

# DAVID SACKS AND THE ENTIRE AMERICAN TECH STACK WIN!

Something funny is happening over at Xitter.

Yesterday, NYT published a 3,000-word profile of David Sacks describing how his installment as the White House AI and crypto czar has led to a number of decisions that may not benefit the US, such as sharing AI technology with UAE in seeming exchange for personal gain for others, including Trump. The profile quotes Sacks' own spokesperson explaining that poor David Sacks just "wants the entire American tech stack to win."

It also quotes Steve Bannon, which might hint at where the article came from, warning of the "road to perdition"!

Steve Bannon, a former adviser to Mr. Trump and a critic of Silicon Valley billionaires, said Mr. Sacks was a quintessential example of ethical conflicts in an administration where "the tech bros are out of control."

"They are leading the White House down the road to perdition with this ascendant technocratic oligarchy," he said.

In general, the article is a bit of a squish. As one critical example, it doesn't mention Sacks' role in fueling a run on Silicon Valley Bank only to whine and whine and whine until Sleepy Joe Biden bailed out the billionaires, the most significant lesson to explain Sacks' installation.

The closing paragraphs nod to the significance of all this: that at a time when both crypto and AI need a bailout – a vastly bigger bailout than SVB needed – David Sacks is there to ensure that gets prioritized over real America.

In the keynote speech, Mr. Trump described Mr. Sacks as “great” before signing executive orders to speed the building of data centers and exports of A.I systems.

Then he handed Mr. Sacks the presidential pen.

The tech bros need a bailout and Sacks is there to deliver it to them.

But NYT doesn’t lay out the stakes. If this was a Bannon-attempted hit job, it missed its mark.

Or so I thought until I watched the Xitter response to Sacks’ whiny 1,500-word complaint about how he lawyered the article, to which he attached a much longer letter from defamation lawyers.



David Sacks    
@DavidSacks



#### INSIDE NYT’S HOAX FACTORY

Five months ago, five New York Times reporters were dispatched to create a story about my supposed conflicts of interest working as the White House AI & Crypto Czar.

Through a series of “fact checks” they revealed their accusations, which we debunked in detail. (Not surprisingly the published article included only bits and pieces of our responses.)

Their accusations ranged from a fabricated dinner with a leading tech CEO, to nonexistent promises of access to the President, to baseless claims of influencing defense contracts.

Every time we would prove an accusation false, NYT pivoted to the next allegation. This is why the story has dragged on for five months.

Today they evidently just threw up their hands and published this nothing burger. Anyone who reads the story carefully can see that they strung together a bunch of anecdotes that don’t support the headline. And of course, that was the whole point.

At no point in their constant goalpost-shifting was NYT willing to update the premise of their story to accept that I have no conflicts of interest to uncover.

As it became clear that NYT wasn’t interested in writing a fair story, I hired the law firm Clare Locke, which specializes in defamation law. I’m attaching Clare Locke’s letter to NYT so readers have full context on our interactions with NYT’s reporters over the past several months.


Once you read the letter, it becomes very clear how NYT willfully mischaracterized or ignored the facts to support their bogus narrative.

In response, every one of the loathesome crypto and AI bros whose installation Sacks served piped up to describe what a hero poor beleaguered David Sacks is.

Mark Andreessen who of course hosts or hosted a private chat of tech bros talking up other tech bros, may have kicked it off with his claim that Sacks was performing some kind of noble citizenship, which Daddy then picked up.



Marc Benioff seconded Gavin Baker's tautology even while treating AI bros as "builders."



David Marcus described tech bros' efforts to collapse dollar hegemony in glowing terms while

scoffing at “incompetent technocrats.”



This hit job by the [@nytimes](#) on [@DavidSacks](#) couldn't be more misguided. We should celebrate competent people with real world and business experience spending their precious time serving American interests and the American people instead of inventing nonsensical BS because your favorite incompetent technocrats aren't in power anymore.

Facts:

1) the Biden administration was on a path to weaponizing AI (wokeAI) and constraining its development in such a way that would've led to us losing the existential AI war with China. This would've been an irreversible disastrous damage to America's leadership in the world;

2) the previous administration was also actively trying to kill the digital assets/crypto industry altogether because they wanted more control. The world's financial system is being rewired by these technologies and America was losing its best builders and was falling behind. We almost lost that one.

[@DavidSacks](#) leadership was and continues to be at the heart of what can only be described as a just in the nick of time turnaround for the two most important races America must win.

It takes a special kind of people to turn these achievements into this hit piece. A special kind of people who clearly don't understand how the world works, and are sad other people who are like them are not in charge anymore. It turns out being an investor and not being in a position to dedicate all your time and attention to deploying capital in these two industries in their hypergrowth stage is the most costly thing anyone in that line of work could do!

The sad part is that's the kind of crap that will dissuade more talented people from jumping in and making our country better. I hope it won't.

6:14 PM · Nov 30, 2025 · 225.8K Views

Zach Witkoff – the man facilitating corrupt foreign investment in precisely these technologies – hailed Sacks' role in “helping advance the ball forward on AI and Crypto.”



NYT and WSJ have become a full blown laughing stock but they have sunk to a new low with this one. AI and Crypto Czar [@DavidSacks](#) is actually helping advance the ball forward on AI and Crypto and somehow that's a problem...



INSIDE NYT'S HOAX FACTORY

Five months ago, five New York Times reporters were dispatched to create a story about my supposed conflicts of interest working as the White House AI & Crypto Czar. ...

Martin Shkreli, who misspelled Sacks' name, nevertheless insisted this is the kind of guy Americans want selling away American power.



**Martin Shkreli** ✓  
@MartinShkreli



The Sachs piece illustrates the exact problem with the NYTimes. Voters specifically want THIS type of person, not a bureaucrat who has never worked a real job (Lina Khan, K street, Beltway type)



**Mark Halperin** ✓ @MarkHalperin · 22h

Takes 5 @nytimes reporters to chronicle the times and life of @DavidSacks !!!!

Silicon Valley's Man in the White House Is Benefiting Himself and His Friends  
[nytimes.com/2025/11/30/tec...](https://nytimes.com/2025/11/30/tec...) via @NYTimes

4:16 PM · Nov 30, 2025 · **320.4K** Views

And they all tagged Sacks and he RTed them (well, except for Shkreli) and all these billionaire tech bros were performing a circle jerk for the benefit of the foreign trolls their host has installed, as if that performance itself could affirm the value of all this tech brobery to real Americans.

None of this exposes the real underlying problem here, the degree to which the American economy has been hollowed out so these bro boys can attempt to divorce themselves from the physical reality of real people entirely.

But it performs it.