defendant asked them to turn the Christmas 6 7 music off. But they didn't. 8 And after the treatment was completed, the 9 FBI needed to speak to the defendant. Special Agent 10 Peissig, who had already been put in charge of the 11 investigation, and another agent, Timothy Waters, needed to 12 speak to the defendant because they needed to figure out 13 whether there were other attacks being planned for other 14 aircraft that same day, and so they began interviewing the 15 defendant, and that went on for 45 or 50 minutes, and the 16 defendant told them the entire plot. The defendant told them that he went to Yemen 17 18 to become involved in violent jihad against the United 19 States. Jihad is a word that can have different meanings. 20 It can mean struggle, it can mean an internal struggle or 21 improvement or striving to make oneself better. 22 That's not the defendant's meaning, and that 23 was not the meaning he gave it when he spoke to the FBI on 24 Christmas Day. He uses that word in the same sense that 25 al-Qaeda uses that word.

56

## **2:** 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 57 of 106 Pg ID 1042 57 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

In 1998, Osama bin Laden declared war against the United States on behalf of al-Qaeda, he declared jihad against the United States, and when al-Qaeda says "jihad," it means violent war against the United States, against the United States citizens and United States airliners. That is the sense that the defendant used it when he spoke to the FBI on Christmas Day.

8 So what else did the defendant say to the 9 FBI? He said that he sought out and found al-Qaeda. He 10 said that he was introduced at a mosque to someone he called 11 Abu-Tarak, an al-Qaeda member.

12 He told the FBI that he and Abu-Tarak spoke 13 daily about jihad and martyrdom and supported al-Qaeda and 14 Osama bin Laden. And martyrdom is, of course, a suicide 15 operation where the person is engaged in jihad, and they 16 carry out an operation, sometimes called suicide bombing, 17 sometimes called martyrdom bombing, where the person intends 18 to kill and to himself die in the act of doing it, and they 19 usually think that they will end up in heaven as a result of 20 doing that.

And the defendant said that he and Abu-Tarak spoke daily about ways to attack the United States. Daily. And then in late November of 2009, remember, this interview is taking place on December 25th so he's talking about a month or so earlier, Abu-Tarak suggested to the defendant

#### **2:** O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 58 of 106 Pg ID 1043 58 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 that he become involved in a plane attack against the United 2 States aircraft. And the defendant agreed to do that. And 3 the plan was that the bomb would be concealed in the 4 defendant's underwear, and Abu-Tarak gave him training in 5 detonating the bomb. And the way the bomb would work is 6 that the defendant would inject liquid into a powder with a 7 syringe and that would cause the explosion.

And Abu-Tarak told the defendant that the bomb would not be detected by airport security anywhere in the world. And he said that the bomb maker was a Saudi Arabian individual, and in fact, the defendant told the FBI that he met the bomb maker, he met the Saudi Arabian bomb maker while he was in Yemen. And Abu-Tarak told him that the plane would crash and it would kill everybody on board.

16 Remember, I said there were only three parts to the plan, he 17 had to blow up a plane, it had to be a U.S. airliner and it 18 had to take place over U.S. soil. Abu-Tarak reported that 19 way, make sure it's a U.S. aircraft, make sure it takes 20 place over the United States.

And Abu-Tarak gave him the direction.

15

And then the defendant told the FBI that on approximately December 6 or 7 he received the bomb from Abu-Tarak in Yemen. From Yemen, he flew to Africa, to Ethiopia and Ghana to arrange for a plane to the United States. That's what he told the FBI on December 25, 2009.

#### **0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 59 of 106** 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 59 2:1 Pg ID 1044 Government's Opening

And recall that the defendant in late 1 2 November said that in late November, Abu-Tarak proposed an 3 airplane attack, and it makes sense that defendant would be the type of person al-Qaeda would choose for that sort of 4 5 attack.

He is a fluent English speaker, he's an 6 7 experienced world traveler, he's been all around the world. 8 He had a valid passport and he had a valid U.S. visa to enter the United States. So he had everything that an 9 10 organization like al-Qaeda would look for in trying to get someone on board a U.S. airliner. He would be able to do 11 12 that.

13 So the defendant, going back to December 25, 14 he's still not finished talking about the event. There's a 15 nurse at the University of Michigan Hospital called 16 Julia Longenecker, and she will be a witness here at the 17 trial. And prior to the defendant receiving treatment for 18 his burns, he had not been formally admitted to the 19 He had been brought to the hospital, he was hospital. 20 admitted under a false name because he was an FBI prisoner, 21 and so they had admitted him under a false name.

22 As a result, they hadn't filled out all the 23 admissions paperwork they needed to do, so they treated him 24 and then later in the day, Julia Longenecker was ready to 25 fill out the admissions paperwork, and she went through a

**0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119** Filed 11/04/11 Pg 60 of 106 Pg ID 1045 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 60 Government's Opening series of standard admissions questions with him. 1 2 One of those questions she asked him, just as 3 the paramedics had done, was if he had ever thought about harming himself or others. And the defendant told her, 4 "No." 5 And so she said to him, "Well, what about 6 7 what happened on the plane today? Didn't you try to harm 8 yourself or others?" And the defendant said to her, "That was martyrdom." 9 10 You know, the concept of martyrdom is going 11 to be explained more fully to you during the course of the 12 trial by expert witnesses, but as I said, it's the concept 13 that someone is going to die themselves in an operation. 14 But, therefore, it's not harming himself or others because 15 that's his view, it's religiously justified, it's permitted, 16 it's not harming himself or others. 17 Okay. So let's talk about the trial. Let's 18 talk about what's going to go forward here in the days and 19 weeks of your jury service. There's three parts to the 20 trial. There is the what, what the defendant did on 21 December 25, 2009, there is the why the defendant did what he did, and there is the how defendant did what he did. 22 So it's what, why, and how. 23 24 Part one, I've already talked about. 25 Everything from when I stood up until now has been the what,

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 61 of 106 Pg ID 1046 61 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

what happened on December 25, 2009?

1

Phase two is going to be why the defendant did what he did, and then phase three, how the defendant did it. So let's go ahead to phase two and talk about the why, talk about the investigation.

Defendant's journey to Flight 253 was 6 7 lengthy. He was born and raised in Nigeria. As I said, he 8 is a fluent English speaker, and he comes from a wealthy and successful family. His father owns a bank in Nigeria, and 9 10 on a visa application that the defendant filled out to enter 11 the United Kingdom, there was a question which asked what 12 his father's income was. His father said 100,000 pounds. 13 That's about 150,000 U.S. dollars every month.

The defendant attended the best schools. He went to a prep school called the British School of Lome in Africa. He went to University College in London where he received an engineering degree, and then he went to graduate school in engineering at a place called the University of Wollongong in Dubai in the United Arab Emirates in the Middle East.

So he had the opportunity to do anything he wanted with his life. But instead he began listening to tapes of someone named Anwar al-Awlaki, a radical preacher, and he became committed to jihad, and he left graduate school and he went to Yemen. He wanted jihad and he sought

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 62 of 106 Pg ID 1047 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4

62

Government's Opening

1 it out and he found it.

