

# IF HARRIET CAN APPEAR BEFORE HJC, WHY NOT ROVE, YET?

Fox is reporting that Harriet Miers snuck into HJC for a deposition yesterday. It's all very nice that—two years after she was subpoenaed, Harriet is just now getting around to showing up before Congress. (h/t fatster)

But I'm more troubled by Fox's report that there still isn't an agreement for Rove to testify.

In March, Miers and former Bush adviser Karl Rove agreed to testify under oath.

Miers testified today behind closed doors. It remains unclear when Bolten could be deposed. But a senior House Democrat familiar with the inquiry described Bolten as "a tasty little morsel." The senior lawmaker indicated to FOX that Rove "is really the big catch." But there is still no agreement for Rove to appear.

It has been, by my count, 114 days since Greg Craig and HJC finalized the plan to have Turdblossom (and Miers) testify. Yet he still—according to Fox, which ought to know—hasn't shown his face for his deposition.

Now perhaps Rove's interim engagement with Nora Dennehy, in May, explains the delay. After all, HJC might be willing to postpone their chat with Rove to allow a federal prosecutor to grill him first.

But otherwise, what's the delay? Is it that he's just more scared of Congress than Harriet Miers?