JULY 7, 2008

STEVEN M. LARIMORE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

08-80736-Civ-MARRA/JOHNSON CASE NO.:

IN RE: JANE DOE,

Emergency VICTIM'S PETITION FOR ENFORCEMENT OF CRIME VICTIM'S RIGHTS ACT, 18 U.S.C. SECTION 3771

COMES NOW the Petitioner, JANE DOE (hereinafter "Petitioner"), by and through her undersigned attorneys, pursuant to the Crime Victim's Rights Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 3771 ("CVRA"), and files this Petition for Enforcement in the above styled action as follows:

- 1. Petitioner, an adult, as a minor child was a victim of federal crimes committed by JEFFREY EPSTEIN (hereinafter "Defendant"). These crimes included sex trafficking of children by fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, use of a means of interstate commerce to entice a minor to commit prostitution, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2422, as well as wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. The Defendant committed these crimes within the jurisdiction of the Southern District of Florida in Palm Beach County, Florida.
- 2. Upon information and belief, the Defendant is the subject of a federal criminal investigation conducted by the United States of America in the Southern District of Florida. The Defendant has recently been prosecuted and pleaded guilty, on June 30, 2008, in the Circuit Court for Palm Beach County to various similar state offenses including solicitation of minors for prostitution.
- 3. Upon information and belief, the Defendant is engaged in plea negotiations with the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Florida concerning federal

crimes which he is alleged to have committed against minor children, including the Petitioner.

Such negotiations may likely result in a disposition of the charges in the next several days.

- 4. Under the CVRA, before any charges are filed against the Defendant, the Petitioner has the rights (among others) to notice of her rights under the CVRA, to confer with the prosecutors, and to be treated with fairness. As soon as charges are filed, the Petitioner has the rights (among others) to timely notice of court proceedings, the right not to be excluded from such proceedings, the right to be heard at such public proceedings regarding conditions of release, any plea, and any sentence, the right to confer with the attorney for the government, the right to restitution, and the right to be treated with fairness and with respect for her dignity and privacy.
- 5. The Petitioner has been denied her rights in that she has received no consultation with the attorney for the government regarding the possible disposition of the charges, no notice of any public court proceedings, no information regarding her right to restitution, and no notice of rights under the CVRA, as required under law.
- 6. The Petitioner is in jeopardy of losing her rights, as described above, if the government is able to negotiate a plea or agreement with the Defendant without her participation and knowledge.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons outlined above, the Petitioner respectfully requests this Court to grant her Petition, and to order the United States Attorney to comply with the provisions of the CVRA prior to and including any plea or other agreement with the Defendant and any attendant proceedings.

MEMORANDUM

I. THE CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS ACT MAKES CRIME VICTIMS INDEPENDENT PARTICIPANTS THROUGHOUT THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROCESS.

In October 2004, Congress passed and the President signed into law the Crime Victims' Rights Act, Pub. L. No. 108-405, 118 Stat. 2251 (codified at 18 U.S.C. § 3771). Because this appears to be the first case involving the Act to come before this Court, a bit of background may be in order.

A. The CVRA Gives Crime Victims Rights to Participate in the Criminal Justice Process.

Congress passed the CVRA "to give crime victims enforceable rights to participate in federal criminal proceedings." Opinion at 14. Congress was concerned that in the federal system crime victims were "treated as non-participants in a critical event in their lives. They were kept in the dark by prosecutors too busy to care enough ... and by a court system that simply did not have a place for them." 150 Cong. Rec. S4262 (Apr. 22, 2004) (statement of Sen. Feinstein). To remedy this problem, Congress gave victims "the simple right to know what is going on, to participate in the process where the information that victims and their families can provide may be material and relevant" *Id.*

The CVRA gives victims of federal crimes a series of rights, including the right to notice of court proceedings, to be heard at plea and sentencing hearings, and to reasonably "confer with the attorney for the Government in the case." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(a). Victims also have a "right of access to the terms of a plea agreement" *In re Interested Party 1*, 530 F.Supp. 2d 136, 2008 WL 134233 at *7 (D.D.C. 2008). The CVRA also assures victims broadly that they will "be treated with fairness." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(a)(8).

Of course, these rights would be of little use to most crime victims unless they were told about them. To ensure that victims are notified of their rights, the CVRA directs employees of the Justice Department "and other departments and agencies of the United States engaged in the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime" to use their "best efforts to see that crime victims are notified of ... the rights described [in the CVRA]." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(c)(1) (emphasis added).1

B. The CVRA Gives Victims Rights During the Investigation of a Crime.

The CVRA gives victims rights during the investigation of a crime. The Fifth Circuit recently reached this conclusion, holding:

The district court acknowledged that "[t]here are clearly rights under the CVRA that apply before any prosecution is underway." BP Prods., 2008 WL 501321 at *11, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12893, at *36. Logically, this includes the CVRA's establishment of victims' "reasonable right to confer with the attorney for the Government." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(a)(5). At least in the posture of this case (and we do not speculate on the applicability to other situations), the government should have fashioned a reasonable way to inform the victims of the likelihood of criminal charges and to ascertain the victims' views on the possible details of a plea bargain.

