

JEROME CORSI'S GAZILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT AGAINST THE SAME MEDIA TARGETS THAT INDIVIDUAL-1 IS TARGETING

Jerome Corsi's already frivolous lawsuit against Robert Mueller yesterday got still more sanction-worthy. On top of adding new defendants (including Jeff Bezos), he and his crack lawyer Larry Klayman asked for damages of [takes off glasses and peers closely] \$1.35 trillion billions, of which \$800 million million would come from Bezos, which – these fabulists claim – would be just 5% of his \$140 billion net worth and not, instead, more than the richest man in the world is worth.

For punitive damages in excess of \$1,350,000,000,000.000 billion USD against all of the Defendants, which includes in excess of \$800,000,000.00 million USD in punitive damages against Defendant Bezos's (5% of his net worth) alone.

Admittedly, by the end of the day they had fixed these errors, now asking for an utterly modest \$1.35 billion in punitive damages.

But I'm interested in what the amended complaint says about Corsi's stunt.

Corsi justifies adding Bezos based off what is either an Infowars fabrication or an attempt to pre-empt a WaPo story that Mueller believes InfoWars paid Jerome Corsi \$15,000 a month to keep him quiet.

In an email sent yesterday to lawyer Marc Randazza, the Washington Post's Rosalind Helderman states, "I've been able to confirm that Robert Mueller's investigators have been asking witnesses about the financial relationship between Infowars and Jerome Corsi and Roger

Stone's role in helping Corsi get his Infowars job."

Asking why Infowars hired Corsi, Helderman states, "Mueller seems to be exploring that the job was hush money in some way."

Corsi was hired by Infowars in January 2017 to set up a Washington bureau. His contract renewed in January 2018 but then the relationship was ended in June 2018. Corsi was paid routine 6 months severance pay.

Corsi was hired at a time when the Roger Stone-Corsi conspiracy theory nexus tied to Wikileaks was not even being circulated. Corsi was fired because of his failure to adequately establish a Washington bureau, his failure to maintain White House press credentials, and his generally poor work performance.

Recall that Mueller also seems to be investigating whether Stone sent Randy Credico work in a bid to get him to sustain Stone's claim he was the go-between with WikiLeaks. And Stone has said some of his campaign finance expenses were about throwing people who needed money some work.

In yesterday's complaint, Corsi names not Helderman, but Manuel Roig-Franzia, along with Bezos.

Defendant Franzia is an individual, a reporter of WaPo working under and at the direction of Defendant Bezos and is on information and belief a citizen of Washington D.C.

Corsi names Franzia (who has done extensive interviews with Stone) because he's the one who called Corsi about the allegations. Corsi claims that the day after Franzia called, Alex Jones' daddy stopped paying him \$15,000 a month.

Furthermore, on January 17, 2019, Defendant Franzia on behalf of Defendant WaPo telephoned Plaintiff Corsi to question him about information that Defendant WaPo had obtained from unspecified sources in the Office of the Special Counsel that Defendant Mueller was investigating monthly payments, which were characterized falsely and maliciously published as hush payments to Dr. Corsi so he would not provide "incriminating evidence," about Alex Jones, InfoWars and Roger Stone before Defendant Mueller and the grand jury. These hush money payments to Plaintiff Corsi were maliciously and falsely represented to be made by Dr. David Jones, father of Alex Jones of InfoWars.

Defendant Franzia grilled Plaintiff Corsi about details of his relationship with InfoWars, David Jones, and Alex Jones. He indicated that his sources in the Office of the Special Counsel, and working under Defendant Mueller's direction, told him Dr. David Jones was paying Dr. Corsi to influence and/or suppress and/or misrepresent and falsify his testimony to Defendant Mueller's prosecutors and/or the FBI regarding Alex Jones and/or Roger Stone, as well as other government authorities.

Defendant Franzia told Plaintiff Corsi that Defendant WaPo that he had learned from the Special Counsel that Dr. Corsi was still today being paid \$15,000/month by Dr. Jones.


As a direct result of Defendant Franzia and Defendant WaPo's actions, directed by Defendant Bezos and carried out by Defendant Franzia and WaPo, working in concert with Defendant Mueller and the other Defendants, the very next day Plaintiff Corsi learned from Dr. David Jones that he was being terminated and

would no longer be receiving \$15,000 per month.


So rather than being cut off because Corsi testified against Roger Stone, he was cut off (in this fabulous complaint) because the WaPo is going to write that up.