2 So just to give you an idea where Yemen is, 3 here's the United States on a world map, and here is the entire Middle East in pink. So here is Yemen down here. 4 And here's a little bit more detail. The defendant was 5 going to school here in the United Arab Emirates, and he 6 7 decided to go next-door to Yemen to look for jihad. 8 Okay. Why did the defendant seek out 9 Again, he wanted to commit jihad, he wanted to al-Oaeda? 10 commit martyrdom and that was the best way to do it. 11 Think about jihad and martyrdom because one 12 of the things Judge Edmunds will tell you at the end of the 13 trial is that the defendant's intent is key for criminal 14 charges. What he intended to do, whether he intended to 15 kill, whether he intended to destroy Flight 253. That's, 16 those are key elements of all of the offenses. And those 17 questions of what the defendant's intent was are answered by 18 his desire to engage in jihad and to engage in martyrdom. 19 Before defendant left on his mission for --20 to destroy Flight 253, he recorded what is called a 21 martyrdom video. That's a video in which he gives his

justifications for his actions and understanding why the defendant wanted to engage in jihad and martyrdom and why al-Qaeda is waging war on the U.S. is part of answering that question of the why defendant did what he did.

# 2: O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 63 of 106 Pg ID 1048 63 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1	His martyrdom video, what he records, his
2	statement, is part of a larger al-Qaeda video which is
3	called "America and the Final Trap." Defendant recorded
4	that martyrdom video obviously before he left on his
5	mission, and later al-Qaeda released it to the world.
6	You are going to hear from two expert
7	witnesses in this case. One is named Bruce Reidel. He is a
8	former central intelligence employee for over 30 years.
9	He's now a professor, he's an author, he's been on a
10	presidential commission.
11	Another expert witness, Simon Perry, he's a
12	PhD in criminology. He teaches at a university in Israel,
13	and he studied martyrdom bombers and he's an expert in
14	martyrdom.
15	And Mr. Reidel is an expert in the al-Qaeda
16	organization, and both Dr. Perry and Bruce Reidel will tell
17	you when they come here to testify that the defendant's
18	martyrdom video is an authentic al-Qaeda production and
19	there's a number of ways that they can determine that.
20	So why does a terrorist group like al-Qaeda
21	produce videos? Well, number one, just like the name
22	suggests, they want to terrorize, they want to take credit
23	for the attacks that they're undertaking. They want to
24	terrorize in another way as well. They want to tell the
25	world that more attacks are coming, they want people to be

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 64 of 106 Pg ID 1049 64 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 scared, they want people to react to what they're saying.
2 They do it for propaganda purposes. They want to tell the
3 world and their own supporters, "We are winning, look at
4 what we have done. We have done this attack." And they
5 want to recruit more people to their side. They want to
6 find other people to engage in terrorism.

7 And Bruce Reidel and Simon Perry, the 8 experts, will tell you that al-Qaeda always has a martyr 9 film a video before a mission giving their reasons for why 10 they do it.

11 Why? Same reasons I just gave. They want to 12 terrorize, they want to take credit, they want to recruit 13 new people to do it.

Okay. In the al-Qaeda video, al-Qaeda takes credit for the defendant's attack on Flight 253. They talk about how the bomb defeated western security at airports, and it talks about defendant's history and why he turned to jihad. And so then the defendant's martyrdom statement is a portion of the overall video, "America and the Final Trap."

Here's an interesting point about that video. Even though the defendant is a fluent English speaker, on the video he speaks in Arabic. He quotes the Koran, and he gives his reasons for -- in part, he quotes the Koran, and he gives his reasons for why he engaged in the attack on Flight 253.

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 65 of 106 Pg ID 1050 65 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

Here's an interesting fact. The video has 1 Those English subtitles were put on by 2 English subtitles. 3 al-Qaeda, not by anyone else. That's the way it was released, in Arabic with English subtitles. Why? Because 4 al-Qaeda wants the western world and the English speaking 5 6 world to understand exactly what they're saying because they 7 wanted to terrorize, they want the world to know, they want the world to fear additional attacks. 8

9 And so that video was reviewed by FBI 10 translators who will be -- there will be an FBI translator 11 who will be a witness here at trial and will testify and 12 will tell you, yes, those subtitles correctly translate from 13 Arabic into English what was being said.

14 Okay. Defendant's martyrdom video, as I said, is a portion of the overall video. It's 34 seconds of 15 16 what al-Qaeda chose to release to the world. Let's review 17 it. As I said, it is a video. I am not showing it to you 18 now in video format. You will see it in video format during 19 the course of the trial. What I'm going to show you now is 20 a screen shot so that we can read the defendant's words 21 together of what he said, and later, you will hear it in 22 video format with the defendant actually speaking and you'll hear his voice. 23

24 So here is what the defendant says. Up in 25 the right-hand corner, that symbol stands for Al-Malahem

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 66 of 106 Pg ID 1051 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

Media, it is an al-Qaeda media outlet production, and when Mr. Reidel comes in to testify, the expert witness on al-Qaeda, he will tell you that the fact that that appears shows that it is an authentic al-Qaeda production because al-Qaeda releases things through that media outlet so that the world knows what al-Qaeda's position is.

Obviously, that's the defendant in the
photograph, and then in the left is an AK47 assault rifle,
again, very common in martyrdom videos to reinforce the
person who is speaking, their commitment to violent jihad.

And so what does the defendant say? In the bottom he says, "Oh, ye who believe, take not the Jews and the Christians for your allies and protectors. They are but allies and protectors to each other and he amongst you that turns to them is of them. Verily, Allah guides not a wrongdoing people."

17 And then the defendant says, "My Muslim 18 brothers in the Arabian Peninsula, you have to answer the 19 call of jihad because the enemy is in your land along with 20 their Jewish and Christian armies. Allah, the most high," 21 he says, and here he begins quoting the Koran, "unless you go forth," meaning unless you go forth in jihad, "He," 22 23 Allah, "will punish you with a grievous penalty and put 24 others in your place. But him," the righteous person who 25 goes forth in jihad, "you would not harm in the least."

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:'	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 67 of 106 Pg ID 1052</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening	6
1	So that gives the defendant's explanations of	
2	the why, why he did that. Jihad and martyrdom.	
3	By the way, there was no mention of Flight	
4	253 in the martyrdom video. There couldn't be. Recall that	
5	he told the FBI that Abu-Tarak gave him the bomb on December	
6	6th or 7th. His reservations for Flight 253 were made	
7	later.	
8	Recall that I said there were three aspects	
9	to the defendant's mission. He was told he had to destroy	
10	an aircraft, it had to be a U.S. airliner, and it had to be	
11	over U.S. territory. He was given complete control over how	
12	to identify which flight and which airliner, and that was	
13	done much later after he left Yemen. So the filming of that	
14	video had to take place before he left so it wouldn't be	
15	possible to mention Flight 253.	
16	In addition, those types of videos never	
17	mention specific flights or dates in case those things	
18	change along the way. They're for release afterwards when	
19	everyone knows what happened and knows who was involved in	
20	it so that they can show the reason why the person was	
21	involved in it.	
22	So that brings us to the final phase of the	
23	three phases of the trial. The what, the why, and the how.	
24	Here's phase three, the how. And before we delve into that,	
25	let's review a little bit.	

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 68 of 106 Pg ID 1053 68 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

In Yemen, the defendant volunteered for the Flight 253 mission. He trained, he practiced with the bomb, he recorded the martyrdom video we just looked at, and he received the bomb. Meaning he received the bomb in the underwear so that he could wear it.

He was told he needed to destroy a U.S.
airliner and he needed to do it over U.S. soil. And all
those other details were left to the defendant.