In re Dean, 527 F.3d 391, 394 (5th Cir. 2008).

The position that CVRA rights apply before charges have been filed is consistent with the Justice Department regulations under the CVRA, which explain that government officials "must advise a victim [about their rights under the CVRA] ... at the earliest opportunity at which it may be done without interfering with an investigation." A.G. GUIDELINES FOR VICTIM AND WITNESS

¹ Further supporting this requirement is another statute, 42 U.S.C. § 10607(c)(3), which directs government officials to provide victims with "the earliest possible notice of," among other things, "the filing of charges against a suspected offender."

ASSISTANCE 23 (May 2005). And the plain language of the CVRA undergirds this conclusion, as it applies not simply to prosecutors but to government agencies "engaged in the detection [and] investigation ... of crime" 18 U.S.C. § 3771(c)(1). Indeed, if there were any doubt, the plain language of the CVRA extends victims' right to situations "in which no prosecution is underway." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(d)(3).

II. PETITIONER IS A "VICTIM PROTECTED BY THE CVRA.

Under the CVRA the crime victim is defined as "a person directly and proximately harmed as a result of the commission of a Federal offense" 18 U.S.C. Section 3771(e). In particular, Defendant called Petitioner when she was a minor over a telephone (a means of interstate communication) requesting that she perform a massage in exchange for payment. As Defendant well knew, that request was fraudulent, as he not only intended to receive a massage, but also intended to have her perform sexual acts in exchange for a cash payment to Petitioner. Only when Petitioner arrived at a Defendant's mansion as directed by Defendant, did Defendant reveal his true purpose of obtaining sexual favors in exchange for payment. This conduct violated 18 U.S.C. § 2422, which forbids using a means of interstate commerce to knowingly "induce" or "entice" a minor "to engage in prostitution." In addition, this conduct was both a use of "fraud" to obtain a commercial sex act, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, and use of wire communications to perpetrate a "scheme and artifice to defraud," in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

It appears obvious that Petitioner was "directly and proximately" harmed by these crimes, thereby making her a victim under the CVRA. It should be emphasized that the CVRA "was designed to be a 'broad and encompassing' statutory victims' bill of rights." *United States v.*

Degenhardt, 405 F.Supp.2d 1341, 1342 (D. Utah 2005) (quoting 150 Cong. Rec. S4261 (daily ed. Apr. 22, 2004) (statement of Sen. Feinstein)). Congress intended the CVRA to dramatically rework the federal criminal justice system. In the course of construing the CVRA generously, the Ninth Circuit observed: "The criminal justice system has long functioned on the assumption that crime victims should behave like good Victorian children -- seen but not heard. The Crime Victims' Rights Act sought to change this by making victims independent participants in the criminal justice process." Kenna v. U.S. Dist. Court for C.D. Cal., 435 F.3d 1011, 1013 (9th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, because the CVRA is remedial legislation, courts should interpret it "liberally to facilitate and accomplish its purposes and intent." Elliott Industries Ltd. Partnership v. BP America Production Co., 407 F.3d 1091, 1118 (10th Cir. 2005) (noting remedial legislation should be "interpreted liberally to facilitate and accomplish its purposes and intent"). The CVRA itself suggests this conclusion by requiring that courts must treat crime victims with "fairness." United States v. Patkar, 2008 WL 233062 at *3 (D. Haw. 2008) (citing United States v. Turner, 367 F.Supp.2d 319, 335 (E.D.N.Y. 2005)).

Not only must the CVRA as a whole be interpreted liberally, but its definition of "crime victim" requires a generous construction. After reciting the direct-and-proximate-harm language at issue here, one of the Act's two co-sponsors -- Senator Kyl -- explained that "[t]his is an intentionally broad definition because all victims of crime deserve to have their rights protected" 150 Cong. Rec. S10912 (Oct. 9, 2004) (emphasis added). The description of the victim definition as "intentionally broad" was in the course of floor colloquy with the other primary sponsor of the CVRA and therefore deserves significant weight. *See Kenna*, 435 F.3d at 1015-16 (discussing significance of CVRA sponsors= floor statements).

The definition of "crime victims" must thus be construed broadly in favor of Petitioner. She obviously qualifies as a "victim" under the CVRA.

III. PETITIONER IS ENTITLED TO NOTICE OF HER RIGHTS, AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER WITH THE PROSECUTORS AND TO BE TREATED WITH FAIRNESS.

Because Petitioner is a "victim" under the CVRA, she has certain protected rights under the Act. Most important, the Act promises that she will have an opportunity to "confer with the attorney for the Government in the case." To date, Petitioner has not been given that right. This raises that very real possibility that the Government may negotiate and conclude a plea agreement with the Defendant without giving Petitioner her protected rights.2

Petitioner is entitled to have this conference with prosecutors before any final plea agreement is reached. The Fifth Circuit reached exactly this conclusion in a very recent case. In *In re Dean*, 527 F.3d 391 (5th Cir. 2008), the Government negotiated a plea agreement with the well-heeled corporate defendant without conferring with the victims. When the Government's failure was challenged in the Fifth Circuit, the Fifth Circuit concluded that the Government had indeed violated the CVRA. The Fifth Circuit observed: "In passing the [CVRA], Congress made the policy decision-which we are bound to enforce-that the victims have a right to inform the plea negotiation process by conferring with prosecutors before a plea agreement is reached." *Id.* at 394.

