

MARINA MEDVIN'S CLIENT SIGNS A PLEA WITH THE POTENTIAL OF A TERRORISM ENHANCEMENT

Marina Medvin is the sweet spot of January 6 lawyers. She's a legit lawyer, doing particularly good work trying to challenge the asymmetrical access defendants get to security video of the attack. She clearly serves the interests of her clients rather than grifting or focusing more on scoring political points, as some other January 6 defense attorneys appear to do. But she's also a right wing activist in her own right.

As such, she spends a great deal of time calling people she doesn't like "terrorists."

She uses debunked claims about (foreign) terrorists to try to sow fear about immigration.



She spends a great deal of time demanding that the 9/11 attackers be called terrorists.

 **Marina Medvin**  @MarinaMedvin · Sep 10
Virginia Governor Ralph Northam made DeCuir, who is whitewashing Islamist terrorism and training Virginia teachers not to call 9/11 Jihadists terrorists, a member of VA's "Culturally Relevant and Inclusive Education Practices Advisory Committee."



In

- Extend expectations of "equity"
- Humanization of Muslim students
- Acknowledgement of anti-Muslim racism
- Seek to learn, and be in a learning community

OUT

- False assumption of Muslim responsibility for 9/11
- Reproduction of anti-Muslim rhetoric
- U.S. foreign policy analysis
- American exceptionalism

fairfaxtimes.com
Don't woke-wash 9/11
On this austere 20th year recognition of the tragedies of the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks, the eyes of the world are correctly focused on the grou...

She calls the evacuation of Afghans who helped the US fight terrorism the importation of terrorists.

 **Marina Medvin**  @MarinaMedvin · Sep 4
We've imported more **terrorists** than EVER! Great success!



GIF

 **BKactical** @BravoKiloActual · Sep 4
LMAO... Most Successful Evacuation Ever (TM):

"Days after the Biden administration finished evacuating about 124,000 people from Afghanistan, it's coming to grips with the reality that it doesn't know who many of those people are." ...

[Show this thread](#)

She labels Joe Biden's effort to craft a positive outcome out of Donald Trump's capitulation to the Taliban as negotiating with terrorists.



Marina Medvin 🇺🇸 @MarinaMedvin · Aug 11

I ask **terrorists** the same question: what do you want your role in the community to be? They ponder this question deeply as they assess international concerns and humanitarian issues. 😊

...



The Post Millennial ✅ @TPostMillennial · Aug 11

When pressed on reaching a "negotiated political settlement" in Afghanistan, Psaki says the Taliban "has to make an assessment on what they want their role to be in the international community."



0:44 | 20.6K views

She holds protestors accountable for those they affiliate with who call for violence.



Marina Medvin 🇺🇸 @MarinaMedvin · Aug 22

Meanwhile those referring to these **terrorists** as protestors are really badly lying.

...



Marina Medvin 🇺🇸 @MarinaMedvin · Aug 22

Did someone say Palestinian "protestors"? Oh okay. Let's check out their "protest."

[twitter.com/LongLiveZion2/...](http://twitter.com/LongLiveZion2/)



She even complains when those held as – and those guarding – terrorists get treated humanely.



Marina Medvin 🇺🇸 @MarinaMedvin · Jan 5

Guantanamo detainees are more important than we are, getting a vaccine before the taxpayers. America needs to reevaluate her priorities and stop biting the hand that feeds her.

...



Carol Rosenberg ✅ @carolrosenberg · Jan 4

Just in: Southcom, the Pentagon subsidiary that runs Guantanamo detainee operations, says the prison's medical staff expect "ample doses" of the vaccine this month for all 1,500 Gitmo prison staff and the 40 wartime detainees.

No word yet on whether vaccination is mandatory.
twitter.com/carolrosenberg...

[Show this thread](#)

Yesterday, with the benefit of Medvin's able counsel, her client Jenny Louise Cudd pled guilty under a plea agreement that permits the government to ask for a terrorism enhancement under U.S.S.G. § 3A1.4 at sentencing.

The parties agree that, solely for the purposes of calculating the applicable range under the Sentencing Guidelines, neither a downward nor upward departure from the Estimated Guidelines Range set forth above is warranted, except the Government reserves the right to request an upward departure pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3A1.4, n. 4. Except as provided for in the “Reservation of Allocution” section below, the parties also agree that neither party will seek any offense-level calculation different from the Estimated Offense Level calculated above in subsection A. However, the parties are free to argue for a Criminal History Category different from that estimated above in subsection B.

To be sure, I agree with Medvin’s assessment yesterday that it is unlikely the government will actually push for this enhancement with Cudd (and I think it even more unlikely that Judge Trevor McFadden would side with such a government request). This appears to be a standard part of any January 6 plea agreement involving sentencing calculations but no cooperation agreement; one thing cooperators are getting – especially those in militia conspiracy cases – is an assurance they won’t be deemed terrorists at sentencing.

Still, Cudd won’t be sentenced until March, and the government may have a far more complete story to tell about the attempted revolution that Cudd applauded by then, a story that will likely incorporate some of the facts to which Cudd admitted under oath yesterday. You never know what DOJ will do or Judge McFadden might find plausible by then.

I raise the terrorism enhancement language in Cudd’s plea agreement not because I think she’ll be treated as one come sentencing (thus far, I think Scott Fairlamb is at greatest risk of that, because his statement of offense admitted both to using violence and to his intent to intimidate those certifying the vote). Rather, I raise it to show that even a right wing activist like Medvin agrees with my reading of the language in these plea agreements. The government is reserving the right to treat these defendants, even someone who pled down to a trespassing misdemeanor like Cudd, as terrorists at sentencing. To be clear: Medvin doesn’t think this will work legally nor does she think her client is implicated in the violence of those with whom she chose to affiliate on January 6, but that is what she described the language effectively means in Cudd’s plea hearing.

Such terrorism enhancements are how domestic terrorists get labeled as terrorists. Because domestic terrorist groups like the Proud Boys or Oath Keepers aren't labeled as (foreign) terrorist groups by the State Department, affiliation with or abetment of those groups is not *per se* illegal (as it might be under material support statutes for foreign terrorist organizations). It's not until sentencing, then, that the government can argue and a judge might agree that the specific crime a person committed involved acts dangerous to human life, and (in the case of January 6) an attempt to intimidate or coerce the policy of government. If the judge does agree, a terrorist enhancement could expose the defendant to a much longer sentence as a result, a guidelines range of 121 to 151 months for someone with no criminal history.

This is a detail that has gone almost entirely unreported elsewhere: that DOJ is building in an ability to treat these defendants as terrorists when it comes to sentencing, sentencing that may be five months in the future.

Mind you, since this would be domestic terrorism, the government could not just wildly label someone as a terrorist for attending a protest at which others present espouse violence, as Medvin has done of Muslims. They'd have to lay out a specific intent on the part of the defendant to threaten force to coerce some political outcome. But if they do so with these January 6 defendants, then they may be legally branded as terrorists for their actions on January 6.