9 Okay. So he needed to get on a flight to the 10 U.S. That was the next step. And he didn't want to go 11 straight from Yemen because that would be too obvious since 12 al-Qaeda is so active in Yemen.

13 So here's what the defendant did. From 14 Yemen, he flew to Ghana in Africa. From Ghana, he flew to 15 Nigeria, his home country. From Nigeria, he caught a flight 16 to Amsterdam in the Netherlands and in the Netherlands he 17 boarded Flight 253 for Detroit.

Why is that important? Because Count 1 of the indictment charges him with conspiring to engage in an act of terrorism transcending, crossing, international boundaries, and so the defendant crossed and recrossed and crossed again international boundaries in carrying out this plan to destroy Flight 253.

And in Ghana, Africa, the defendant tried to buy a ticket to the United States. And remember, all he

#### 2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 69 of 106 Pg ID 1054 69 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

needs is a U.S. airliner going anywhere in the U.S., so he made numerous reservations for numerous destinations, and then he would cancel and he would redo it. Because again, the destination was unimportant to him. He just needed a U.S. airliner coming to the U.S.

So at one point or another he tried to make 6 7 reservations to Houston, Chicago, California, and other 8 places. He already had the bomb. He had been wearing it 9 since he left Yemen, and he told the travel agencies where 10 he went trying to make these reservations numerous false 11 stories. He told them that he was staying at different 12 hotels than where he was actually staying. He kept the 13 maids from coming into the room to clean up the room because 14 he didn't want them to see the bomb. And ultimately, he 15 secured a reservation from Amsterdam to Detroit on 16 Northwest 253.

So he still needed to get to Amsterdam. And at the time, the law in Ghana did not permit a noncitizen to travel from there so he had to go home to Nigeria first. He had to go back to Nigeria so he could fly on to Amsterdam and so he flew to Nigeria and he stayed there a short time and he did fly on to Amsterdam.

And of course, he still had the bomb with him. He had been wearing it ever since he left Yemen. Now, I've already talked in the what, the what happened on

#### **2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 70 of 106 Pg ID 1055 70 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

December 25 segment, about the events on Flight 253, and obviously the bomb did not function as it was intended to. The bomb itself was a specially designed bomb. It had no metal parts, and the reason for that was so that it would bypass metal detectors at the airports. And the defendant, wearing the bomb, got through a series of airports outside the United States.

8 There was a pouch sewn in the underwear to 9 hold the main charge, the main charge is a chemical called 10 PETN. And this is what's left of the underwear, and in the 11 middle, that's the pouch for holding the charge of PETN. 12 And this is what was left of that charge of PETN after the 13 defendant set it off.

Remember when I was talking in the beginning how the defendant was taken from his seat in 19A up to 1G after the incident, and he was sat down next to Ramy Guirgis for the last four minutes of the flight and something fell out by seat 13B? This is what fell out by seat 13B. It's the remnants of the PETN which is the main charge of the explosive.

And so wearing that bomb, defendant got through airport security in Yemen and in Ghana and in Nigeria, and then finally he arrives in Amsterdam and he has to go through security again. And in Amsterdam, for flights to the United States, they have two levels of security, they

#### **2:** 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 71 of 106 Pg ID 1056 71 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

have the scanners, metal detectors, and they also have 1 individual interviews with people, they call them profilers. 2 3 And he met someone named Jafar Hosseyni whose job was to screen those passengers. And the defendant gave false 4 answers to a whole series of questions that Jafar Hosseyni 5 6 posed to him. And Jafar Hosseyni will be a witness here. 7 Jafar Hosseyni said to him, "Where have you 8 been?" And the defendant gave an answer, but he left out Yemen, didn't mention Yemen. 9 10 "Well, what were you doing on your trip?" 11 And he says that he was doing things that didn't involve 12 al-Qaeda. 13 He says, "Did anyone give you anything to 14 take on the flight?" The defendant says, "No," when of 15 course, he had been given the bomb and the underwear to take 16 on Flight 253. 17 "What did you do in Nigeria?" He said he 18 visited his family, when in fact, he was just there a short 19 time. 20 He's asked, "Why are you going to the U.S.?" 21 He says, "To visit old high school friends." 22 Says, "Where are you going in the U.S.?" And 23 he didn't really have an answer. He was asked for his 24 contact information and he didn't have it. But he wrote

25 down an email address that he said were for the friends he

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 72 of 106 Pg ID 1057</b> 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	was going to visit and that email address was a made-up
2	email address, it's not registered to anyone.
3	And so by telling that series of false
4	statements to Jafar Hosseyni, the defendant gets through and
5	he boards Flight 253, he's wearing the bomb in the
6	underwear, and he's now ready to fly on to the United
7	States.
8	Let's talk a little bit about how the bomb
9	was designed to work. There are three parts to the bomb and
10	I can tell you, you will get this in a lot more detail from
11	an explosives expert, Michael Leone, from the FBI
12	laboratory, but basically there were three parts to the
13	bomb.
14	There was the syringe, and the syringe was
15	designed to cause a fire. That fire would ignite another
16	chemical. There's a small amount of a chemical called TATP.
17	The syringe would be pushed, that would set the TATP on
18	fire, that TATP would explode. Think of that as the first
19	explosion. And that explosion of TATP would then trigger
20	the main charge, the PETN, for the second explosion. So it
21	would be fire, small explosion, big explosion.
22	The fire is caused by mixing two chemicals,
23	potassium permanganate and ethylene glycol, in a syringe.
24	Those are common chemicals. Ethylene glycol is in
25	antifreeze, and potassium permanganate is also a common
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### **2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS** Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 73 of 106 Pg ID 1058 73 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

chemical, and it's easily available. And when those two chemicals are mixed together, they bubble, and then they smoke and then they burst into flames, just as the passengers on Flight 253 saw. When they mix together, they cause that type of fire.

Okay. This was recovered on Flight 253, that 6 7 is actually what is left of the syringe, and it's wrapped in 8 a lot of tape. There was a lot of tape holding the syringe and the other portions together to keep them together. 9 10 Well, at the FBI laboratory, the lab analyst took that apart 11 and removed the tape, and this is what it looks like. You 12 can see very clearly that with the tape removed, it is what 13 is left of a syringe. It burned because that fire from the 14 chemicals burned it and caused it to melt, but it was a 15 syringe.

16 Okav. If all that science seems a little too 17 complicated, think of it as a doughnut, and I'm not 18 suggesting it looked like a doughnut or was shaped like a 19 doughnut, but if you think of a doughnut, and the doughnut 20 is made out of PETN, that's the main charge. In the hole 21 would be the syringe. It goes in the middle, and then if 22 there's some jelly inside the hole, that's the small 23 explosion, and then it sets off the big outside to the main 24 It's three parts, just like that. explosion.

25

For some the reason, the bomb failed to

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 74 of 106 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 74 Pg ID 1059 Government's Opening

explode, explode in the way the defendant thought, but the 1 2 The bomb did actually explode, the TATP did PETN burned. 3 explode, that first charge, but obviously the PETN didn't have the big explosion that they expected to bring the plane 4 down, and the PETN burned. Some of it burned, some of it 5 didn't. That package that was recovered by seat 13B is the 6 7 part that didn't burn.

