THE PROUD BOYS HAVE ALREADY BEEN USED TO INTIMIDATE THOSE HOLDING TRUMP ACCOUNTABLE — AND BILL BARR HAS PROTECTED THEM

As a number of people have observed, in last night's debate, Donald Trump not only refused to condemn white supremacist terrorists, but seemed to call on them to stand by to support him.

> President Donald J. Trump: (42:10) What do you want to call them? Give me a name, give me a name, go ahead who do you want me to condemn.

Chris Wallace: (42:14) White supremacist and right-wing militia.

President Donald J. Trump: (42:18) Proud Boys, stand back and stand by. But I'll tell you what somebody's got to do something about Antifa and the left because this is not a right wing problem this is a left wing.

He named the Proud Boys explicitly.

Today, I noted that the reason why Randy Credico took Roger Stone's threats seriously – the reason the witness tampering charge merited the full enhancement – was because of Stone's ties to the Proud Boys. Credico confirmed that by posting a picture of Stone with his gang.



emptywheel @emptywheel

If you look at Randy Credico's testimony about why he took Roger Stone's threats of violence seriously, it was because of the violent gang he hangs out with--the Proud Boys.

The Attorney General of the United States dismissed the gravity of that threat.



Randy Credico Live On The Fl... 42m Replying to @emptywheel Damn right



In Stone's sentencing hearing, Judge Amy Berman Jackson described how Credico told the grand jury he was worried about Stone's gang.

> I note, since the defense has informed me that I can consider this material, that that is not consistent with his grand jury testimony, which was closer in time to the actual threats, at which time he said he was hiding and wearing a disguise and not living at home because he was worried, if not about Trump, about his – about Stone, but about his friends. So, I think his level of concern may have changed over time.

It's not just Credico. When ABJ held a hearing to consider a gag on Roger Stone, she first got him to explain how his associates — whom he first declined to identify but then, when pressed by prosecutor Jonathan Kravis, named Proud Boys members Jacob Engles and Enrique Tarrio — had been working with him on that post but he couldn't really describe who had picked the image of Judge Jackson with the crosshairs on it.

Amy Berman Jackson. How was the image conveyed to you by the person who selected it? Stone. It was emailed to me or textmessaged to me. I'm not certain. Q. Who sent the email? A. I would have to go back and look. I don't recognize. I don't know. Somebody else uses my -THE COURT: How big is your staff, Mr. Stone? THE DEFENDANT: I don't have a staff, Your Honor. I have a few volunteers. I also - others use my phone, so I'm not the only one texting, because it is my account and, therefore, it's registered to me. So I'm uncertain how I got the image. I think it is conceivable that it was selected on my phone. I believe that is the case, but I'm uncertain. THE COURT: So individuals, whom you cannot identify, provide you with material to be posted on your personal Instagram account and you post it, even if you don't know who it came from? THE DEFENDANT: Everybody who works for

THE DEFENDANT: Everybody who works for me is a volunteer. My phone is used by numerous people because it can only be posted to the person to whom it is registered.

[snip]

Jonathan Kravis. What are the names of the five or six volunteers that you're referring to?

Stone. I would - Jacob Engles, Enrique
Tarrio. I would have to go back and look

When she imposed a gag on Stone, she explained

that his Instagram post amounted to incitement of *others*, people with extreme views and violent inclinations.

> What concerns me is the fact that he chose to use his public platform, and chose to express himself in a manner that can incite others who may feel less constrained. The approach he chose posed a very real risk that others with extreme views and violent inclinations would be inflamed.

[snip]

The defendant himself told me he had more than one to choose from. And so what he chose, particularly when paired with the sorts of incendiary comments included in the text, the comments that not only can lead to disrespect for the judiciary, but threats on the judiciary, the post had a more sinister message. As a man who, according to his own account, has made communication his forté, his raison d'être, his life's work, Roger Stone fully understands the power of words and the power of symbols. And there's nothing ambiguous about crosshairs.

Then, again at the sentencing hearing, ABJ talked about the risk that, "someone else, with even poorer judgment than he has, would act on his behalf.

Here, the defendant willfully engaged in behavior that a rational person would find to be inherently obstructive. It's important to note that he didn't just fire off a few intemperate emails. He used the tools of social media to achieve the broadest dissemination possible. It wasn't accidental. He had a staff that helped him do it.

As the defendant emphasized in emails introduced into evidence in this case,

using the new social media is his "sweet spot." It's his area of expertise. And even the letters submitted on his behalf by his friends emphasized that incendiary activity is precisely what he is specifically known for. He knew exactly what he was doing. And by choosing Instagram and Twitter as his platforms, he understood that he was multiplying the number of people who would hear his message.

By deliberately stoking public opinion against prosecution and the Court in this matter, he willfully increased the risk that someone else, with even poorer judgment than he has, would act on his behalf. This is intolerable to the administration of justice, and the Court cannot sit idly by, shrug its shoulder and say: Oh, that's just Roger being Roger, or it wouldn't have grounds to act the next time someone tries it.

Both Credico and ABJ, then, pointed to the white supremacist gang that Roger Stone hangs out with to explain why Roger Stone's threats must be taken seriously.

And Bill Barr dismissed the seriousness of both those threats – the threats Roger Stone makes that might lead one of his associates to take violent action – when he undermined the sentencing recommendation on Stone.

Trump's invocation of the Proud Boys is no idle threat. Because the Proud Boys have already been used to intimidate those holding Donald Trump accountable.