

# CAMBRIDGE ANALYTICA AND THE HILLARY EMAILS

Update: I made an error in this post: WSJ has [made it clear](#) the emails in question were the DNC emails, not the Hillary ones. I've deleted the parts that are inaccurate accordingly.

For some time, I have been [interested](#) in the many pieces of evidence that, partly as a result of late GOP ratfucker Peter Smith's efforts, Julian Assange ended up with something approximating Hillary Clinton's deleted emails. We know Smith alleged Mike Flynn was involved in the effort. Weev and Chuck Johnson [were involved](#). There are reasons to believe Roger Stone was involved in the effort. And there are reasons to believe Guccifer 2.0 was involved in the effort.

Plus, everyone from Stone to Attorney General Sessions (who "did not recall" whether he had spoken to Russians about email in his SJC testimony) seems to be ignoring that part of the scandal in their denials of colluding with Russians.

And now, Cambridge Analytica – the data firm paid for by far right wing oligarch Bob Mercer that played a big role in getting Trump elected – is involved in it.

~~The DailyBeast [reports](#) that Congressional investigators have found an email from CA head Alexander Nix to some unnamed person (Trump's digital director Brad Parscale [was interviewed by HPSCI yesterday](#)) saying he offered to help Assange with the project.~~

Nix, who heads [Cambridge Analytica](#), told a third party that he reached out to Assange about his firm somehow helping the WikiLeaks editor release Clinton's missing emails, according to two sources familiar with a congressional

~~investigation into interactions between Trump associates and the Kremlin. Those sources also relayed that, according to Nix's email, Assange told the Cambridge Analytica CEO that he didn't want his help, and preferred to do the work on his own.~~

~~Assange, who insists he never says anything to compromise sources, released his own statement saying he rejected the help.~~

~~After publication, Assange provided this statement to The Daily Beast: "We can confirm an approach by Cambridge Analytica and can confirm that it was rejected by WikiLeaks."~~

~~Remember, Stone told the Russian hackers he was soliciting that, allegedly because he couldn't verify the authenticity of any emails obtained from hackers, they should turn them over to Assange. And both the Nix email and the Assange denial seem to admit that WikiLeaks did, indeed, receive at least one set of those emails. Which would explain why Roger Stone was so certain WikiLeaks was going to drop Clinton Foundation emails — not the Podesta ones that Stone showed no interest in — in October of last year. And it would seem to explain why Guccifer 2.0 had the same belief.~~

~~That is, there are a whole bunch of dots suggesting WikiLeaks got something approximating Clinton's emails, and either because they couldn't be verified, or because his source was too obviously Russian, or some other unknown reason, he decided not to publish.~~

~~If that's right, all these non-denial denials about the operation seem to point to a confluence of interest around this effort that touched pretty much everyone. And involved Russians, their agents, and GOP ratfuckers willfully working together.~~

~~Update: The Trump campaign just [did some amazing](#)~~

[bus](#) under-throwing of CA. Compare that to this [November 10 piece](#) attributing their win to CA.