



Fw: VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT - SCOTT J. BLOCH  
 Douglas Kinan  
 to:

Leave to file GRANTED

*Robert L. Wilkins 5/8/2013*

Robert L. Wilkins Date  
 United States District Judge

01/14/2013 08:03 AM  
 Cc:

"v" CR 13-05

Hide Details  
 From: Douglas Kinan <dougkinan@yahoo.com>

**FILED**

**MAY - 8 2013**

To:  
 C:

Clock, U.S. District Court  
 Courts for the District of Columbia

Please respond to Douglas Kinan <dougkinan@yahoo.com>

History: This message has been forwarded.

2 Attachments



VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT pdf.pdf doug30pager.pdf

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Douglas Kinan <dougkinan@yahoo.com>  
 To:

Cc:

Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2013 11:22 PM  
 Subject: VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT - SCOTT J. BLOCH

*13CR5*

The Honorable Robert L. Wilkins:

I recently learned that the Scott Bloch case has been assigned to you for final disposition.

Your appointment is good news in that you may not be drawn into Mr. Bloch's duplicity and legal manipulations.

As the successor judge to the Honorable Deborah A. Robinson (Criminal Case No: 10-MJ-0215-01(DAR)), it is my understanding that despite permission to file my "Victim Impact Statement" dated August 20, 2010, by AUSA Glenn Leon, Judge Robinson excluded my statement from the official record. Based on Mr. Bloch's conduct, actions and behavior, including complicity with "alleged" hate crime, Judge Robinson's, decision was staggering.

Consistent with your philosophy, *"What translates well is you have to be willing to fight and be innovative, and come up with a way to tell your story in a way that will be understood. Even if it seems that the law is against you, the government is against you, and the judge is against you, you still need to go in and give them everything you have."*, I continue to persevere under "special circumstances." Frame ups never go away.

Because I subscribe to your creed, this is yet another opportunity to tell a compelling story in the form of the attached statement," and 30-page affidavit with the idea that Mr. Bloch's willful and deliberate malfeasance may have some bearing on your decision and that 18 USC 4, shall apply, if you have anything to say about it. The collateral damage caused by the Office of Special Counsel's malfeasance (Elaine Kaplan and Scott Bloch) cannot be quantified.

Mr. Bloch's conduct deserves to have a constant light on it until there has been a just resolve befitting his "alleged" criminal activity, of which he pleaded guilty and later was allowed to withdraw his guilty plea. If it were a poor person, without connections, he/she would have gone straight to jail.

Accordingly, Mr. Bloch's conduct, actions and behavior demand just consequences. Mr. Bloch's conduct was incredibly destructive. He shattered dreams, destroyed lives and families and, using his position of public trust, turned hope into heartache. He didn't care who he hurt. Your Honor now has the opportunity and privilege to apply the rule of law to make things right.

In the Scott Bloch case, many are witness to the fact that one person's corrupt practices can negate the honorable work accomplished by thousands. Additionally, many interested parties who were punished for conscientiously following the rules and the law are watching to see if Mr. Bloch will get under the "rule of law" what he gave to others by breaking the law?

Pursuant to 18 USC Section 4, there has been no judicial accountability concerning well planned discrimination, hate crime, sexual harassment, fraudulent promotional certifications and egregious retaliation, which may have resulted in the untimely and "suspicious" (his attorney's word, not mine) death of Mr. Brownell Franklin, framing innocent employees and, perhaps, the biggest promotion fixing scheme in the history of the federal government, exclusively designed to cheat female and black employees out of merit based promotions.

Based on the official record, the Defense Contract Management Agency's chief counsel at the time of my complaint to the Office of Special Counsel, Mr. Bruce Krasker, is a one man crime wave. Mr. Krasker's rationale for framing innocent employees, as stated to me, **"We (the Legal Directorate) can do anything we want. It's called gaming. We can deny, we can delay...dismiss. We can manipulate the system any way we want."** Mr. Krasker also directed his deputy, Mr. Jerome C. Brennan, to prepare false charges against me in retaliation

for my refusing to go along with their pattern and practice of criminal activity.

One of the charges against me for refusing to frame an innocent and sickly Hispanic female employee was "disloyalty." The OSC investigator assigned to my complaint (under Elaine Kaplan's watch) told me that there was no such charge as "disloyalty" and it was "a lot of bunk." Unfortunately Ms. Kaplan ignored my complaint, which prima facie, constituted more than a prohibited personnel practice.

As I learned through the litigation process, with certain judges, truth telling in a court of law is against the law. Possessing verified facts and clear and convincing evidence is even more dangerous. The Boston United States attorney's office and the Honorable William G. Young did all they could do to prevent two separate complaints from going to trial.

Despite the fact that Judge Young admitted in open court before several hundred spectators that he read my 30-page affidavit, he allowed the prosecutors Motion for Summary Judgment in my second complaint of retaliation.