So that fire from the syringe and the burning 8 9 PETN were the reason that defendant was burned so severely 10 and why he needed to go to the University of Michigan 11 Hospital for treatment on Christmas of 2009.

12 And I will tell you that you will see one 13 photo of the burns to identify the defendant. Obviously, 14 the defendant is charged with having a bomb in his 15 underwear. One way of proving that he had a bomb in his 16 underwear is to show that he had the injuries that resulted 17 from that. The photographs are somewhat explicit. You can 18 see the defendant's burns, you can see his genitals.

19 But most importantly, you can see his 20 wristband with his name and birth date identifying him as 21 the person who received those burns. That photograph was 22 taken by a nurse, Julia Longenecker, who I talked about at 23 the University of Michigan Hospital, and it's the type of 24 photograph that hospitals routinely take to document 25 injuries and treatment that someone receives.

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 75 of 106 Pg ID 1060 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

Some components of the bomb were 1 Okay. 2 recovered by the FBI after the plane landed. So they were 3 then analyzed by the FBI lab. What do we know about the Defendant did not build the bomb. How do we know 4 bomb? Well, number one, he says so. In his statement to 5 that? 6 the FBI and to Special Agent Peissig on Christmas he admitted that it was a Saudi Arabian bomb maker who made it, 7 and he even met the Saudi Arabian bomb maker. And then the 8 evidence -- he also admitted that the bomb maker trained him 9 10 on it.

11 And then the evidence at trial will also be 12 that there is fingerprint evidence. Remember I showed you 13 the syringe and it had a bunch of tape that was taken off by 14 the FBI lab. Well, there's tape on other parts of the bomb 15 as well, and when the FBI took that tape off, they found 16 fingerprints on the inside, on the sticky part in a place 17 where only the person who made the bomb could have done it 18 because it was wrapped around and around building the bomb.

Those fingerprints were analyzed and those are not the defendant's fingerprints. Those fingerprints were left by someone else, and that could have only been the bomb maker.

Why is that important? Well, because, as I said before, the defendant is charged with conspiracy. At the conclusion of the trial, Judge Edmunds will give you

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 76 of 106 Pg ID 1061 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 complete instructions about the law and some of these
2 definitions, but I will tell you that conspiracy is a
3 criminal agreement between two or more people, and so the
4 fact that the defendant had a bomb, to go bomb Flight 253
5 which was built by someone else shows that he had conspired
6 or agreed with at least one other person to do it because
7 someone else made the bomb for him.

8 What else did we learn by having the FBI 9 analyze the components of the bomb which survived? There 10 were about 76 grams of PETN, that's the main charge, that's 11 the doughnut part of it if you think of it the doughnut way. 12 That's about three ounces of powder which survived, which 13 did not burn off.

And so what the FBI did was create a model 14 15 from the surviving components. I showed you the remnants of 16 the underwear that was left, and so they took that and they 17 took the pouch and they measured it and they extrapolated 18 what it would have looked like when it was complete, and 19 then they took PETN and filled it to determine how big it 20 was before it burned when the defendant was wearing it 21 before it was set off.

And what they learned was that the actual device was approximately 200 grams. It was about seven ounces of explosive. And as part of the proofs at this trial, we are going to show you demonstrations of the three

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 77 of 106 Pg ID 1062 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

components that we -- well, two of the components that we talked about, the syringe chemicals, the potassium permanganate and the ethylene glycol that get injected and cause the fire. We will show you demonstrations by videotape of that being done in a laboratory, not with a syringe, but just being poured together, and you will see they bubble, they smoke, and then burst into fire.

8 We can't show you the TATP demonstration because it's too dangerous to do that in a laboratory, it's 9 10 too unstable, but the only point of the TATP exploding was 11 to create that first explosion because that first explosion 12 is what sets off the second larger explosion, and so you 13 will see demonstrations of that second larger explosion 14 being done in ways in the laboratory which were -- or in an 15 open field by the laboratory, in a safe way.

And see you'll see the PETN being set off in two different amounts, the 76 grams that was actually recovered plus the 200 grams which was the size of the device the defendant was wearing. And so what you will learn through that is that when those elements are combined, they become an explosive bomb.

The legal term for that is a destructive device, and you heard the charges last week and you may recall that destructive device was discussed. Of the eight charges, destructive device figures into four of them, and

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### **2:** O-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 78 of 106 Pg ID 1063 78 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

1 the destructive device is simply this: An explosive bomb or 2 a combination of parts designed or intended for use in 3 converting a device into an explosive bomb and from which 4 you can quickly and readily assemble an explosive bomb.

5 So it's either the assembled bomb or it's all 6 the parts that you need to put together into a bomb that 7 haven't been quite put together yet.

8 So obviously the bomb itself was an explosive bomb. But in addition, the TATP and the syringe were 9 10 themselves an explosive bomb, all by themselves. And that's 11 because when you combine the fire from the syringe with the 12 TATP, it explodes, so those two things just by themselves 13 were an explosive bomb and, therefore, a destructive device, 14 and then all three components together were a combination of parts designed and intended to make that PETN into an 15 16 explosive bomb.

17 So what that means is that there were really 18 two explosive bombs in what defendant was wearing in his 19 underwear plus the components, three different ways of 20 showing the destructive device. There were multiple 21 destructive devices that he was wearing.

There's a little bit of additional evidence from the FBI laboratory. You will recall that when the defendant left Flight 253 with Officer Steigerwald from Customs and Border Protection, in addition to not having

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 79 of 106 Pg ID 1064 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

pants on, he had a blanket around him, he was barefoot. His 1 shoes were off and were left on the airplane. 2 Those shoes 3 were analyzed, everything was analyzed by the FBI lab. And when the FBI lab was going through those 4

shoes, they found a slip of paper. On that slip of paper 5 6 was a code. It's an encryption code. It's an encryption 7 code that al-Qaeda in a magazine tells people who want to 8 communicate it, to communicate with al-Qaeda to use.

9 We'll show you as part of the evidence in the 10 trial that article that tells people who want to communicate 11 with al-Qaeda, use this software, communicate with us that 12 way.

13 That slip of paper that the defendant had in 14 his shoe was a password to use that encryption software that 15 al-Qaeda have. He must have been communicating or planning 16 to communicate with someone before he boarded Flight 253. 17 Why is that important? Because it's more evidence of 18 conspiracy. If he's communicating with someone else, 19 there's obviously others who were involved with him in the 20 whole plot. Why else would he have any need to communicate 21 secretly in a way which can't be decoded unless he was 22 communicating with someone else who was involved in the 23 plot? So you will see that slip of paper as well.

