THE ROLLING CORRUPTION BEHIND THE LETITIA JAMES PROSECUTION

When Letitia James submitted her vindictive and selective prosecution motion on November 7, DOJ's efforts to cover up Bill Pulte's FHFA shenanigans got relegated to a footnote in the section in the request for discovery.

Any remaining doubt about the existence of DOJ's possession of material that might go towards establishing AG James' vindictive or selective claims was obviated by the Government's November 4, 2025, filing of its Notice of Reasons for Not Providing Pre-Vindictive/Selective Prosecution Motion Related Discovery. See DE-46. The Government's filing states that it "bears no such obligation until a defendant 'overcomes a significant barrier by advancing objective evidence tending to show the existence of prosecutorial misconduct.'" Id. at 1 (quoting Wilson, 262 F.3d at 315).68 AG James has exceeded that burden, and more.

68 If the Government did not believe it possessed "vindictive/selective prosecution-related discovery," DE-46 at 4, the Government could have so stated, without filing notice of its intent not to produce such discovery. Yet the November 4 Notice seemingly contradicts what the government has previously suggested, which is that it has in its possession some discovery relevant to the defense's prosecutorial vindictiveness argument that would be produced. Id. at 2. The Government started that process of producing such

discovery, and on October 30, produced just seven news articles about the James investigation or case. That is all. Then, in a move suggesting the Government found additional items which it did not want to reveal or produce, the Notice followed on November 4, suggesting more such discovery exists. Public reporting from the day before also indicated that FHFA's acting inspector general, Joe Allen, was fired from his role on November 3 "after he made efforts to provide key information to prosecutors in [Ms. Halligan's] office, according to four sources. The information he turned over was constitutionally required, . . . [or] potentially relevant in discovery." Sarah N. Lynch et al., Exclusive: Trump ousts watchdog of US housing regulator involved in mortgage probes of his foes, sources say, Reuters (Nov. 3, 2025), https://perma.cc/56J2-V7VZ (emphasis added). The defense is left guessing at what other prosecutorial vindictiveness discovery exists in the government's hands.

Then yesterday, after receiving 2TB of discovery last Wednesday ...

5. Since the initial appearance, the government has produced a significant amount of electronic discovery to the defense, spanning five production volumes containing, in total, more than 17,000 documents and 115,000 pages. The latest production (Vol. 05) alone, received on Wednesday, November 12, included nearly two terabytes of data.

...And another several stories on Bill Pulte's corruption, Attorney General James submitted a motion to dismiss because of outrageous misconduct motion that described the holes in the Bates stamps where the documents describing

Pulte's misconduct must be.

Additionally, the government is likely already in possession of discovery relating to Director Pulte's conduct that has not been produced to AG James. Specifically, there is reason to believe that documents reportedly turned over by former FHFA Acting Inspector General, including the internal complaint about Director Pulte's access to AG James's loan file, have not been produced. Based on metadata (including filename/file path) and the presence of an additional Bates stamp (FM EDVA 122), over 100 pages of discovery likely turned over by the former FHFA Acting Inspector General has not been produced.

Accordingly, in addition to the communications listed above, AG James specifically requests that the government be ordered to produce:

- Any internal complaints filed against Director Pulte related to AG James.
- *All documents bearing a "FM_EDVA_122_" Bates stamp, including:
 - FM_EDVA_122_00000 15—FM_EDVA_122_00 00023
 - FM_EDVA_122_00000 42—FM_EDVA_122_00 00055
 - FM_EDVA_122_00000 99—FM_EDVA_122_00 00107
 - FM_EDVA_122_00001 13—FM_EDVA_122_00

00125

- FM_EDVA_122_00001 44—FM_EDVA_122_00 00155
- FM_EDVA_122_00005 74—FM_EDVA_122_00 00579

James' outrageous action motion also focused on comms among others in Trump's administration.

The current record of "outrageous conduct" is more than sufficient to dismiss this indictment. But even if this Court finds that AG James should be required to point to more to meet her burden to prove outrageous government conduct, the basis for discovery and an evidentiary hearing has been well established. The facts outlined above merit, at the very least, fulsome discovery into the government's conduct in bringing this case, including all communications among and between President Trump, AG Bondi, Ms. Halligan, Mr. Martin, Director Pulte, and their staffs regarding AG James.

That comes, of course, mere weeks after Judge Jamar Walker ordered a litigation hold in response to Lindsey Halligan's stalking of Anna Bower.

The defendant presents evidence that government counsel communicated with a member of the media about this case using an encrypted messaging app that enables automatic deletion of messages. See generally ECF No. 21-1. The Court is not asked to decide now whether any communications between the government and media are or will become discoverable. But in the event that such communications take place and are

discoverable (or are subject to a judicial determination about discoverability), it is important that the government preserve the evidence of those communications. Cf. Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963) ("suppression by the prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused upon request violates due process where the evidence is material either to quilt or to punishment, irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the prosecution"). Accordingly, the Court ORDERS a litigation hold preventing the deletion or destruction of any records or communications having to do with the investigation or prosecution of this case. This hold shall be in effect until further order of the Court.

And yet James may have to follow up on that order, given a letter from Jamie Raskin to Eagle Ed Martin demanding that he stop using Signal chats to weaponizing government against Trump's adversaries.

My staff have received credible allegations that you have been using personal devices, platforms, and applications that do not adhere to federal laws and DOJ policies regarding records retention to conduct official DOJ business. This deliberate evasion of relevant rules of record retention appears to be part of an effort to conceal the Weaponization Working Group's activities and your own conduct. Such conduct violates not only the Federal Records Act (FRA) and DOJ policy but also potentially relevant criminal statutes.4

The FRA requires all federal agencies and their employees to "make and preserve records containing adequate and proper documentation of the organization, functions, policies,

decisions, procedures, and essential transactions of the agency."5 This obligation applies to all recorded information, "regardless of form or characteristics."6 DOJ policies reinforce these statutory requirements. DOJ Policy Statement 0801.04, for instance, states that personal email and other electronic accounts should not be used for DOJ business except under "exigent circumstances," and when used, employees must comply with FRA requirements by forwarding communications to official accounts.7

Instead of preserving those records, however, you are reportedly concealing and potentially destroying them. As you are well aware, as one of America's topranking federal lawyers charged with supervising enforcement of these laws, you are obligated to follow the law yourself and preserve messages related to your DOJ work in the official DOJ systems. Your purported failure to do so is not only illegal but it also suggests that you are knowingly covering up incriminating conversations that you need to keep off the books.

It's unlikely that Lindsey's single Loaner AUSA will ever be able to prove the case against James, 2TB of data and all.

But along the way, she and her co-conspirators are leaving a trail of corruption and crime a mile wide. They're doing it in the same courthouse where Lindsey the Insurance Lawyer's conduct is already the focus of scrutiny.

Trump's DOJ won't prosecute this, and Trump will pardon all of his minions at some point. But they decided to perform their corruption for judges, and that may not work out the way they want.