Over the years, my repeated requests to be an expert government witness have been ignored. Even worse, during 2010, on two separate occasions, I was visited at my place of employment, a State court house, by the US Marshals Service and was told to stop reporting official corruption and crime to members of the federal bench, the federal chief of public corruption, AUSA Brian Kelly, and the Boston United States Attorney's office or else. And on June 16, 2011, I learned that someone at the Department of Justice ordered my name to be placed me on a government "watch list."

As to the vile conduct, promotion fixing, heinous and violent acts against women (and their children), and unrelenting sexual harassment, which was referred to by Lt. Colonel William Donaldson as hiding "pink elephants in a pink elephant graveyard," please pay particular attention to the attached "**Nigger Application For Employment, "Nigger Graffiti,"** and the **Nooses that were placed in the work area of black government employees as a means to intimidate them into silence for performing their government assigned responsibilities.** Please also lend scrutiny to Supervisory Special Agent John T. Foley's letter dated March 28, 2005, in which he writes, *"Inasmuch as there is no substantive proof of your allegations and no interest in the prosecution of such matters, there will be no further investigation of this matter conducted by this office."* Unfortunately, FBI Supervisory Agent Foley's declaration that he is unable to distinguish a fact from an allegation is a sad event in the history of law enforcement and has sinister implications.

Despite public corruption being a top priority (#4) of FBI Director Robert Mueller, FBI Supervisory Special Agent Foley lied when he wrote there was "no substantive proof" and [even if there was] that office "had no interest in the prosecution of such matters." That a senior level FBI agent and several AUSA's at the United States Attorney's office can admit complicity with official corruption and high crime in government is beyond imagination. The big disappointment here is the fact that FBI Director Robert Mueller and members of his chain of command have disregarded or dismissed my reporting and their responsibility to do justice, as required by law, allows the criminal conduct to continue.

My credibility has been certified at 100% in a Report of Investigation conducted by the Defense Criminal Investigative Service concerning "conduct unbecoming" by Lt. Colonel Donaldson.

Back to Scott Bloch. Based on communications I had with Scott Bloch's Director of Communications, Mr. James P. Mitchell, Mr. Bloch thought my follow up requests were comical because during one phone call in which Mr. Mitchell covered the mouthpiece and announced to Mr. Bloch, "It's Doug Kinan." The response was unisoned laughter. Mr. Bloch would not take my call.

In view of unequivocal and egregious conduct, a string of testimonials on certain websites concerning Mr. Bloch are stunning.

For example, Mr. Bloch's "philosophy" is as follows: *"At the law offices of Scott J. Bloch, PA, we believe in the ordinary heroes who come to us seeking to right wrongs, achieve results, and find justice. We believe in giving ourselves to cases and things that are bigger than any one attorney or case. Whatever your problem, whatever injustice or wrong has occurred, if the law provides a remedy, we believe in helping you to find the greater purpose in changing the problem that brought you to us."* On Mr. Bloch's website header is the legal maxim, *"Justice delayed is justice denied."*

Mr. Bloch also claims, *"My whole career has been dedicated to bringing expeditious justice to those who have been denied justice."* Mr. Bloch's philosophy and claim is bizarre, since it was Mr. Bloch that ignored his sworn mandate and denied justice to so many who relied on him to protect them and their families. Not only does Mr. Bloch have the ability to lie about lying, he swears by it.

Mr. Bloch's criminal defense attorney, William Sullivan, writes: *"Now it is time for Scott to move forward and to pursue the best interests of his private clients with the same vigor he displayed in promoting the welfare of the citizens of the United States."*

Paul Weyrich, co-founder of the Heritage Foundation writes: *"Over the past few years, I've gotten to know Scott and truly believe that his ethic is the rare combination of integrity, principle and a deep, abiding respect for the rule of law that is all too rare in the Federal Government these days."*

Jeff Bell of Capital City Partners writes: *"Scott Bloch is one of the most trustworthy and honorable people I know in Washington and needs to be supported in his time of need."*

Fred Barnes of the Weekly Standard writes: *"Bloch, a lawyer from Kansas, is a Christian. He and his wife Catherine have seven children... The criminal actions Bloch is accused of include making retaliatory reassignments of dissident personnel [and] imposing an illegal gag order on staffers..."*

And, on Mr. Bloch's Home Page is the following quote: *"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing."*

Notwithstanding undeserved testimonials, Mr. Bloch has demonstrated that he has not one shred of integrity or intellectual honesty. His cowardice and lack of courage to accept responsibility for his actions know no bounds. According to the official record, he made conscious decisions which he knew would devastate innocent individuals and their families and he has demonstrated a tremendous disrespect for his wife and seven children.

By copy of this statement to Special Counsel Carolyn Lerner and appropriate members of her staff, I inform them that the statute of limitations has not yet run on the conduct outlined in the attached 30-page affidavit and ask that she re-open my complaint.

Based on court pleadings, it appears that Mr. Bloch has forgiven himself. The public can only hope that Your Honor will not be as forgiving.

Respectfully submitted,