24 Okay. So I'm about to wrap up. That was an 25 overview of what the evidence in the trial will be. That's

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 80 of 106 Pg ID 1065 80 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening

the what, that's the why, and that's the how. Okay. Judge 1 2 Edmunds has told you, you learned this during your jury 3 selection process last week, you heard it again today, the defendant has full constitutional rights, and one of the 4 rights he's chosen to exercise is the right to participate 5 6 in his defense himself. If he chooses to, he can question 7 witnesses during the trial just as if he was an attorney. 8 That is his constitutional right. We don't know what if anything he will choose to do as that goes on. I'm simply 9 10 telling you that he might at some point decide to do that. 11 So let's talk briefly about what the charges 12 are in this case. There are eight charges in the 13 indictment, and you will hear about this in much more detail 14 toward the end of the trial and you will have a copy of the 15 indictment with you when you go to the jury room to 16 deliberate. 17 Count 1 charges defendant with a conspiracy 18 to commit an act of terrorism transcending national 19 boundaries. 20 Count 2 charges him with possession of a 21 destructive device, that's the bomb, and I just talked about 22 the bomb and why the bomb was a destructive device. Count 2 23 charges him with possessing a destructive device in 24 furtherance of that terrorism charge. 25 Count 3 charges the defendant with attempted Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 81 of 106 Pg ID 1066</b> 81 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	murder in an aircraft.
2	Count 4 charges the defendant with carrying a
3	destructive device in relation to the attempted murder
4	charge, the destructive device, again, being the bomb.
5	Count 5 charges the defendant with placing a
6	destructive device in an aircraft which was likely to
7	endanger the safety of the aircraft.
8	Count 6 charges him with possession of a
9	destructive device in furtherance of what's charged in
10	Count 5.
11	Count 7 charges him with attempted use of a
12	weapon of mass destruction. That is the bomb.
13	And then Count 8 is the final charge, charges
14	him with attempting to wreck and destroy an aircraft, that's
15	obviously Flight 253.
16	So as I said, Judge Edmunds will give you
17	full instructions about the law at the end of trial and
18	somewhat she will define all of the terms for you. There
19	are certain important terms along the way for you to think
20	about as you hear the evidence. They're all important, but
21	in particular, we've already talked about conspiracy and
22	destructive device.
23	And as I said, the charges will turn on
24	defendant's intent, and the defendant's intent really is the
25	why part of the trial, that middle part of why the defendant
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### **0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 82 of 106** 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Pg ID 1067 Government's Opening

did what he did. And his intent will be key when you 1 deliberate on the terrorism charges, the attempted murder 2 3 charge, the endangering an aircraft charge, attempting to detonate a weapon of mass destruction charge and attempting 4 to wreck and destroy an aircraft. 5

So please pay particular attention to the 6 7 evidence showing his intent.

8 When the trial wraps up, after all the 9 evidence is complete and after Judge Edmunds has given you 10 instructions on the law, my colleague, Cathleen Corken, will 11 have an opportunity to give closing argument to you. At 12 that time, she'll review the evidence, she'll review the 13 instructions on the law, and she will ask you to return the 14 only verdict which the evidence will support which is that 15 the defendant is guilty as to all eight of those charges. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tukel. 17 Mr. Chambers, are you reserving at this time? 18 MR. CHAMBERS: We are reserving at this time. 19 **THE COURT:** All right. Then let's call the first 20 witness, please. 21 MR. TUKEL: Your Honor, could we have a break? We

22 need to change the equipment just briefly for the first 23 witness to testify.

24 THE COURT: Let's take a short break, comfort 25 break.

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 83 of 106 Pg ID 1068 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 2:1 Government's Opening (Recess taken 12:15 p.m. until 12:32 p.m.) 1 THE COURT: I understand you want to preadmit some 2 3 exhibits. MR. TUKEL: We do, Your Honor, and we had agreed 4 on that before the side bar, and then I lost track on that. 5 I've discussed this with Mr. Chambers, and we've agreed to 6 7 the admission of the following exhibits --8 MR. CHAMBERS: I cannot agree to the admission of 9 exhibits at this stage because I have not had a chance to 10 speak with Mr. Abdulmutallab. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Can you do that 12 before we start tomorrow? 13 MR. CHAMBERS: Sure. 14 MR. TUKEL: Okay. We'll hold that until they've 15 had a chance. The conversation was between myself and Mr. Chambers. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Obviously, you need to 18 consult with Mr. Abdulmutallab about this. 19 We also do need to break at 1:00. At least 20 one juror mentioned to Carol they need to stop at 1:00, so 21 we'll do that. 22 Let's go. 23 MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, the government calls 24 Mike Zantow. 25 (Jury in 12:37 p.m.) Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:"	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 84 of 106 Pg ID 1069</b> 84 10/11/2011 - Jury Trial Volume 4 Government's Opening
1	THE COURT: Be seated.
2	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, the government calls
3	Mike Zantow.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Zantow, raise your right hand
5	please.
6	
7	MIKE ZANTOW,
8	being first duly sworn by the Court to tell the truth, the
9	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and
10	testified upon his oath as follows:
11	THE COURT: We're going to need you to take the
12	stand, and we're going to need you to speak right into that
13	microphone.
14	Thank you, sir.
15	(12:37 p.m.)
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. CORKEN
18	Q. Good afternoon, sir.
19	A. Good afternoon.
20	Q. Where do you live?
21	A. I live in Wisconsin.
22	Q. Are you married?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you have kids?
25	A. Yes, I do.
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	10-cr-2(	<b>D005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 85 of 106 Pg ID 1070</b> 85 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	Q.	How many kids do you have?
2	A.	Five.
3	Q.	Do you have grandkids?
4	A.	Two grandkids.
5	Q.	And, sir, do you work now?
6	A.	No, I'm semi-retired.
7	Q.	And when did you retire?
8	A.	June of last year.
9	Q.	What did you do before you retired?
10	A.	I was working for a company in the Middle East, since
11	Augu	st of 2000.
12	Q.	And what did you do for that company?
13	A.	I was a maintenance supervisor, supervising repair of
14	U.S.	Air Force equipment.
15	Q.	Where was that U.S. Air Force equipment used?
16	A.	It was being used in Iraq and Afghanistan.
17	Q.	Mr. Zantow what was the name of that company?
18	A.	DynCorp International.
19	Q.	Were you in the military before you worked for DynCorp?
20	A.	Yes, ma'am.
21	Q.	How long were you in the military?
22	A.	Approximately 26 years.
23	Q.	And which branch was that?
24	A.	The U.S. Army.
25	Q.	What was your rank at the time that you left the Army?
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	10-cr-2	0005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 86 of 106 Pg ID 1071 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	A.	I was a Chief Warrant Officer 3.
2	Q.	And what was your job in the Army?
3	A.	Basically, same thing, only supervising repair of all
4	type	es of engineer equipment.
5	Q.	Mr. Zantow, were you a passenger on Flight 253 on
6	Dece	ember 25 of 2009?
7	A.	Yes, I was.
8	Q.	What was the departure point of that flight?
9	A.	We had left Amsterdam.
10	Q.	And is that in the Netherlands?
11	A.	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q.	Is the Netherlands also known as Holland?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	What was the destination of that flight?
15	A.	We were going to Detroit.
16	Q.	And where were you living at that time on December 25
17	of 2	009?
18	A.	At that time, I was still living in the Middle East.
19	Q.	Okay. And had you traveled from your home in the
20	Midd	lle East to Amsterdam to catch Flight 253?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Why were you flying on Christmas to Detroit?
23	A.	Well, it was a last minute trip. My mother was very
24	ill,	and my brother suggested that I come home, it might be
25	the	last Christmas, so
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	0-cr-20	<b>D005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 87 of 106 Pg ID 1072</b> 87 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	Q.	To see your mother?
2	A.	To see my mother, yes.
3	Q.	Where was your mother living?
4	A.	In Wisconsin.
5	Q.	Were you traveling alone?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	Do you remember, sir, what your assigned seat was on
8	that	flight?
9	A.	Yes, I was 20A, window seat.
10	Q.	And is that the seat that you remained in during the
11	flig	ht?
12	A.	No, ma'am.
13	Q.	And what happened that you weren't sitting in 20A
14	thro	ughout the flight?
15	A.	Well, prior to takeoff, I noticed the lady sitting next
16	to m	e was talking across the aisle to another girl, and I
17	assu	med they were traveling together, so I asked them if
18	they	would like to switch seats so they could be seated
19	toge	ther and I could get a aisle seat, and they agreed, so
20	we s	witched.
21	Q.	Okay. So where did you end up sitting?
22	A.	I ended up in 20C.
23	Q.	Sir, did an incident occur on that flight involving
24	anot	her passenger?
25	A.	Yes.
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 88 of 106 Pg ID 1073</b> 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	${f Q}$ . And where was that passenger sitting during the flight?
2	<b>A.</b> He was in the seat that I the seat directly in front
3	of the seat I had been originally assigned. It would have
4	been 19A.
5	<b>Q.</b> Was that a window seat or an aisle seat?
6	A. That was a window seat.
7	${f Q}$ . Do you see that passenger here in the courtroom today,
8	Mr. Zantow?
9	A. Yes, ma'am.
10	${f Q}$ . And can you identify him, please, by where he is and an
11	item of clothing that he's wearing?
12	A. Yeah, seated at this table.
13	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, may the record reflect an
14	identification of the defendant?
15	THE COURT: Yes, the record will so reflect.
16	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, may I approach the
17	witness?
18	THE COURT: You may.
19	BY MS. CORKEN
20	Q. Mr. Zantow, I'm handing you what's been marked
21	Government Exhibit 2.1 for identification. Do you recognize
22	that, sir?
23	A. Yes, ma'am.
24	Q. What is that?
25	<b>A.</b> That looks like the seating arrangement of the
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 89 of 106 Pg ID 1074</b> 89 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	aircraft.
2	Q. Of Flight 253?
3	A. Yes, ma'am.
4	Q. Does it appear to be accurate to you?
5	A. Yes.
6	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, we would ask that
7	Government Exhibit 2 excuse me, can I approach again, Your
8	Honor?
9	THE COURT: Sure.
10	MS. CORKEN: I beg your pardon. 2.1, ask that it
11	be admitted at this time, Your Honor.
12	MR. CHAMBERS: No objection to 2.1.
13	THE COURT: Received.
14	(Government's Exhibit Number 2.1 was received.)
15	MS. CORKEN: And may we publish that exhibit,
16	please?
17	BY MS. CORKEN
18	Q. Mr. Zantow, on Government Exhibit 2.1, is there an
19	indication where you were sitting, sir?
20	A. Yes, ma'am, the green square was my seat on the aisle.
21	Q. Okay. And that is seat 20C is indicated there?
22	A. Yes, ma'am.
23	Q. Okay. And do you see on Government Exhibit 2.1 an
24	indication of where the defendant was sitting?
25	A. That would be the red square, 19A.
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	10-cr-2(	0005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 90 of 106 Pg ID 1075 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow	9
1	Q.	And that was also by the window?	
2	A.	Right.	
3	Q.	Mr. Zantow, can you generally describe for us the	
4	inte	rior of the plane?	
5	A.	Yes, ma'am. As indicated on the chart, it was a	
6	two-	four-two seating arrangement. I was in Row 20 which was	
7	towa	rd the front of the coach class over the wing. And	
8	that	's about it.	
9	Q.	Was there a first class section on that plane?	
10	A.	Yes, our bulk, before our bulkhead was the a	
11	bath	room, and then the first class section.	
12	Q.	Was that first class section forward of you or behind	
13	you?		
14	A.	That was forward of us.	
15	Q.	And behind you, was there another section of that	
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	plane?	
18	A.	Yes, our section went back to another bathroom, and	
19	then	behind that was another section of coach class.	
20	Q.	Okay. So there were two sections of coach class?	
21	A.	Yes, ma'am.	
22	Q.	And you were in the first coach section?	
23	A.	Yes, ma'am.	
24		MS. CORKEN: May I approach, Your Honor?	
25		THE COURT: You may.	
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab	