While Infowars claims the hush money timing doesn't make sense – because the payments started well before Corsi was subpoenaed – they actually time up to when Corsi may have deleted his pre-October 11, 2016 emails and when SSCI announced an investigation in January 2017. And Corsi seems to agree that his six months of severance got cut off (which he calls “terminated”) sometime in the last month, in the wake of his revelations about his grand jury testimony.

Even as this is happening, Corsi is both trying to reassure Stone that prosecutors told him they would not be able to use his testimony that his August 2016 memo targeting the Podestas was a cover story.



And trying to back the Infowars/Stone claim that he was getting paid \$15,000 a month not to work as part of a severance agreement.



And from this intra-rat-fucking fuckery, Corsi manufactures a \$800,000,000,000,000 claim for punitive damages out of Bezos. And he does this,

remarkably, even while claiming that Bezos' company, Amazon, is a *victim* of the relentless Robert Mueller, because Amazon got a subpoena for a copy of the hard cover copy of Corsi's book (which must differ from the online version that is already out).

Defendants have also threatened threatened Amazon.com, a distributor of Plaintiff Corsi's new book, "Silent No More: How I Became a Political Prisoner of Mueller's 'Witch Hunt,'" published by Post Hill Press, with a subpoena to obtain a pre-publication copy of the hardback in-print version of the book when Defendant Mueller and the FBI federal could very easily obtain an already in print copy of the ebook and/or audiobook version of the same book on the internet. [my emphasis]

So Bezos is both villain and victim in Corsi's fevered imagination. But being a victim won't get him off the hook for \$800,000,000,000,000 in damages.

I find the targeting of Bezos, coming in the same week that National Enquirer did a hit job on his affair, curious timing.



I also find one other detail of this amended complaint worthy of notice.

A big part of Corsi's lawsuit is premised on the nonsense claim that Mueller leaks.

One of the paragraphs that got amended (the Ali Dukakis reference was always there) now works in a detail about last week's BuzzFeed story, *using the BuzzFeed story to substantiate Corsi's claim Mueller leaked about him.*

For instance, and as just one example, an article published by ABC News titled "Conspiracy Theorist Becomes Key Figure as Mueller Builds Case" contains confidential information regarding the grand jury proceedings about Plaintiff Corsi that could only possibly have come from Defendant Mueller.³ Consistent with the leaks concerning Plaintiff Corsi, it was recently revealed that a major leak concerning President Donald J. Trump was made by Defendant Mueller to BuzzFeed, namely that the president had ordered his private legal counsel Michael Cohen to lie to congressional committees over the Trump organization's business dealings with Russia. After calls for a U.S. Justice Department investigation of this leak in particular – notwithstanding that the undersigned counsel had already filed complaints on behalf of Plaintiff Corsi and others concerning the Special Counsel's continuing and harmful criminal grand jury leaks among other allegations of prosecutorial misconduct and illegality – Defendant Mueller, to try to cover his illegal tracks and head off a Department investigation by the Office of Professional Responsibility and Inspector General – falsely repudiated what BuzzFeed had reported were indeed leaks from the Special Counsel.

That is, along with all the other shit in this complaint, Corsi is now suggesting that BuzzFeed's story (which public evidence suggests likely came from SDNY sources) is proof that

Mueller leaks because for the first time ever Peter Carr issued a correction probably in part to make it clear that Mueller wasn't the source for the story.

And, curiously, Corsi makes that claim based on the representation that everyone was calling for a leak investigation on Friday. As far as I know, such calls really began when Rudy mentioned it on a Sunday show, which Ben Smith then pointed back to in his Reliable Sources appearance later that day.

Don't get me wrong. I have zero doubt there will be a leak investigation into this story. But Corsi seems to have more knowledge of that than other people. Which I find curious, for a guy complaining about leaks.

Look, I don't expect anyone to make sense out of this gazillion dollar lawsuit. It was never a serious lawsuit – not even when it made unsupported claims about NSA surveillance and media leaks. But yesterday it became far more of a messaging vehicle, a messaging vehicle targeting the same targets that the President is targeting.

This may be all this pack of rat-fuckers has left. But the specific form of their conspiracies deserves some notice.

As I disclosed last July, I provided information to the FBI on issues related to the Mueller investigation, so I'm going to include disclosure statements on Mueller investigation posts from here on out. I will include the disclosure whether or not the stuff I shared with the FBI pertains to the subject of the post.