

CALL TO ACTION: SENATE VOTE AHEAD – STOP TRUMPCARE

A procedural vote – possible the first of a series of votes – is expected tomorrow on the latest Senate-generated iteration of Repeal-and-Replace-ACA. Let's kill it now.

TRUMPNAMI: GOOD LUCK STAYING AHEAD OF THAT

'That' – I can't even come up with a family-friendly term for the tsunami of crap Trump set in motion this week. This is an open thread.

THREE THINGS: SHOCKER, BADGER, VAPOR

Summer doldrums hitting hard; it's too steamy today to do much but watch the garden grow and the 'hot takes' bloom. Stay cool with a quick three things on AHCA and Trump-Russia.

PENETRATED: TODAY'S SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE HEARING ON RUSSIAN INTERFERENCE IN THE 2016 U.S. ELECTIONS

Nothing in today's Senate Intelligence Committee's hearing nor the House Intelligence Committee hearing changed the fact the U.S. election system was penetrated last year. We should be very concerned.

A LETTER FOR ROD ROSENSTEIN TO REMEMBER



Before
there
were
intern
et
“memes
”
there
were

still plays in words that conveyed huge situations beyond the mere words. One was “A Night To Remember”. Yes, even before the famous movie (and before the sappy and stupid “Titanic” decades later), it was an earlier book about the Titanic disaster. There are daily shipwrecks as significant as that now in the Age of Trump.

Today, specifically, we have the issue of a Titanic level shipwreck President crashing the

country out of pettiness and ignorance like the United States has never ostensibly seen in its history.

Yesterday on Twitter, I noted that there was a telling omission in the supposed “justification” memo Rod Rosenstein penned and Trump initially claimed to rely on as basis for firing Comey:

I think Marty went a tad easy on Rosenstein. Rosenstein authored the document, had to know what it's purpose was, and duplicitously framed it in terms he “thought” he could step back from. No. Just no.

There is simply no other possible reading of the Rosenstein May 9, 2017 memo. If Rosenstein did not understand the purpose his memo was intended for, he is too stupid to be in the DAG job he is. Assuming he has the minimal smarts to understand, then he is a craven and already a failed servant of the American people as DAG. Either way, Rosenstein is terminally compromised.

This morning, in what I can only describe as an admirable *mea culpa* statement that I think will long be remembered, in a good way, Ben Wittes called for Rosenstein to go.

In the end, Trump was able to make set piece out of Rosenstein, because Rosenstein let himself be used as a set piece. And there's an important lesson in that for the many honorable men and women with pending appointments and nominations to serve in senior levels of the Justice Department—or who are considering accepting such appointments. It took Donald Trump only two weeks to put Rosenstein, a figure of sterling reputation, in the position of choosing between continued service and behaving honorably—and it took only two days after that for the President to announce that Rosenstein's memo, after all, was nothing more than a Potemkin village designed as a facade on Trump's predecided outcome.

Do you really want this to be you? Do you really think Trump will not leave your reputation as so much roadkill on the highway after enlisting you in sliming someone else a week or two after you take office?

The lesson here is that these are not honorable people, and they will do their best to drag you down to their level. They will often succeed.

Here we are, and, thankfully, people in and around the Third Branch, especially in the all important Southern District of New York region (from which Comey has come and gone), are fighting back and speaking out with shouts that are from far more than the cheap seats people like me occupy.

Without further adieu, a letter from SDNY luminaries:

May 12, 2017

Rod J. Rosenstein, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General of the United States
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Dear Mr. Deputy Attorney General:

We, the undersigned, are former United States Attorneys and Assistant United States Attorneys for the Southern District of New York. In view of the recent termination of James Comey as Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, we are writing to request that you appoint a special counsel to oversee the FBI's continuing investigation of Russian interference with the 2016 Presidential election and related matters. This letter is addressed to you rather than the Attorney General since he has recused himself from this matter.

As you know, Jim has had a long and distinguished career with the Department of Justice, beginning with his appointment as an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District

of New York serving under United States Attorneys Rudolph Giuliani, Benito Romano and Otto Obermaier from 1987 through 1993. He returned to the Southern District of New York in 2002 when he was appointed the United States Attorney and served in that capacity until he was confirmed as Deputy Attorney General in 2003. Most of us came to know Jim when he worked in the Southern District of New York. Many of us know him personally. All of us respect him as a highly professional and ethical person who has devoted more than 20 years of his life to public service.