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 91 of 106 Pg ID 1076</b> 91 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	BY MS. CORKEN
2	Q. Mr. Zantow, I'm handing you what's been marked for
3	identification purposes as Government Exhibit 3. Take a
4	look at that, please, and can you tell us tell me, do you
5	recognize that, sir?
6	A. Yes, ma'am.
7	Q. And what is that?
8	A. That looks like the entire seating arrangement of the
9	aircraft.
10	Q. Okay. Does it appear to be is it a diagram, sir?
11	A. Yes, a seating diagram.
12	<b>Q.</b> Does it appear to be accurate?
13	A. The best of my recollection, yep, this is how we were
14	set up.
15	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, I would ask that
16	Government Exhibit 3 be admitted at this time.
17	MR. CHAMBERS: Can I just briefly voir dire, a
18	couple quick questions?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	(12:45 p.m.)
21	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CHAMBERS
23	${f Q}$ . Sir, as it relates to the diagram, the exhibit you
24	have, Number 3?
25	A. Yes, sir.
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	0-cr-2(	<b>D005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 92 of 106 Pg ID 1077</b> 92 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	Q.	That is not a diagram that you drafted, is it?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	And you have not personally inspected that plane so
4	that	you can determine the contents of it or how it was put
5	toge	ther by its seating arrangement, am I correct?
6	A.	I know this is accurate.
7	Q.	Okay.
8	A.	I've flown that flight about 35 times in the last 10
9	year	S.
10	Q.	Okay. And you believe that to be the plane you were
11	on?	
12	A.	I remember it was a two-four-two.
13	Q.	Okay. But the dimensions, et cetera, of the plane, you
14	don'	t know if it's properly to scale or otherwise, is that
15	fair	?
16	A.	Well, I know coach class went to the door, and in front
17	of t	hat was first class, and behind us was the second coach.
18	Q.	You're identifying the diagram based on it being a
19	firs	t class and two coach sections, correct?
20	A.	And the seating arrangement, yes.
21		THE COURT: Any objection?
22		MR. CHAMBERS: Yes, we object to the admission.
23		THE COURT: Overruled. It may be admitted.
24		(Government's Exhibit Number 3 was received.)
25		MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, may I approach?
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 93 of 106 Pg ID 1078</b> 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	THE COURT: You may.
2	RESUMING DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. CORKEN
4	Q. Mr. Zantow, I'm handing you this laser, and I'd ask
5	that you look at Government Exhibit 3, if you would, and
6	with the laser, sir, could you indicate where on that
7	diagram you were seated?
8	A. Yes, ma'am. I was seated right there, 20C.
9	${f Q}$ . Okay. And if you would indicate where the defendant
10	was seated.
11	A. Yes, that would be 19A.
12	Q. And I believe you indicated that the seat where the
13	defendant was was by the wing. Do you see the wing
14	designated there?
15	A. Yes, ma'am, this designates the wing area and I $$
16	well, I was about mid section of the wing, and defendant
17	would be in 19A, along the window.
18	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, may I approach?
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	BY MS. CORKEN
21	Q. Mr. Zantow, I'm handing you what's been marked for
22	identification purposes Government 6.1. Do you recognize
23	that, sir?
24	A. Yes, ma'am.
25	Q. What is that?
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 94 of 106 Pg ID 1079</b> 9 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	A. That's the looks like the interior of the aircraft.
2	MS. CORKEN: We would ask that Government
3	Exhibit 6.1 be admitted at this time.
4	MR. CHAMBERS: No objection to 6.1.
5	THE COURT: Received.
6	(Government's Exhibit Number 6.1 was received.)
7	BY MS. CORKEN
8	${f Q}$ . And, sir, looking at that photograph, I believe you
9	have made reference to a, is it a two-four-two seating?
10	A. Yes, ma'am.
11	Q. What is that, sir?
12	A. The number of seats in each row; two along the window,
13	then aisle, then four in the middle, and then aisle, and two
14	other by the windows.
15	<b>Q.</b> And so does in this photograph, does it depict two
16	seats along the window?
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	<b>Q.</b> And can you tell us, is the photograph looking toward
19	the back of the plane, or towards the front of the plane?
20	A. That's looking directly towards the back.
21	${f Q}$ . Okay. And does that appear to be the middle section
22	where you were seated?
23	A. Yes, ma'am, it does.
24	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, may I approach?
25	THE COURT: You may.
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	<b>0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 95 of 106 Pg ID 1080</b> 95 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	BY MS. CORKEN
2	Q. I'm handing you now what's been marked for
3	identification purposes Government Exhibit 6.2. Do you
4	recognize that?
5	A. Yes, ma'am.
6	Q. What's that?
7	A. Looks like basically the same view but looking back
8	towards from the other aisle, right aisle of the aircraft.
9	<b>Q.</b> Does it appear to be an accurate photo?
10	A. Yes, it shows the four seats in the middle of the
11	photograph.
12	MS. CORKEN: Your Honor, we would ask Government
13	Exhibit 6.2 be admitted at this time.
14	MR. CHAMBERS: There's no objection to 6.2.
15	THE COURT: Received.
16	(Government's Exhibit Number 6.2 was received.)
17	BY MS. CORKEN
18	Q. And, sir, I believe you stated that this photograph was
19	taken from a different angle?
20	A. Yes, ma'am. It looks like basically from the same
21	position of the aircraft only looking to the right.
22	Q. Okay. And so the defendant was seated where in
23	relationship on this photograph?
24	A. Well, I'd have to guesstimate on the seat, actual seat
25	numbers, but it would be somewhere in this area would be,
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

