

HUNTER BIDEN'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS

I'm going to post them all here, then will circle back to discuss the most interesting ones:

The diversion agreement prohibits the gun charges

- Diversion agreement
- Chris Clark declaration
- May 11: Weinsheimer to Clark
- May 15: Wolf to Clark
- May 18: McCarten to Wolf
- May 19: Clark to Wolf
- May 19: McCarten to Wolf
- May 30: Clark to Wolf
- June 2: Clark to Wolf
- June 5: Wolf to Clark
- June 6: Clark to Wolf
- June 7: Wolf to Clark
- June 8: Wolf to Clark
- June 8: Hanson to Norieka
- June 9: Buckson to Clark
- June 19: Clark to Hanson
- June 19: Clark to Hanson
- June 19: Hanson to Clark
(add Wise)
- July 19: Bray to Wise
- July 20: Wallace to Buckson

The gun crime is unconstitutional

David Weiss was ineligible to be appointed
Special Counsel

Selective and vindictive prosecution

Motion for evidentiary hearing

- October 8: Discovery request

▪ November 15: Discovery supplement

Submitted December 12:

Reply motion for subpoenas

My general impression of this – which I'll write at length tomorrow is that the diversion agreement argument is strong, they've argued a novel separation of powers argument about Congress. But I don't think they've gotten to where they need to on selective and vindictive.

I don't the Special Counsel argument is persuasive at all, though it's an example where Lowell might get Congress to grasp on the filing (which argues Weiss' appointment violates the Appropriation Clause).