

THREE THINGS: LET'S GIVE THEM SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT

[NB: check the byline, thanks. /~Rayne]

Before I go any further, I'm going to point to one of Marcy's past posts:

What DOJ Was Doing While You Were Wasting
Time Whinging on Twitter July 16, 2022

Whinger Verbs: To Investigate ... To
Prosecute ... To Indict March 26, 2022

The Eight Trump Associates Whom DOJ Is
Investigating February 8, 2022

While TV Lawyers Wailed Impotently, DOJ Was
Acquiring the Communications of Sidney
Powell, Rudy Giuliani, and (Probably) Mark
Meadows February 2, 2022

Merrick Garland Points Out that
Misdemeanors Are Easy January 5, 2022

Ten Things TV Lawyers Can Do Rather than
Whinging about Merrick Garland December 3,
2021

Oops, that's more than one post. Yeah. All that
for the last eight months at least, with
receipts along the way.

~ 3 ~

On Monday July 25, the Murdochian Wall Street
Journal dumped:

WSJ: "One area of interest to
prosecutors was a Jan. 4, 2021, Oval
Office meeting where...lawyer John Eastman
pushed Pence, in Trump's presence, to
either reject the electoral votes
outright or suspend the proceedings"
<https://t.co/LibRvd0mcN>

— Laura Rozen (@lrozen) July 25, 2022

DOJ has been one degree of separation and less from Trump in its investigation, but unsurprisingly so to those paying attention.

What may be more interesting is that it was the Wall Street Journal. Are the Murdochs and News Corp finally throwing in the towel on Trump?

~ 2 ~

Just before 7:00 pm ET last evening, the Washington Post published this piece confirming the DOJ was investigating Trump:

Breaking news: The Justice Department is investigating President Donald Trump's actions as part of its criminal probe of efforts to overturn the 2020 election results, according to four people familiar with the matter.

<https://t.co/mcjN3EhMA0>
[pic.twitter.com/uqILRgEhck](https://t.co/mcjN3EhMA0)

— The Washington Post (@washingtonpost)
July 26, 2022

Shocking, SHOCKING, I tell you. Not.

~ 1 ~

In a bid for relevancy, the New York Times dropped this We, Too piece last night after WaPo's piece above:

Breaking News: The Justice Department is said to have asked witnesses about Donald Trump in its Jan. 6 investigation, suggesting a more aggressive phase.

<https://t.co/Urs19KMS4H>

— The New York Times (@nytimes) July 27, 2022

Unsurprising that communications of those close to Trump are under scrutiny. Especially since DOJ has had so many messages in their possession for months, like Giuliani's.

~ 0 ~

I'm sure you'll hear more from Marcy she's got time, stable internet access, and something dramatically new and important arises.

This is an open thread. Have at it.