# 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 96 of 106 Pg ID 1081 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow

I'm assuming, the area we were in. 1 2 Okay. Mr. Zantow, did there come a time during the Q. 3 flight where you saw the defendant get up from his seat? Yes, ma'am. 4 Α. 5 Can you tell us what you saw at that time? Q. 6 Α. The captain had announced that we had about an hour to 7 landing and that the entertainment systems and stuff would 8 be turned off, get ready for landing, and shortly thereafter, I noticed him get up out of his seat and make 9 10 his way to the restrooms in the rear. 11 Did he do anything before he made his way to the Q. 12 restroom? 13 Α. Yes, ma'am, he stopped and went into the overhead 14 compartment which was directly over my seat, and took a 15 carry-on bag out, laid it on the floor which was right next 16 to my seat, and I noticed he removed a, like a Ziploc 17 plastic bag type thing with like toiletries in it, and 18 placed the overhead bag back in the overhead compartment and 19 moved to the rear of the aircraft. 20 And the restrooms were located in that direction? 0. 21 Yes, ma'am. Α. 22 Q. Did there come a time where you saw the defendant 23 return to his seat? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 And about how much time had passed -- how much time 0. Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

#### 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 97 of 106 Pg ID 1082 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow

97

passed between the time he left and the time he came back? 1 I would estimate 10 to 15 minutes. I know it wasn't a 2 Δ 3 real quick trip but -- as a matter of fact, I kind of forgot 4 he went back there. So I saw him return, and so that's why it struck me he'd been gone for 10, 15 minutes. 5 6 Did that appear to you to be a long time, or no? Q. 7 Well, it did to me if you were just going to use the Α. 8 restroom, but I saw him take his toiletries, so I just thought he was freshening up, getting ready for arrival in 9 10 Detroit. Didn't think too much of it. 11 And was this at the beginning of the flight, or the end Q. 12 of the flight? 13 Α. Well, we had less than an hour to go in the flight. 14 Ο. And do you know where the plane was, approximately, at the time the defendant went to the bathroom? 15 16 Α. Yes, ma'am. Well, I knew where we were when he came 17 back from the restroom. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Because I had turned my entertainment center off. Α. Ι 20 was just watching the aircraft map showing us where we were. 21 Did you have a monitor? Q. 22 Yes, I did. So that's what I'm staring at, hoping to Α. get home on time, just staring at the aircraft. And I 23 24 noticed we were flying over Lake St. Clair, which is just 25 northeast of Detroit.

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:	10-cr-2(	0005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 98 of 106 Pg ID 1083 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	Q.	And when you saw the defendant return, did he go back
2	to h	is seat?
3	A.	Yes, ma'am.
4	Q.	Did he sit down?
5	A.	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q.	And what did he do after he sat down? What did you
7	see?	
8	A.	I didn't notice him at first until one of the flight
9	atte	ndants came down the aisle checking seat backs and
10	tabl	es and seat belts, and she asked the man sitting next to
11	the	guy if he was okay, because he put a blanket up over his
12	head	
13	Q.	Did you see the defendant at that time?
14	A.	Yes, well, I noticed him putting the blanket over his
15	head	a few minutes earlier.
16	Q.	Tell us about that, what you had seen a few minutes
17	earl	ier.
18	A.	He come back from the restroom, sat there for just a
19	minu	te or two, and the next thing I know, he was pulling
20	I sa	w movement, and I noticed he was putting one of the
21	airp	lane blankets over his head.
22	Q.	Completely over his head?
23	A.	Yes, over his head and shoulders.
24	Q.	And then, sir, what is it that you saw or heard next?
25	A.	Well, then the flight attendant came and asked if he
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

## 2: 0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 99 of 106 Pg ID 1084 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow

