## WHEN POLITICAL ACTIVISM GETS TREATED AS POTENTIAL TERRORISM

PA's Department of Homeland Security has employed an entity called the Institute for Terrorism Research and Response to monitor the web traffic of anti-drilling activists in that state. The effort was purportedly started to fulfill national requirements to protect critical infrastructure.

As more attention was focused on this yesterday, Governor Rendell said he was embarrassed by the news and fired the company engaging in the spying; but he didn't fire the guy who had hired the company.

Rendell, who claimed he'd just learned about the practice, said Tuesday that the information was useless to law enforcement agencies and that distributing it was tantamount to trampling on constitutional rights. In recent weeks, several acts of vandalism at drilling sites spurred the inclusion of events likely to be attended by environmentalists and the bulletins began going to representatives of Pennsylvania's booming natural gas industry.

## [snip]

"I am deeply embarrassed and I apologize to any of the groups who had this information disseminated on their right to peacefully protest," Rendell said at an evening Capitol news conference.

Rendell called the practice "ludicrous" and said the fact that the state was paying for such rudimentary information was "stunning."

Still, Rendell said he was not firing his homeland security director, James Powers, but he ordered an end to the \$125,000 contract with the Philadelphia-based organization, the Institute of Terrorism Research and Response, that supplied the information. [my emphasis]

But the first response from the Governor's office—for the paper that first broke this story—was initially support for the program.

Gary Tuma, Gov. Ed Rendell's spokesman, said, "It is part of Homeland Security's responsibility to alert local law enforcement, local officials and potential victims" to any potential problems.

He said the inclusion of anti-drilling activity in intelligence bulletins "by no means brands groups that speak publicly on one side or the other of an issue as troublemakers." The information has been included "because there have been acts of vandalism."

Powers added that a lot of times antidrilling activists show up without obtaining a permit to protest, "and that in itself is a violation of the law."

When it was noted that citizens do not need a permit to attend public meetings and express dissenting opinions, Powers said, "You're looking at it out of context. I get to see everything over time."

Powers said that when anti-drilling activists attend public meetings, "their presence may spark something else." He said he didn't want to see public meetings "escalate to physical criminal acts." [my emphasis]

Now, perhaps Rendell was ignorant about this

effort. Perhaps his opposition to it is—as stated—that the information collected was not useful for law enforcement.

But I am rather curious by this detail: when the emails revealing the extent of the surveillance got sent to activists, James Powers—the guy Rendell didn't fire—sent an email to (among others) the drilling industry's lobbyist, saying he didn't want this information to inflame antidrilling activists.

He added, "We want to continue providing this support to the Marcellus Shale Formation natural gas stakeholders, while not feeding those groups fomenting dissent against those same companies." Powers sent copies of his email to the Institute of Terrorism Research and Response as well as to Pam Witmer, a lobbyist with the Bravo Group, which lobbies for the gas industry.

Which sure makes it seem like Powers was about monitoring political activities—those "fomenting dissent"—rather than potential terrorists.

Among the others included in this surveillance? Anarchists, "black power" groups, animal rights activists protesting a rodeo.

Because we all know rodeos are critical infrastructure.