This Court is obligated to protect the rights of Petitioner. The CVRA directs that "[i]n any court proceeding involving an offense against a crime victim, the court shall ensure that the

² On information and belief, roughly the same crimes were committed against several other young females. These victims, too, are in danger of losing their right to confer under the CVRA.

crime victim is afforded the rights described in [the CVRA]." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(b)(1). The CVRA also confers on crime victims the right to "assert the rights described in [the CVRA]." 18 U.S.C. § 3771(d)(1). Therefore, this Court has its own independent obligation to intercede and ensure that the Government respects the rights of Petitioner under the CVRA.

CONCLUSION

The Petitioner requests the intervention of this Court to ensure that her rights are respected and accorded, as promised in the Crime Victims' Rights Act.

DATED this 7th day of July, 2008.

Respectfully Submitted,

THE LAW OFFICE OF BRAD EDWARDS & ASSOCIATES, LLC

Brad Edwards, Esquire Attorney for Petitioner Florida Bar #542075 2028 Harrison Street

Suite 202

Hollywood, Florida 33020

Telephone: 954-414-8033 Facsimile: 954-924-1530

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing has been provided by United States mail and via facsimile to: ANN MARIE C. VILLAFANA, AUSA, United States Attorney's Office, 500 South Australian Avenue, Suite 400, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, this 7th day of July, 2008.

Brad Edwards, Esquire Attorney for Petitioner Florida Bar No. 542075

ntered on FLSD Docket 07/07/2008

CIVIL COVER SHEET

PalgeD1by of D0 D.C.

The JS 44 civ I cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk the civil cocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed

JULY 7, 2008

l. (a) PLAINTIFFS	•			DEFENDANTS		STEVEN M. LARIMORE	
In re: Jane Doe (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Palm Bch</u> , (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Law office of Brad Edwards + Associates				United	states of	CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA. MIAMI	
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT LAND INVOLVED.			
							ZOZ8 HAVNISON
svite 202				Ann marie	e C. Villada	19, 1,2, 11,70	
Hollywood, F	C 33020			office			
(1) Check County Where Action	on Arose: J MIAMI- DADE	⊐ MONROE ⊐ BRO	WARD /	PALM BEACH J MA	RTIN 3 ST. LUCIE 3 INDI	AN RIVER JOKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		ITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
71.) U.S. Government Plaintiff				PTF DEF Citizen of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defer dans	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State 3	2 2 Incorporated and of Business In	·	
08CV807	36 MARRA	+ JOHNSON	1	en or Subject of a 📑	3 3 Foreign Nation	J 6 J 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		niy)					
CONTRACT		RTS		FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
7: 110 Insurance 2 120 Marine 2 130 Miller Act 3 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 3 310 A rplane 3 315 A rplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury	- J 6	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	J 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 J 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Barks and Banking 450 Commerce	
* 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	J 6	30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation	
& Er forcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Person Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	□ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent	21 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
7 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		60 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	21 480 Consumer Credit 21 490 Cable Sat TV	
(Exc. Viterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud		90 Other		J 810 Selective Service	
2 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending380 Other Personal		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 850 Securities Commodities Exchange	
71 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	:	Act	フ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 875 Customer Challenge	
21 190 Other Contract 21 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damag Product Liability		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 3 890 Other Statutory Actions	
21 196 Franchise	Inj ary			& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 891 Agricultural Acts	
Te 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vaca	_	40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff)	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
71 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence	J 7	91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security	or Defendant)	3 894 Energy Allocation Act	
21 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 21 240 Toris to Land	☐ 443 Housing: Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General		Act	3 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	3 895 Freedom of Information Act	
71 245 Tor Preduct Liability 21 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w Disabilities	□ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & O	(bar 3 4	1MMIGRATION 62 Naturalization	i	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under I qual Access to Justice	
2 290 All Mile Real Property	446 Amer v Disabilities		1 4	application 63 Habeas Corpus-Alien			
	Other	コ 550 Civil Rights	_ D	Detainee			
	440 Other Civil Rights	□ 555 Prison Condition		65 Other Immigration actions		3 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
1 Original 2 R		Re-filed-			ferred from G Multidist fy) Litigation		
		a) Re-filed Case	YES [NO b) Relat	ed Cases ☐ YES ☐ NO		
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions second page):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
VII. CAUSE OF ACTI	diversity): Crim ON Patition 6	e Victims n behalf her rights	Right of Vi	45 ACY	nt of Cause (Do not cite juri 18 USC まき ex Offenses CVR州	sdictional statutes unless 5771 70 60	
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: :	
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOWI		SIGNATURE OF AT	FTORNEY	OF RECORD	DATE	2-7-08	
				FOR OF	FICE USE ONLY	20,410	
				амоинт 3 5	70 = RECEIPT # //	44()51FP	