While we do not all necessarily agree with the manner in which he dealt with the conclusion of the Hillary Clinton email investigation, we sincerely believe that his abrupt and belated termination for this conduct, occurring months later and on the heels of his public testimony about his oversight of the investigation of Russian interference with the 2016 presidential election, has the appearance – if not the reality – of interfering with that investigation. Even if this investigation continues unabated, there is a substantial risk that the American people will not have confidence in its results, no matter who is appointed to succeed him, given that the Director of the FBI serves at the pleasure of the President. We believe it is critical in the present political climate and clearly in the public's interest that this investigation be directed by a truly independent, non-partisan prosecutor who is independent of the Department of Justice, as is contemplated by 28 C.F.R. §600.1.

We are Republicans, Democrats and independents. Most importantly, we are proud alumni and alumnae of the

Department of Justice. We do not suggest that you or any other members of the Department of Justice or a newly appointed Director of the FBI would not conduct yourselves properly, but the gravity of this investigation requires that even the appearance of political involvement in this investigation be avoided. As former prosecutors, we believe the only solution in the present circumstances would be to appoint a Special Counsel pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §600.1, and we urge you to take that course.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan S. Abernethy Elkan Abramowitz
Richard F. Albert
Marcus A. Asner Martin J. Auerbach
Miriam Baer
Thomas H. Baer Kerri Martin Bartlett
Maria Barton
Andrew Bauer Bernard W. Bell Richard
Ben-Veniste
Neil S. Binder Laura Gossfield Birger
Ira H. Block
Suzanne Jaffe Bloom Barry A. Bohrer
Daniel H. Bookin
Jane E. Booth Katharine Bostick Laurie
E. Brecher
David M. Brodsky Stacey Mortiz Brodsky
William Bronner
Jennifer K. Brown Marshall A. Camp
Bennett Capers
Michael Q. Carey Neil S. Cartusciello
Sarah Chapman
Robert J. Cleary Brian D. Coad Glenn C.
Colton
William Craco Nelson W. Cunningham
Constance Cushman
Frederick T. Davis John M. Desmarais
Rhea Dignam
Gregory L. Diskant Philip L. Douglas
Sean Eskovitz
Jesse T. Fardella Meir Feder Ira M.
Feinberg

Michael S. Feldberg Steven D. Feldman
Edward T. Ferguson
David Finn Eric P. Fisher Sharon E.
Frase
Steven I. Froot Maria T. Galeno
Catherine Gallo
Robert Garcia Kay K. Gardiner Ronald L.
Garnett
Scott Gilbert Barbara S. Gillers Mark
Godsey
Joshua A. Goldberg James A. Goldston
Mark P. Goodman
George I. Gordon Sheila Gowan Stuart
GraBois
Paul R. Grand Helen Gredd Bruce Green
Marc L. Greenwald Jamie Gregg James G.
Greilsheimer
Jane Bloom Grise Nicole Gueron Barbara
Guss
Steven M. Haber Jonathan Halpern David
Hammer
Jeffrey Harris Mark D. Harris Roger J.
Hawke
Steven P. Heineman Mark R. Hellerer
William Hibsher
Jay Holtmeier John R. Horan Patricia M.
Hynes
Linda Imes Douglas Jensen James Kainen
Eugene Kaplan Steven M. Kaplan William
C. Komaroff
David Koenigsberg Cynthia Kouril Mary
Ellen Kris
Stephen Kurzman Nicole LaBarbera Kerry
Lawrence
Sherry Leiwant Jane A. Levine Annmarie
Levins
Raymond A. Levites Donna H. Lieberman
Jon Liebman
Sarah E. Light Jon Lindsey Robin A.
Linsenmayer
Edward J.M. Little Mary Shannon Little
Walter Loughlin
Daniel Margolis Walter Mack Kathy S.
Marks
Mark E. Matthews Marvin S. Mayell Sharon
L. McCarthy

James J. McGuire Joan McPhee Christine
Meding
Paul K. Milmed Judith L. Mogul David E.
Montgomery
Lynn Neils Peter Neiman Rosemary Nidiry
Tai H. Park Robert M. Pennoyer Elliott
R. Peters
Michael Pinnisi Robert Plotz Henry
Putzel
T. Gorman Reilly Emily Reisbaum Peter
Rient
Roland G. Riopelle Michael A. Rogoff
Benito Romano
Amy Rothstein Thomas C. Rubin Daniel S.
Ruzumna
Robert W. Sadowski Elliot G. Sagor Peter
Salerno
Joseph F. Savage John F. Savarese Edward
Scarvalone
Kenneth I. Schacter Frederick Schaffer
Gideon A. Schor
Julian Schreibman Wendy Schwartz Linda
Severin
David Siegal Marjorie A. Silver Paul H.
Silverman
Charles Simon Carolyn L. Simpson David
Sipiora
Dietrich L. Snell Peter Sobol Ira Lee
Sorkin
David W. Spears Katherine Stanton
Franklin H. Stone
Richard M. Strassberg Howard S. Sussman
Erika Thomas
Richard Toder Timothy J. Treanor Paula
Tuffin
Peter Vigeland David Wales Max Wild
Samuel J. Wilson Elaine Wood Paulette
Wunsch
Thomas Zaccaro Ellen Zimiles
cc: Jefferson B. Sessions III, Esq.
Attorney General of the United States

This letter reflects the signers' personal
views, not of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the
Southern District of New York, the U.S.
Department of Justice, or any other government

agency.

