"IT'S NOT THAT YOO ENGAGED IN REALLY BAD LAWYERING, REALLY IT'S NOT"

David Rivkind and Lee Casey want you to believe that we're all beating up John Yoo because we don't agree with his interpretation of the proper role of international law. It's a shiny object, of course, designed to distract the WSJ's readers from the real reasons many are calling for Yoo to face consequences for his actions. My question is why they're making such a case.