

WOULD OBAMA ISSUE FIRST VETO TO PROTECT ANTHRAX WHITEWASH?

Bloomberg is [reporting](#) that Office of Management and Budget head Peter Orszag has told the intelligence committees Obama will veto the intelligence authorization because—among other reasons—it calls for re-examining the [FBI's conspiracy theory-as-investigation summary](#) finding that Bruce Ivins acted alone. (h/t [fatster](#))

President Barack Obama probably would veto legislation authorizing the next budget for U.S. intelligence agencies if it calls for a new investigation into the 2001 anthrax attacks, an administration official said. A proposed probe by the intelligence agencies' inspector general "would undermine public confidence" in an FBI probe of the attacks "and unfairly cast doubt on its conclusions," Peter Orszag, director of the Office of Management and Budget, wrote in a letter to leaders of the House and Senate Intelligence committees.

Whaa???

To sustain its claim that Ivins, rather than an accomplice, mailed the anthrax from Princeton, FBI engaged in addled speculation worthy of the Kennedy assassination. And now Obama is worried about "public confidence" in those addled speculations?

And shouldn't there be an investigation of the investigation, at the least, because of the way FBI botched the investigation and framed Steven Hatfill?

If the investigation can't bear any scrutiny, then I'd say there's probably a good reason, and

therefore a good reason to do an Inspector General investigation.

But I guess the President who advocates transparency is against that.

RawStory has a [good summary](#) of both this issue and the other targets of Obama's veto threat: Congressional notification and GAO oversight of intelligence.