THE JAMES ROSEN AFFIDAVIT WAS THE 20TH DOCUMENT IN THE DOCKET

The is Ren Beller F. Besleh Ter. 1990 M. Annuel M. A. Balacci W. Alkaleri Y. Makariti y. Clarkow Balak, activity worked, com synchrony the Balance of Balacci Y. Makariti and Warehouse Activity of the California Association of Balance of B CREATE grants when a consistent or some of APEX/CON PRESS/APEX/PERSING, address a support of the application for most in works and show that all show to make any block. Applications of any block application of the Applicat sort of a weedy point.

But if you look at the docket associated with DOJ's attempt to get James Rosen's communications, you'll see it is listed as document 20 in the docket.

Yet no other documents — aside from the order approving the warrant — appear, unsealed, in the docket.

We can't be sure, but I wonder whether the 19 earlier, still-sealed documents in the docket constitute unsuccessful efforts to get this material. For example, I wonder whether Google initially balked at supplying the material based on the Privacy Protection Act, so DOJ invented the language claiming Rosen was a co-conspirator in Espionage which (at pages 4-5) exempted the materials in question from privacy protection.

In addition, the return associated with the affidavit shows how Google would narrow the search to just those communications between Rosen and Stephen Jin-Yoo Kim. Which suggests some of those 19 earlier documents may have been Google's successful attempt to limit an earlier much broader request including all of Rosen's communications.

Particularly given Kim's quoted blame for being snookered on Rosen, I wonder whether DOJ initially really was going to claim he was responsible for the leak?

In any case, if I were Fox News, I would move to unseal the docket.