But it is STRONG. And it is hard to not love it completely. It is raw, and it is real. Nobody asks defense attorneys to sign these missives, nor would anybody give them credit for having done so, were they asked.

This letter, however, is from the elite of the elite prosecutors, with SDNY historic names attached to it (and sometimes significant family names you may not notice), and there are a LOT of them. Almost wonder who did “not” sign on to it?

So, what does it mean?

A LOT. If you know how District level US Attorney offices run, but especially the hallowed ground in SDNY, then you know just how unusual and remarkable is this collective letter.

Think I mentioned “stunning” earlier. It is all that.

Why? Because the problem in the US is here, and it is now. It is bigger than Red versus Blue. It is bigger than Me versus You. It is bigger than all that. There is a fracture in the very machinery governance itself runs on.

The clockworks of governance are bugged. “We are Republicans, Democrats and independents.” And we all deserve better than the orange narcissist piloting the nation into an iceberg.

THE CURIOUS TIMING OF FLYNN EVENTS AND EO 13769

Pick a subject and it’s probably on fire if it’s not smoldering. James Comey’s job may now be a

dumpster fire, but this post looks at a different story with a much slower burn.

THE TUESDAY NIGHT MASSACRE



As you
may
have
heard,
President
Trump
has
just
fired
FBI
Director

or James Comey.

This is truly Nixonian Saturday Night Massacre level action.

Trump previously ran on, indeed got elected on, and likely only on, the scurrilous rogue comments of Jim Comey starting with the rogue July 5, 2016 press conference where Comey went off all rails on DOJ and PIN protocols. Here is the New York Times original report:

Mr. Comey's dismissal was a stunning development for a president that benefited from the F.B.I. investigation of the Democratic nominee during the 2016 campaign. Separately, the F.B.I. also is investigating whether members of the Trump campaign colluded with Russia to influence the election.

The abrupt firing raised questions over whether Mr. Trump was trying to influence the Russia investigation. But he said he was following recommendations

from the Justice Department, which criticized how Mr. Comey concluded the investigation into Mrs. Clinton.

Trump actually saluted Comey for this at one point. What a micro-moment self serving, not to mention narcissistic jerk.

If anybody in the world thought that that Trump is not as craven and against the Constitutional form of government we all were born and raised on, let that no longer be a question.

And if the media cannot get their heads out of their asses and realize the danger is NOT just to their First Amendment rights, but to the core of our republic and democracy, then they too should go the way of the dodo bird.

The foundations of this cowardly play were always there if you followed the ever changing voice and words of Donald Trump regarding the Clinton email issue and how the Department of Justice handled it.

If you thought this point, and/or Comey was the one only voice that could not be fired or silenced, you are sadly mistaken.

This blog has never, and I am being kind across my writings, Marcy's and those of our departed friend Mary, been a friend of Jim Comey. He has long, and more presently, been an uneven and self serving voice mostly interested in preservation and enhancement of his own voice and position. Comey has been preternaturally successful at this.

That said, tonight I will be in Comey's camp. I await what my friends at Lawfare and some others may have to say regarding the Tuesday Night Massacre.

Because this is a day that should live bright for a very long time.

People glibly talk about the "Resistance". How naive. The battle is now, and has been joined in full by a cabal that makes Nixon look like a

piker. The place is here. The time is now.

The temporal fact that it is Comey that tipped a scale of justice is immaterial. It has happened.

AHCA: GOP AND ITS UGLY POKE

One of the most immoral and unethical episodes of American government unfolds today as the GOP-led House votes blindly on the American Health Care Act – which isn't healthy or caring whatsoever.

SHOW US WHERE THE BAD MEN TOUCHED YOU, JASON

What happened in that room when they were alone, out of the public's eye? How and where were GOP Congresspersons touched?

THE STEIN RECOUNT NEEDLE AND THE DAMAGE DONE

Yes, there is real harm that can come from the Jill Stein recount scam. It is an unhelpful sideshow to legitimate vote protection concerns.