1	was	okay, what was going on, and the gentleman sitting next
2	to t	he defendant said, yeah, he didn't feel good, he was
3	just	going to try and get some sleep the rest of the flight.
4	Q.	What happened after that, Mr. Zantow?
5	A.	Well, it wasn't four or five minutes later is when we
6	hear	d a large pop.
7	Q.	And what did this noise sound like you to?
8	A.	Sounded very much like a large firecracker.
9	Q.	Was it loud?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And could you tell from where on the plane the noise
12	came	from?
13	A.	I knew it was relatively close to my left side, but I
14	coul	dn't tell exactly where, I couldn't pinpoint it.
15	Q.	And what happened after you heard this loud pop?
16	A.	Well, after the pop, the plane got really more quiet
17	than	it was, and everyone kind of looked around. It was
18	abou	t 30 seconds to a minute before I heard one of the other
19	pass	engers yell out something.
20	Q.	What did the other passenger yell out?
21	A.	Words to the effect, "Hey, man," or, "Hey, dude, your
22	pant	s are on fire."
23	Q.	And do you know which passenger it was who said that?
24	A.	No, it was the one directly next to the defendant, it's
25	19B,	assume it's B.
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:1	0-cr-20	0005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 100 of 106 Pg ID 1085 100 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	Q.	Did you have any trouble hearing that?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	Was he saying it in a loud voice?
4	A.	He was saying it loud enough so that the rest of us
5	coul	d hear.
6	Q.	And after this and could you tell who this passenger
7	was	speaking to?
8	A.	Oh, yes, ma'am, he was turned towards 19A.
9	Q.	Okay. And what happened after that passenger said,
10	"Неу	, man," or, "Dude, your pants are on fire"?
11	A.	He repeated it twice, maybe three times, he repeated
12	exac	tly the same words.
13	Q.	Did you hear any response from the defendant after the
14	firs	t time
15	A.	Nothing.
16	Q.	the passenger said that?
17	A.	Nothing.
18	Q.	Did you hear any response from the defendant the second
19	time	the passenger said it?
20	A.	No, no.
21	Q.	How about that third time?
22	A.	I never heard any response at all.
23	Q.	Okay. What happened after that, sir?
24	A.	Well, at this time, the steward came up and asked what
25	was	going on, and the guy says, "This guy's pants are on
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:1	0-cr-20	0005-NGE-DAS Doc#119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 101 of 106 Pg ID 1086 101 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	fire	," and then things really happened after that.
2	Pass	engers came from the front and rear of the airplane to
3	see	what was going on, and I stood up to see what was going
4	on.	
5	Q.	When you stood up, Mr. Zantow, what did you see?
6	A.	I saw smoke coming from the floor area, lap area of
7	19A.	
8	Q.	And when you say the lap area, what do you mean the lap
9	area	?
10	A.	Well, I was looking over the individual's shoulder, and
11	ther	e was just smoke rising.
12	Q.	There was smoke rising from which seat?
13	A.	19A.
14	Q.	Okay. And was the defendant seated in that seat
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	when you saw the smoke?
17	A.	Yes, ma'am.
18	Q.	And where was the smoke coming from?
19	A.	Directly, his lap area between his legs.
20	Q.	Okay. And how much smoke was coming from his lap area?
21	A.	Good enough that it was plainly visible. Not
22	over	whelming amount, but it was plainly visible.
23	Q.	And could you tell how tall it was, how far it was
24	risi	ng?
25	A.	It was going up to the, up to the overhead.
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:1	0-cr-20	<b>005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 102 of 106 Pg ID 1087</b> 102 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	Q.	Was the defendant standing or seated when you saw the
2	smok	e?
3	A.	Still seated.
4	Q.	Okay. And did you see if the defendant reacted to the
5	fact	that he had smoke coming from his lap?
6	A.	No, ma'am, I never saw any reaction at all.
7	Q.	Was he saying anything at that time?
8	A.	I heard him say nothing, no.
9	Q.	Did he yell for help?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	What happened after you saw the smoke rising from his
12	lap?	
13	A.	Well, some other passengers saw it also, I assume,
14	beca	use two people came from the front, other passengers,
15	two	came from the rear. I stood up. They removed the
16	blan	kets. I heard one of them yell, you know, "Get his seat
17	belt	off." And I noticed they were trying to get his pants
18	down	to see what was going on.
19		Then the two in the front took him on his knees,
20	two	from the back, reached over the seat and lifted him up
21	out	of the seat and laid him on the floor of the aircraft.
22		MS. CORKEN: Could we have Government Exhibit 3
23	agai	n, please?
24	BY M	S. CORKEN
25	Q.	Mr. Zantow, I'd like you to take a look at Government
		Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

# **2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 103 of 106 Pg ID 1088** 103 *10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow*

1	Exhibit 3, and with that laser pointer, if you would, you
2	mentioned there were some passengers who came from the
3	front. Can you it's a little small, but can you indicate
4	on there where those passengers went?
5	A. Yes, ma'am.
6	Q. The ones that you saw come from the front?
7	A. The ones in the front came from this area across and
8	back to the 19A area, and then I noticed out of the corner
9	of my eye, two came from the rear here, I'm not sure exactly
10	where, but they came up the aisle from the rear.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. And I was standing here in the aisle.
13	${f Q}$ . Okay. Did any of those passengers that you saw, did
14	they go into the, to where the defendant was seated?
15	A. Yes, ma'am, ones from the front went into the seat.
16	${f Q}$ . And the ones from the back, where did they go?
17	A. They reached over from the seat back.
18	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So were they in the seat that is 20?
19	A. Yes, ma'am, I believe 20.
20	${f Q}$ . And they reached over. What did they do when they
21	reached over?
22	A. I believe it was one of those that yelled to remove his
23	seat belt because I think they were trying to pull him out
24	of the seat, and he wouldn't move.
25	${f Q}$ . Okay. And then what did you see happen at that point?
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

# **2:10-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 104 of 106 Pg ID 1089** 104 *10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow*

1	A. Well, the rest of the okay. So after I heard him,
2	you know, say, "Remove the seat belt," one of the persons
3	remove the seat belt, they pushed the blanket to the floor.
4	I noticed that they had gotten his belt undone and then
5	lifted him by the knees and shoulder out of the seat, and
6	laid him on the floor right next to where I was standing, or
7	right where I was standing. That's when I got his left
8	or his right arm, and helped guide him to the floor.
9	${\tt Q}$ . And as he was there on the floor, was he lying down,
10	was he sitting?
11	A. Straight on his back.
12	Q. And how far were you from him at that time?
13	A. Well, once we laid him on the floor, I sat back down on
14	my seat, so he'd be like lying right next to me.
15	${f Q}$ . When the defendant was put into the aisle, did you see
16	whether he was clothed at that time?
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	Q. How was he clothed?
19	<b>A.</b> I remember his pants. I believe he had a t-shirt or a
20	polo type shirt, but I remember he had jean type cargo pants
21	which were down about to his knees, and underwear that
22	resembled something I hadn't seen before.
23	${f Q}$ . And what do you mean by that, underwear that resembled
24	something you hadn't seen before?
25	A. They were bulky, they reminded me of my son's Pull-Ups

Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab

2:1	D-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 105 of 106 Pg ID 1090 1 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow	05
1	when he was little. I assume they looked like adult	
2	Pampers. I don't know what they were, but they were bulky	
3	and they were burning.	
4	THE COURT: I think we need to stop.	
5	MS. CORKEN: Okay.	
6	THE COURT: We'll continue tomorrow at 9:00.	
7	Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen. Again, sorry	
8	that it took awhile to get started this morning. We'll see	
9	you in the morning. Have a pleasant evening.	
10	(Jury out 1:00 p.m.)	
11	THE COURT: All right. Let's try to expedite the	
12	admission of exhibits by going over them this afternoon, if	
13	possible, and let's meet at, say, 20 of 9:00 tomorrow to	
14	hear any objections to the exhibits so that we can get them	
15	preadmitted to the extent possible.	
16	MR. CHAMBERS: That's fine.	
17	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.	
18	(Proceedings adjourned 1:00 p.m.)	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab	

2:1	0-cr-20005-NGE-DAS Doc # 119 Filed 11/04/11 Pg 106 of 106 Pg ID 1091 10/11/2011 Jury Trial Vol. 4 Witness: Zantow
1	CERTIFICATION
2	I, Suzanne Jacques, official court reporter for
3	the United States District Court, Eastern District of
4	Michigan, Southern Division, appointed pursuant to the
5	provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753,
6	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct
7	transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause
8	on the date hereinbefore set forth.
9	I do further certify that the foregoing
10	transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.
11	
12	<u>s/ Suzanne Jacques</u> <u>Suzanne Jacques</u> Date
13	Suzanne JacquesDateOfficial Court Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Case No. 10-CR-20005 USA v. Abdulmutallab