

IF BY “BIG DATA” YOU MEAN “BIG CAMPAIGN DONATIONS”

President Obama has named [the people](#) who will help John Podesta accomplish [this task](#).

I have also asked my Counselor, John Podesta, to lead a comprehensive review of big data and privacy. This group will consist of government officials who—along with the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology—will reach out to privacy experts, technologists and business leaders, and look at how the challenges inherent in big data are being confronted by both the public and private sectors; whether we can forge international norms on how to manage this data; and how we can continue to promote the free flow of information in ways that are consistent with both privacy and security.

As I said in my annotations to Obama’s speech, effectively Obama responded “to a review by calling for another review,” but at least it would be a welcome first time he reached out to technologists.

Here’s the list:

That’s why in his speech, the President asked me to lead a comprehensive review of the way that [“big data”](#) will affect the way we live and work; the relationship between government and citizens; and how public and private sectors can spur innovation and maximize the opportunities and free flow of this information while minimizing the risks to privacy. I will be joined in this effort by Secretary of Commerce Penny Pritzker, Secretary of Energy Ernie

Moniz, the President's Science Advisor
John Holdren, the President's Economic
Advisor Gene Sperling and other senior
government officials.

I'll outsource judging whether this amounts to
reaching out to technologists to [Chris Soghoian](#):

None of the big names named in the
president's "big data" review
announcement are technologists. DC at
its finest.

But I'm particularly interested in Penny
Pritzker's presence on the list.

After Cass Sunstein and Geoffrey Stone ended up
being too independent to deliver the whitewash
Obama wanted, he has picked one of his biggest
campaign donors to review Big Data.

So I guess by "Big Data" we know what Obama
meant.

Worse still, Pritzker heads up an Agency that –
it is increasingly clear – [serves a key role](#) in
offering carrots and sticks to coerce compliance
from private companies with government data
demands. And compliance not just for the
purposes of defense of spying, but also for
cyberoffense. Not exactly the kind of person who
might expect candor from the Big Data companies
likely to be coerced by the government.