

TODD BLANCHE'S SEX PREDATOR PR SERVICE

The Brits have finally found some people to arrest in the Jeffrey Epstein scandal: at least four people who projected images of Epstein and Donald Trump onto Windsor Castle.

Kaitlan Collins used that event as a pretext to grill Todd Blanche about his so-called proffer with convicted sex trafficker Ghislaine Maxwell.

Todd Blanche's responses were an incredible insult to survivors.

He first tried to change the subject – parroting Trump's, "Are you still talking about Jeffrey Epstein?" from months ago.

When Collins dug in, repeating her question, Blanche then effectively said – more than seven weeks after the interview – that he has never tested the credibility of Maxwell's answers (which would be hard to do given the firing of Maurene Comey).

Todd Blanche confessed that he had moved Ghislaine Maxwell to comforter digs without first vetting the answers that Maxwell gave him.

Perhaps realizing how stupid *that* confession was, Blanche then said something even more astonishing. He claimed the entire point of the "proffer" was, "to give her an opportunity to speak, which nobody had done before."

It's rank bullshit that no one has given her an opportunity to speak. She had the right to testify in her own defense at trial, a right she declined. The day before Blanche did this "proffer," the Oversight Committee subpoenaed her, in response to which she delayed testimony.

But even if it were true that poor Ghislaine Maxwell has never had a chance to tell her side of the story, has never had a chance to make claims her attorneys didn't make in cross-

examination during her trial, why in *god's* name is the Deputy Attorney General of the United States wasting two days of his time, with neither adequate preparation to hold her accountable nor a prosecutor familiar with the case, giving a convicted sex trafficker a special opportunity to tell her story?

Effectively, Todd Blanche described that his DOJ is running a special service for select sex traffickers who have damning information on Blanche's client, Donald Trump, where they get the privilege of telling their story in a venue largely free of repercussions. There's no conceivable purpose for such a "proffer" besides to keep her silent.

KC: We're here at Windsor Castle and tonight images of him with Jeffrey Epstein were actually projected on the castle behind me. People were arrested as a result of that. But this comes after *you* sat face to face with Ghislaine Maxwell for nine hours. Do you believe her?

TB: I mean, listen, I think that people are gonna do what they're gonna do, they're gonna say what they're gonna say. And there's a lot of important work that we're doing every day. And so the fact that that is still what we're focused on today in the wake of everything that's happening and the week of the work of what President Trump's doing over the next several days is incredibly unfortunate. But we're gonna keep on doing our job and keep on doing what we need to do.

KC: But when you met with her did you find her to be credible?

TB: It's an impossible question to answer. I met with her for two days. To determine whether a witness is credible takes weeks and weeks and weeks. I asked her questions that I believed all of us wanted answered. And she answered them. She answered them, I didn't – the point of the interview was not for me to pressure test every

single answer she gave. Of course not. The point of the interview was to give her an opportunity to speak, which nobody had done before. And so she had been – she had been in prison for many many years. And she had offered to speak on many many occasions. And she was never given that opportunity. And so what I did is I gave her that opportunity to speak, it was recorded, my questions were there, and whether her answers were credible or truthful, there's a lot of information out there about Mr. Epstein, about her, and whether what she said is completely wrong, or completely right, or a little of both, is for – that's the reason why we released the transcript, that's why we were transparent about the questions I asked, and the answers shes [sic] gives [sic] is because it's really up to the American people to determine whether they believe that her answers were credible. Or whether they found her not credible.